

Supported by



Nonlinear Gyrokinetic Simulations of Reversed Shear NSTX Plasmas

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INFI Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL **PSI Princeton U** Perdue U SNL Think Tank. Inc. **UC Davis UC Irvine** UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin**

J. Luc Peterson* **Princeton University, PPPL**

G. W. Hammett, D. Mikkelsen, S. Kaye, E. Mazzucato, R. Bell, B. LeBlanc and the NSTX Research Team (PPPL) H. Yuh (Nova Photonics) D. Smith (U. Wisconsin) J. Candy, R. E. Waltz (GA)

2010 NSTX Results Review November 30, 2010





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kvushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA. Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP.** Garching ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

*ipeterso@pppl.gov

Summary

- Nonlinear gyrokinetic simulations of reversed shear NSTX e-ITB discharge show electron temperature gradient driven turbulence.
- Reversed magnetic shear suppresses this turbulence.
- We have discovered a stronger nonlinear up-shift of the critical gradient for transport at negative magnetic shear.
- Above this critical gradient, transport is dominated by offmidplane streamers, and may be linked to a unique linear mode.



Goal: Explore reversed shear NSTX results of electron temperature gradients well above linear ETG threshold.



Yuh et al.



Simulating Strongly Reversed Magnetic Shear: NSTX Discharge #129534 @ 232 ms

- RF-Driven Electron Temperature Gradient
 - All linearly unstable $(R/L_{T_e})_{crit} \approx 4.5$
- Scan Electron Temperature Gradient
- 70 Nonlinear Flux Tube Simulations GYRO
- 16 or 24 Modes, electron-scale resolutions
- Gyrokinetic electrons, gyrokinetic or adiabatic ions
- Electrostatic, No ExB Flow Shear
- ~2,000,000 total CPU hours @ ORNL XT5 (Jaguar)

$$R/L_{n_e} = 1.74 \qquad \qquad \hat{s} = -2.4 \\ Z_{eff} = 3.39 \qquad \qquad q = 2.4 \\ \mu_e = 60.0 \qquad \qquad \nu_{ei} = 0.16 \ (a/c_s)$$



The Nonlinear Up-shift of the Critical Gradient for Transport is Very Strong in Reversed Shear





Below Nonlinear Critical Gradient Threshold: Streamers Sheared Apart, Low Transport





Above Nonlinear Critical Gradient Threshold: Streamers Not on Midplane, Large Transport





Fluctuations Peak Off Midplane in Single Mode





GK Simulation of Reversed Shear NSTX (Peterson)

The Fastest Growing Mode Dies Away, Not Responsible for Transport

Qe(n,t) High Res, GK lons, a/LTe = 14 $R/L_{T_e} pprox 22$ 60 0.320 **Fastest Growing** 0.284 50 Mode Quickly Damps 0.248 40 0.212 **Mode Causing** Mode 0.176 $Q_e/Q_{GB,i}$ $k_{ heta
ho}^k$ 30 **Transport Grows on Slower Time Scales** 0.140 20 0.104 0.068 10 0.032 0⊾ 0 -0.004 2 6 12 4 8 10 14 $(c_s/a)t$ Time



GK Simulation of Reversed Shear NSTX (Peterson)

Transport Causing Mode Found With Both Linear Initial Value and Field Eigenmode Solvers



Conclusions

• Reversed Shear temperature gradient scans find a secondinstability threshold for electron transport.

 $- \sim 4x$ the linear critical gradient, only seen with kinetic ion simulations

- Nonlinear critical gradient is consistent with observations of maximum attainable gradients in NSTX reversed shear discharges.
- Above threshold, a slow-growing mode saturates with highest amplitude, causes majority of transport.
 - Linearly sub-dominant, nonlinearly dominant
 - Streamers out of top and bottom: midplane streamers sheared



Some Testable (?) Speculations

- Reversed shear discharges can still have significant ETG turbulence off the midplane.
 - Move high-k, look for difference / stronger fluctuations away from midplane
- Performance of e-ITBs is limited by nonlinear critical gradient for transport.
 - Map out critical gradient as function of shear, compare with xp data
- Transport relies on interplay between ballooning ETG and broad mode
 - Energy transport diagnostics in simulation
 - Map out linear stability properties of both modes, compare w/ nonlin.
- "Bursty" turbulence is characteristic of turbulence near nonlinear critical gradient
 - Synthetic diagnostics

Acknowledgements

SciDAC Center for the Study of Plasma Microturbulence

National Center for Computational Sciences at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, DOE DE-AC05-000R22725

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, DOE DE-AC02-09CH11466







Future Work

- Thorough analysis of transport causing mode's linear properties
 - Goal: investigate second-instability threshold, top/bottom streamers
- Use gyrokinetic parameter scans around reversed shear discharge as benchmark for TGLF
 - Goal: more robust and accurate ST TGLF/TGYRO transport predictions
- Calculate synthetic high-k spectra based on these GK simulations
 - Goal: comparison with high-k experimental data
 - Goal: investigate "bursty" high-k signals in this regime
- Multi-scale nonlinear simulations
 - Goal: link ion and electron scales, especially if this intermediate-k transport causing mode is important.

Parameters For Nonlinear Reversed Shear Flux Tube Simulations

16 Modes

$$L_x \times L_y = 2.13 \times 2.13 \rho_s$$

= $128 \times 128 \rho_e$
 $k_{\theta} \rho_s = [2.95, 44.25]$
 $k_{\theta} \rho_e = [0.043, 0.738]$

Adiabatic lonsKinetic lons

$$R/L_{T_e} = [4.6, 52.6]$$

24 Modes

$$L_x \times L_y = 4.26 \times 2.4 \rho_s$$

= 255 × 144 ρ_e
 $k_{\theta} \rho_s = [2.618, 60.21]$
 $k_{\theta} \rho_e = [0.043, 1.004]$

Kinetic lons

$$R/L_{T_e} = [9.28, 34.75]$$



No Single Mode Dominates in Shear-Suppressed Regime





Low-transport modes centered on Midplane





Zonal Flows Appear Correlated with Finite-n Potential Fluctuations Below Critical Gradient





Above Nonlinear Critical Gradient, Quicker Saturation





Potential Fluctuations Strongest on Outboard Side for Global Low-Shear Case.



