

# Chit Resolution Report for Personnel Safety System

Chit resolution report: NSTXU\_1-7-3-1\_CRR\_chit\_100

**REVISION 0**

**July 12, 2019**

**PREPARED BY:** Kathleen Lukazik 6/20/2019 4:31:46 PM

---

Kathleen Lukazik,

**REVIEWED BY:** Joseph Petrella 6/24/2019 7:20:18 AM

---

Joseph Petrella,

**REVIEWED BY:** Peter Dugan 7/2/2019 11:17:26 AM

---

Peter Dugan,

**REVIEWED BY:** Timothy N. Stevenson 7/2/2019 12:10:08 PM

---

Timothy N. Stevenson,

**APPROVED BY:** Stefan Gerhardt 7/12/2019 1:36:55 PM

---

Stefan Gerhardt,

PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LABORATORY  
P.O. BOX 451  
PRINCETON, N.J. 08543

# **Chit Resolution Report for *Personnel Safety System***

**June 17, 2019**

Prepared By: \_\_\_\_\_  
J. Petrella, PSS Cognizant Engineer

Reviewed By: \_\_\_\_\_  
T. Stevenson, OSS RE

Reviewed By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Y. Zhai, Project Engineer

Approved By: \_\_\_\_\_

**DVVR**

Chit Resolution Number	Description	Chit Number	Status
CR-OSS-05	E-Stops	TCACS02,	Closed
CR-OSS-07	Configuration	TCACS05	Closed
CR-OSS-09	Design	TCACS01	Closed
CR-OSS-12	E-Loop	TCACS04	Closed

**NTC Shielding PDR**

Chit Resolution Number	Description	Chit Number	Status
CR-OSS-05	E-Stops	NTCSHIELDPDR01	Closed
CR-OSS-06	Search and Secure	NTCSHIELDPDR08	Closed
CR-OSS-07	Configuration	NTCSHIELDPDR07	Closed

**Conceptual Design Review**

Chit Resolution Number	Description	Chit Number	Status
CR-OSS-01	Safety Integrity Level	PSSCDR01, PSSCDR04	Closed
CR-OSS-02	Hazards	PSSCDR02, PSSCDR03, PSSCDR05	Closed
CR-OSS-03	Requirements	PSSCDR06, PSSCDR07, PSSCDR08, PSSCDR09, PSSCDR11, PSSCDR15, PSSCDR17, PSSCDR20, PSSCDR28, PSSCDR29,	Closed

		PSSCDR30, PSSCDR32, PSSCDR33	
CR-OSS-04	Standards	PSSCDR36, PSSCDR43, PSSCDR44	Closed
CR-OSS-05	E-Stops	PSSCDR10, PSSCDR42	Closed
CR-OSS-06	Search and Secure	PSSCDR16, PSSCDR18, PSSCDR19,	Closed
CR-OSS-07	Configuration	PSSCDR41	Open
CR-OSS-08	Cost/Risk Reduction	PSSCDR31, PSSCDR34,	Closed
CR-OSS-09	Design	PSSCDR12, PSSCDR13, PSSCDR14, PSSCDR24, PSSCDR25, PSSCDR26, PSSCDR27, PSSCDR37, PSSCDR39	Closed
CR-OSS-10	Fail-Safe	PSSCDR23, PSSCDR35, PSSCDR40	Closed
CR-OSS-11	Policy	PSSCDR38	Closed
CR-OSS-13	Cable Spread Room	PSSCDR21	Closed

### Peer Review

Chit Resolution Number	Description	Chit Number	Status
CR-OSS-14	Arc Flash	PSSPEER01	Closed
CR-OSS-15	NFPA 101	PSSPEER02	Closed

# Record of Changes

<b>Rev.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description of Changes</b>
0	June 17, 2019	Initial Release

# Table of Contents

CR-OSS-01 – Safety Integrity Level (SIL)	6
CR-OSS-02 - Hazards	6
CR-OSS-03 - Requirements	7
CR-OSS-04 - Standards	9
Closed: The NIST Guide to Industrial Control System Security (NIST.SP.800-82r2) cited in our cyber security requirements specifically calls out IEC 62443, entitled “Security for industrial process measurement and control –Network and system security.” as one of the resources used to develop the guide. Further alignment with IEC 62443 those requirements will be reviewed as the software requirements are created.	9
CR-OSS-05 - E-Stops	10
CR-OSS-06 – Search and Secure	12
CR-OSS-07 – Configuration	12
CR-OSS-08 – Cost/Risk Reduction	13
CR-OSS-09 –Design	13
CR-OSS-10 -Fail-Safe	16
CR-OSS-11 – Policy	17
CR-OSS-12 – E-Loop	17
CR-OSS-13 – Cable Spread Room	18
CR-OSS-14 – Arc Flash	18
CR-OSS-15 – NFPA 101	19

