



# **CRR\_CHITID - CHIT RESOLUTION REPORT**

## **CHIT RESOLUTION REPORT FOR THE PASSIVE PLATE FDR**

*NSTXU\_1-1-1-2-1\_CRR\_100*

*Rev. 1*

Work Planning #:  
Effective Date: **02/10/2020**  
Prepared By: **Ankita Jariwala**

Approved By Kathleen Lukazik, Preparer 02/10/2020  
11:06:12 AM



# Chit Resolution Report

for

## *Passive Plates*

***NSTXU\_1-1-1-2-1\_CRR\_CHIT\_100***

---

Prepared By: Cognizant Engineer

---

Approved By: Responsible Engineer

---

Approved By: Project Engineer





















**CR-VVHW-2017 - *Electrical isolation of clam-shell bracket***

Review	ID	Chit
Passive Plate PDR	PASPLAPDR 19	Should not have electrically floating clam-shells used to protect against bending of the He bake-out tubes. Need single-point grounding or perhaps a toroidal break in the middle and electrically connect half-clam-shell at each toroidal end. Anyway, need to ensure clam-shell is neither floating nor shorted toroidally.

**NA** Clam-shell concept is abandoned for FDR and fabrication.

**CR-VVHW-2018 - *Fatigue life of Helium tube***

Review	ID	Chit
Passive Plate PDR	PASPLAPDR 17	Analysis has indicated that even the 0.6 MA*T operation of NSTX exceeded allowable stresses for the helium tubes in many cases. In particular the "large loop" pointed out by A. Brooks has the potential for extreme values of stress. The proposed design change of clam shell supports can change the stress distribution, but it should be verified that the previous stresses have not used up the fatigue life of these components and that the modified design avoids stressing the same locations.

**A** Visual examination of existing Helium Piping shows the pipes are indeed trapped by the surrounding PP support structures so have not experienced the large stresses reported. For existing life usage see report "NSTX-U recovery project Structural analysis report for Helium line bracket and Weld Evaluation NSTXU\_1\_1\_1\_2\_1\_CALC\_054".  
-A.Brooks

**CR-VVHW-2019 - *Magnetic damping***

Review	ID	Chit
Passive Plates & He Lines CDR	PPHELINESC DR08	I think that the magnetic damping calculation can only take credit for the toroidal field (?). Assess which field components provide the magnetic damping, and bracket the effect to those that can be assured to be on. Maybe also get the minimum toroidal field that could be run for 2 MA (0.8?), and use this to bound the magnitude of the magnetic damping

**A** During the disruption, poloidal fields from the plasma in P3, P4, and P5 positions will



























