

Supported by



# Initial Analysis of XP-950: Long-Pulse Development at High $\beta_T$ .

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U **SNL** Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** 

#### S. P. Gerhardt, D.A. Gates, J.E. Menard, S.A. Sabbagh, R. Bell, B. LeBlanc and the NSTX Research Team

#### **NSTX Research Forum**





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA. Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP**, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

## Goal is to Achieve Long Pulse With $\beta_T \ge 25\%$

Spherical torus might make for attractive facility for nuclear component testing:
*More recent ST-FNSF designs are more "conservative"*

	ST-CFT, Phase 1 (Peng 2005, PPCF)	ST-CFT, Phase 2 (Peng 2005, PPCF)	ST-CFT, Phase 3 (Peng 2005, PPCF)	ST-CTF, (Wilson 2004, IAEA)
Wall Loading (MW/m <sup>2</sup> )	0.1	1	2	1.5
Elongation	3.1	3.1	3.1	2.5
β <sub>T</sub>	14	18	28	22
β <sub>N</sub>	3.8	3.8	5.9	3.5
f <sub>BS</sub> (%)	0.58	0.49	0.5	0.4
f <sub>NI</sub> (%)	1.	1.	1.	1.
I <sub>N</sub> =I <sub>P</sub> /aB <sub>T</sub> (MA/mT)	3.8	4.7	5.8	6.1
H <sub>98y,2</sub>	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.3

- Goal of this XP: study discharges with parameters as close to these values as possible.
  - However, clear that 100% non-inductive operation is unavailable without additional current drive.
- Method: Operate at highest  $I_N = I_p / aB_T$ ,  $\kappa$ , &  $\beta_N$ , consistent with long pulse.



#### **Some Unique Discharges Were Made**



3

### Achieved Discharges Push the Boundary of NSTX High- $\beta_T$ , Long-Pulse Operating Space

XP-948 2009 2008 2007 2006 2005 2004 2003-02 2001 35 14 *Goal:*  $10 < \beta_N / l_i < 14$ 30 *Goal: 22< β<sub>τ</sub>(%) <30* 12 25 10 <β<sub>N</sub>>/<l<sub>i</sub>> 20 <₿<sub>7</sub>> 10 1.5 0.0 0.5 1.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.0  $\tau_{\text{flat-top}}$  (sec.)  $\tau_{\text{flat-top}}$  (sec.) 2011 2010 2009 2008 2007 2006 2005 2004 200 <Bu> 3 *Goal:* 4< *β*<sub>N</sub> <6 Goal: I<sub>N</sub> (MA/mT)~6 0.0 1.5 0.5 1.0 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5  $\tau_{\text{flat-top}}$  (sec.) τ<sub>flat-top</sub> (sec.)

XP-948, NSTX Results Review

#### Too much power→Ideal MHD Too little power→Rotating MHD.



Actively working on computing creditable  $MSE/T_{\rho}$  constrained equilibria for these high- $\kappa$  shots.

5

#### $\beta_N$ Control Commissioned as Part of XP-948

- $\beta_{N}$  control was a substantial background effort during the FY-09 run. ٠
  - Main effort by S.P. Gerhardt, D. A. Mastrovito, and D.A. Gates
- $\beta_{N}$  control was commissioned as part of XP-948, used in XP-943.
- Gains not fully optimized...need to do some modeling during break and revisit.
  - Improved rtEFIT basis vectors implemented after these shots, should be very helpful.
- Once again, turning down the NB power causes core-MHD



- Shots from XP-934, RWM feedback and no magnetic braking. Feedback gains changed between shots.
- $\beta_N$  requests of 4, 5, and 6
- Reconstructed  $\beta_N$  evolution follows the requests of the trends
- Rotating MHD comes earliest when the beams (and torque) are reduced.
- Core MHD leads to a similar low rotation state in all cases

6

#### **Beginning Analysis of Non-Inductive Current Drive (I)**



135129:  $I_P$ =1100 kA and  $B_T$ =0.45T Max  $\beta_T$ =25%  $f_{N\Gamma}$ ~45%



**Preliminary!** 



#### **Beginning Analysis of Non-Inductive Current Drive (II)**

- Preliminary results
  - Reasonable agreement in the total current.
  - 50% inductive, 50% non-inductive
  - Using "homegrown" Sauter B.S. model reduces total current to 90% of reconstruction.
- Detailed radial structures don't match well.
  - Reconstruction may be too smooth (though match to PA data is reasonable)
  - Need to refine kinetic profile mapping, different ways of determining Z<sub>eff</sub>



#### **Beginning Analysis of Confinement**

134837:  $I_{P}$ =1000 kA and  $B_{T}$ =0.4T Max  $\beta_{T}$ =30%  $f_{N}$ ~50%  $H_{98}$ ~0.8  $f_{GW}$ ~0.8 135129:  $I_P$ =1100 kA and  $B_T$ =0.45T Max  $\beta_T$ =25%  $f_{NI}$ ~45%  $H_{98}$ ~0.8  $f_{GW}$ ~0.78





#### Increases in Carbon, Radiated Power Remain a Problem in These Discharges



### **Conclusions and Next Steps**

- Were successful in producing discharges with high- $\beta_{T}$ .
  - Modest extension of the NSTX operating space
- Parameter space appears highly constrained:
  - Too much input power: rapid, ideal-mode disruptions
  - Reduce the input power: too-rapid q evolution leads to rotating core mhd.
- For best shots (*preliminary*):
  - $\beta_T \sim 25\% 30\%$
  - $f_{BS}$ =35%,  $f_{NI}$ =50%
  - H<sub>98y,2</sub>~0.8
- Next Steps:
  - Complete confinement and NI-fraction analysis for the full high- $\kappa$  data set (XP-836 & XP948)
  - Implement X-point height control to enable highest- $\kappa$  with large I<sub>OH</sub>.
  - Implement Control tools to allow operation at highest possible  $\beta_N$ .
    - Improved rtEFIT Basis Functions.
    - Further optimization of DEFC and RWM feedback.
    - $\beta_N$  control to operate near, but not cross, stability boundaries.
  - Reduce the Density with LLD!

#### **Much Analysis To Do**

 Presentation of this and other NSTX results at mid-October ITPA IOS meeting.