## CR-OSS-01 – Safety Integrity Level (SIL)

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR01	The term "SIL" is used incorrectly throughout documents. SIL is a failure on demand performance requirement for a system executing safety instrumented functions (SIF). Recommend having expert explain IEC61511 (61508) and how safety functions and ensuing SIL requirements are applied to your systems then revise documents to use SIF, SIL, and IPL correctly.

**Closed:** A SIS expert has been retained and has been consulted on IEC61511 aspects of the design. AE Solutions has been retained to perform third-party evaluations and verification of PSS-SIS capability.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR04	Reconsider SIL decrement numbers. Administrative controls like radiation protection program are not a factor of 1000. Decrement is typically limited to a factor of 10 at the most.

**Closed:** Administrative controls and risks mitigated by Labwide Safety Programs have been removed from the PSS-SIS LOPA analysis. Labwide safety programs (such as the radiation protection program) are not part of the Safety Instrumented System and accordingly are not assigned risk reduction factors per IEC61511 guidelines.

## CR-OSS-02 - Hazards

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR02	Under hazards, Radiation take away X-Ray and reference prompt radiation from secondary nuclear reactions

**Closed:** In consultation with ES&H all references to exclusion area radiation hazards that are mitigated by the PSS-SIS have been clarified to be "Direct Ionizing Radiation" and other hazards identified in the Hazard assessment Report (HAR).

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR03	Include stray magnetic field and vacuum in list of hazards to be assessed.
CDR	PSSCDR05	Vacuum related hazards should be considered. Not listed in presentations.

**Closed:** The HAR (NSTX-U-DOC-123-00) that was developed post-CDR addresses all industrial hazards including magnetic field and vacuum hazards.

### CR-OSS-03 - Requirements

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR06	Consider making enclosure audible and visual warnings as a credited control. Need to let someone know it is time to push ESTOP.
CDR	PSSCDR32	Audible & Visual warnings should be credited control

**Closed:** The SRD NSTX-U-RQMT-SRD-012-01 Requirement 3.1.1.e was updated to move audible and visual warning to credited controls as part of the SIS.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR07	Consider changing word "mode" to "state" for the description of the PSS states. This is to differentiate from test modes.

**Closed:** The SRD NSTX-U-RQMT-SRD-012-01, RD-24 NSTX-U-RQMT-RD-024-01 have been updated to ensure that only states of ACCESS and NO ACCESS, LOCKED are identified all other references have been changes to modes.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR08	Consider to define time requirement from when PSS is in "No Access" state to when the hazards are permitted to be "applied".

**Closed:** The NSTX-U-RQMT-SRD-012-01 was updated to include a time delay of at least 300 seconds between change to NO ACCESS state to when hazards can be applied is defined in Performance Requirements 3.4.b.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR09	Consider modes where the sweep is in place but beam is not allowed, e.g. after a radiation monitor trip. Some labs call this "Power Permit"; certain devices are ok to run, e.g. magnet power supplies and/or RF power. Also mode where warnings active but beam not enabled.

**Closed - Not Applicable:** The Beams are not steerable as a result there are no interlocks to the radiation monitor.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR11	Consider using words clearly describing PSS states like: OPEN, SWEEP, RUN, LOCKED.

**Closed:** Considered the Chit, but it was rejected to maintain the three states: Access, No Access, Locked. The current states are tracked as either access or no access. There is a future requirements to consider other states. As additional states, are added additional states can be added.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR15	Should the RF coax be monitored by PSS? I was told these are pressurized, pressure gauge will be read, but there is not intention to monitor them by PSS.

**Closed - Not Applicable:** This is not a personnel safety concern as there is no personnel safety impact if the RF transmission lines would become de-pressurized. The RF transmission line pressure is a machine protection and control function and it is already incorporated into the operational control of the RF systems.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR17	Should indicated "LOCKED" in the area status panels.
CDR	PSSCDR30	Locked mode should have an indicator that the area is locked down.

