



XP 809: ELM Destabilization by RMP

J. Canik, R. Maingi, Z. Unterberg* *ORNL (*ORISE)* T. Evans, T. Osborne *GA* S.P. Gerhardt, J.-K. Park *PPPL* S. Sabbagh *Columbia U.*

NSTX Results Review 8/6/08

XP 809: ELM Destabilization by RMP

- Goals of XP
 - Determine threshold RMP level for destabilization
 - Explore connection between ELM-triggering and recycling by alternating RMP on/off
 - Measure pedestal profiles for stability analysis
 - Mid-run goal added: use magnetic ELM-triggering to reduce impurity buildup in LITER ELM-free H-modes
- XP Summary
 - Three ½ days allocated (2/29, 6/23, 7/11)
 - ELM-triggering successfully reproduced and documented
 - ELM-pacing results look promising
 - Can trigger ELMs without too much degradation of plasma
 - Reduces ramp-rate of radiated power and density

RMP ELM-triggering was demonstrated in δ~0.8 discharges

- Control discharge (127318) had ELM-free period to 0.5 s
- When n=3 RMP is applied (127317) ELMs start within ~50 ms
- ELMs disappear when RMP is removed at 0.45 s, reappear with second SPA pulse
 - Doesn't appear to be linked to recycling
 - Shot-to-shot SPA timing scan confirms that ELMs are connected to application of RMPs



SPA current scan revealed destabilization threshold

- Threshold SPA current for ELM-triggering is ~950 A
 - No triggering at 900 (natural ELMs start at ~0.5s in control)
 - Intermittent ELMs at 950 and 1000 A
- ELM frequency appears to increase with RMP magnitude
 - ELMs become more regular
 - Tendency clouded by tendency of plasma to lock and disrupt at high currentstoo much braking



RMP doesn't have an obvious effect on pedestal profiles of n_e, T_e

- Profile fit from T.
 Osborne's python routines
- 127318, 375 ms
 No RMP



RMP doesn't have an obvious effect on pedestal profiles of n_e, T_e

- Profile fit from T. Osborne's python routines
- 127318, 375 ms
 No RMP
- 127319, 375 ms
 - RMP on
 - 60-99% of ELM cycle
- 127317, 400 ms
 - RMP on
 - 50-99% of ELM cycle



Toroidal rotation drops with RMP

- Lots of braking with n=3 field
- Edge rotation drops in ~20 ms when RMP is applied
- But profile around R=140 cm looks nearly stationary before ELMs start (only purple curve is after the 1st ELM)

- Connection between ELMs and v_{tor} (R=140 cm) not obvious
- Similar trends seen in other RMP shots



Magnetic triggering has been applied to LITER ELM-free H-modes

- Typical behavior with Li conditioning
 - No ELMs
 - Impurity accumulation (Prad ramps to 1.5 MW in this case)



Magnetic triggering has been applied to LITER ELM-free H-modes

- Typical behavior with Li conditioning
 - No ELMs
 - Impurity accumulation (Prad ramps to 1.5 MW in this case)
- RMP square wave applied to LITER dicharge
 - 11 ms pulses, f=40 Hz, amp. = 1.2 kA
 - ELMs triggered on ~80% of pulses
 - Total radiated power reduced by a factor of ~2
 - Density ramp rate also decreased
 - Stored energy relatively unaffected



Smaller, more frequent ELMs are triggered at high elongation

- ELM-triggering in LITER discharges was done for κ =2.0,2.2 and 2.4
- ELMs always large (~10%), but decrease ir size as κ as increased
- RMP pulse frequency can be increased (maintaining reliable triggering) at high κ





Pulse width of 8-12 ms required for reliable triggering

- Triggered ELMs happen ~10 ms after start of RMP pulse (pulses shown are 11 ms wide)
- With 8 ms pulses, triggering rate drops to ~50%
- Pulse width might be limited by field penetration time