**Closed:** RD-24 NSTX-U-RQMT-RD-024-01 has been updated to include the Locked Mode for securable areas. Locally, visual indicators will only state "Access" and "No Access" with "No Access" mapped to both No Access and Locked states.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR20	To avoid stationing guard at the entry door to the Secure Area, you can provide to CCS control over the door maglock to keep the door locked while the S&S is in progress.

**Closed:** Added a best practice in RD-24 Section 3.3.3.f versus a requirement to monitor the status of trapped keys. The SIS will locally disable the card readers on doors to securable areas during S&S. An additional TKS locked vestibule will prevent individuals from approaching the second entryway into the NTC.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR28	Monitor Trapped keys with PSS
CDR	PSSCDR29	Should monitor the state of the trapped key to insure presence of the key

**Closed:** The PSS-SIS will not monitor the Trapped Key System. Rather, the Trapped Key system is monitored by the CCS as defined in RD-026 Section 3.3 and displayed in the control room.

A requirement was added for as part of access control Section 3.3.2.k to monitor the status of the PSS.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR33	Recommend against a local test button that injects signal into a PLC controlled status lamp. Lamp test could be controlled through the PLC HMI.

**Closed:** The requirements in RD-24 Section 3.8.1.n for a local lamp-check button have been removed and this function is recommended to be performed via the PLC HMI.

## CR-OSS-04 - Standards

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR43	Ensure that cyber security approach conforms to IEC 62443

**Closed:** The NIST Guide to Industrial Control System Security (NIST.SP.800-82r2)

cited in our cyber security requirements specifically calls out IEC 62443, entitled “Security for industrial process measurement and control –Network and system security.” as one of the resources used to develop the guide. Further alignment with IEC 62443 those requirements will be reviewed as the software requirements are created.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR44	Ensure that SIS PLC Configuration conforms to IEC 61131

**Closed:** We will ensure conformance with IEC61131 through our PSS Software requirements and Software Quality Assurance (SQA) software management plan. This plan is required per PPPL’s QA028 procedure outlining requirements for SQA.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR36	1. Should Quality Assurance requirements (e.g. Order 414) be identified as a driver for PPS design, installation, operations, maintenance, etc? Is there a driver for a QA Plan that would address Credited Controls including the PPS?

**Closed:** The PPPL QAPD describes implementation of, and compliance with, DOE O 414 at PPPL. The PSS is identified as an A-1 system per ENG-032, in accordance with the PPPL QAPD and has had the applicable quality controls applied to it. PSS-SIS has been identified as a Credited Control system. Management of Credited Controls will be described in the NSTX-U SAD, which is currently undergoing substantial revision to comply with DOE O 420.2C.

## CR-OSS-05 - E-Stops

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR10	"Equipment-specific local E-Stop push buttons must be functionally segregated from the PSS E-STOP". Make sure they are not mistaken with PSS E-Stops.

**Closed:** NSTX-U PSS E-Stops have been designed to be visually unique and are clearly labeled. NSTX-U PSS E-Stops are installed on Search & Secure Stations, including those for control and status. These stations have “NSTX-U E-STOP” engraved in large bold letters, and the boxes are easily identifiable; their front panels are marked with a white on purple color scheme unique to PSS and have a multicolor indicator beacon on top.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR42	It appeared more work is needed to finalize the locations of the E-stop/search stations. Consider establishing some documented guidance and identifying key staff to be involved in physically walking down the areas to determine specific locations. Key staff might include personnel with significant experience conducting search/sweeps, the radiation safety officer (or equivalent), and individuals responsible for installing the E-stop/search stations.

**Closed:** The locations of the NSTX-U PSS S&S Stations and E-Stops have been mocked-up in the exclusion areas. The design and location of these stations have been reviewed and walked-down by the appropriate senior technicians who perform the search & secure process and subject matter experts. These walkdowns resulted in movement and addition of some stations.

Review	ID	Chit
Test Cell DVVR	TCACS02	The consequence of a false E-Stop during a shot can be dire in terms of damage to SDS cabinet components. Consider an assessment of false trips including i) blocking access to doors during operations, ii) redundant switches on doors, iii) other things that might prevent an errant e-stop...

**Closed:** Spurious trips have been addressed in multiple ways:

- 1) PSS-SIS monitored access doors are behind vestibule cage doors that are independently locked with the trapped key system to prevent access door challenges.
- 2) Safety capable components have exclusively been deployed in the monitoring and interdiction components ensuring a quantifiable level of reliability and determinable spurious trip rate.
- 3) A timing scheme has been designed for PSS-SIS so that existing BCS machine-protection systems operate first during a NSTX-U PSS E-Stop event to allow for the optimal shutdown of equipment. Only if the BCS fails to perform it's orderly shutdown does the PSS-SIS terminate interdiction devices.

Review	ID	Chit
NTC Shielding PDR	NTCSHIELD PDR01	Consider the need to install E-Stop buttons in the Basement MER and MER Mezzanine to allow someone to stop an NSTX-U shot if they have not evacuated these areas.

**Closed:** It was determined through the assessment of Direct Ionizing Radiation and other hazards identified in the HAR hazards that NSTX-U PSS E-STOPS would be installed in the MER Mezzanine. The MER Basement posed no Direct Ionizing Radiation risk and therefore no NSTX-U PSS E-Stops were required in that location.

## CR-OSS-06 – Search and Secure

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR16	Should the S&S ready light be installed on the box? If you enforce the dropping the S&S state when out of order box is armed, why do you help the S&S crew in identifying which box is next?
CDR	PSSCDR19	Should the S&S ready light be installed on the S&S box? If you enforce the dropping the S&S state when out of order box is armed, why do you help the S&S crew in identifying which box is next? S&S crew should be well trained to perform the procedure.

**Closed:** Removed the ready light from the design, search crew will be trained in the prescribed path. This training will be added to operator training matrices per the NSTX-U Training & Qualification Program for the operators required to complete these evolutions.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR18	Consider additional Search and Secure stations in the south high bay, particularly on the west side of that area.

**Closed:** Added to PDR design, this additional S&S Station also provides an E-Stop required by ESHD 5008 (50 ft. travel to an E-Stop).

Review	ID	Chit
NTC Shielding PDR	NTCSHIELD PDR08	Add search and secure stations to test cell requirements document.

**Closed:** Between SRD-12 OSS & SRD-24 PSS many requirements have been added for Search & Secure Stations.

## CR-OSS-07 – Configuration

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR41	PSS Configured Items List, Components that are critical to system operation should be identified as critical with a "like for like" replacement strategy. Helps with replacement and analysis.

**Open:** The PPPL policy requires all components provide a like-for-like component replacement unless otherwise specified as equivalent. As part of the design leading towards FDR, specific critical components will be selected and included in the design.

Post FDR, if a critical component requires change that is not like-for-like an engineering change notice (ECN) will be generated. Since the design is ongoing his chit will remain open and be formally closed at FDR.

Review	ID	Chit
Test Cell DVVR	TCACS05	Some drawings related to the HIS may not be fully up to date (I am told). Since this is a human safety system, it seems to me like this may be one place where we need to ensure fully up to date documentation.
NTC Shielding PDR	NTCSHIELD PDR07	While this NTC updates HIS drawings where touched, a broader surveyed assessment of HIS drawing existence and accuracy is needed for the project

**Closed:** Drawings are being updated as needed to reflect PSS systems updates.

### CR-OSS-08 – Cost/Risk Reduction

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR31	Add cost to develop SRSs & SIF test procedures to estimate.

**Closed:** These costs have been included in the revised WAF and were previously included in the FDR planning package.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR34	Consider Basic Control System for Risk Reduction Credit.

**Closed:** It is acknowledged that IEC61511 permits applying a maximum Risk Reduction Credit of 10 to basic control systems. For conservatism the quantitative LOPA performed for PDR did not incorporate this Risk Reduction Credit in the generation of SIF performance requirements. However, this Risk Reduction Credit may be incorporated into the system performance calculations that will be performed for FDR. Accordingly the design team has considered, and will deploy if necessary, the allowed basic control system Risk Reduction Credit as part of the system performance calculations.

### CR-OSS-09 –Design

Review	ID	Chit
--------	----	------

CDR	PSSCDR12	Note that, whenever SLD line switches are closed and ground switches open, the hazard is present. Only the local controls and interlocks, not intended for a safety function, prevent the delivery of energy to the coils by control of the thyristor gate pulses. Moreover, even when the thyristors are not gated, there is voltage on the bus due to thyristor snubber paths. Therefore, the duty factor of pulsing should not be credited in the analysis.
-----	----------	--

**Closed:** This chit refers to the potential of electrical hazards on exposed buswork in the exclusion areas. As documented in the May 8, 2019 Peer Review, electrical hazards will be mitigated through Configuration Managed Safeguards and Laboratory Integrated Safety Management policies/procedures.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR13	Confirm that Allen Bradley 440N door monitor switches are not susceptible to stray magnetic field

**Closed:** The location of the magnetic door monitoring switches are far outside the influence of stray magnetic fields in the test cell. This was verified by examining the distance to the machine centerline and magnetic field maps.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR14	Please consider retaining the personnel door on the SHB labyrinth. Why? It can be used to slow access from the interlocked door to the more hazardous areas of the test cell. No need to retain the card reader, just the door.

**Closed:** The personnel door at the south high bay labyrinth has been preserved, sans card reader.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR24	The Ross HV Divider is not a safety rated device. Consider implementing redundant dividers.

**Closed:** The Ross HV Divider for use in a Safety Instrumented Function has been eliminated in the PDR design.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR25	For SV breaker interdiction, determine if tripping and status interface with PSS can be accomplished in ACDS control boards where the signals are already concentrated, to avoid running cable to individual SV breaker cubicles.

**Closed:** This suggestion was considered but rejected since it is required to have end-to-end IEC61511 compliant hardware/software for Safety Instrumented Function interdicted devices.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR26 PSSCDR27	To avoid interdicting AC input via the numerous individual SV breakers, consider alternate solutions for E-stop such as 1) install new load-break type breakers on the input to each of the SV bus bars for PSS purposes, or 2) install new MG breaker(s) to replace the existing units which are at end of life.

**Closed:** As proposed in the May 8, 2019 peer review, specific breakers in the SV/SF lineup will be replaced in addition to the installation of a new S1-B1 breaker that is upstream of the SV/SF lineup. The replacement addresses adding an additional layer of interdiction (S1-B1), De-Energize-to-Trip undervoltage trip devices, and end-of-life concerns with the existing breakers.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR37	Consider the merits of adding PPS features that help to enforce the configuration of access control barriers (e.g. moveable shielding, cage barriers (e.g. roofs), etc.

**Closed:** Configuration Managed Safeguards have been designed to augment PSS-SIS Safety Instrumented Functions in a complementary and independent way. For instance, a trapped-key-interlock will be installed on a vestibule cage door outside of exclusion area entrance doors so that individuals who are outside the exclusion area are unable to challenge the PSS-SIS monitored entry door. Safeguards that are part of the Configuration Managed Safeguards will be configuration controlled through design documentation, work permits, and dictums of the NSTX-U SAD.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR39	Line-items in the final presentation listed Controllogix PLC - instead of Guardlogix

**Closed:** The Guardlogix product family is a component of the Controllogix family. Features such as chassis, power supplies, cabling, and communication modules are shared between the Guardlogix and Controllogix products. BOMs have been generated in accordance with the manufacturer’s product manuals.

Review	ID	Chit
Test Cell DVVR	TCACS01	Consider reviewing a fault condition where a false high is present in the loop due to a 120V crossover. The concern is that the loop may not drop if a loop short to 120V occurs (through a cable issue etc). Consider running the hot and return wires for the loop through separate conduit or install redundant parallel loops that are run in separate conduits.

**Closed:** The design of the E-Stop ‘loop’ has substantially changed from the TFTR-era 120VAC single-wire E-Stop loop system. PSS-SIS features a home-run individual 24VDC continually pulse-diagnostic monitored circuit to each NSTX-U PSS E-Stop button. The notion of a single-loop exclusion area integrity circuit is also no longer applicable as PSS-SIS features a home-run individual 24VDC continually pulse-diagnostic monitored circuit to each door monitoring switch.

### CR-OSS-10 -Fail-Safe

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR23	Assure that when you convert energy within SIS such as electrical to pneumatic, the selected devices or implementations conform to fail-safe philosophy.

**Closed:** A De-Energize-to-Trip philosophy has been adopted for all PSS-SIS Safety Instrumented Functions. The means of implementation have been documented and demonstrated during the May 8, 2019 Peer Review and in the Preliminary Design materials.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR35	Shunt trip is not fail-safe, the removal of energy should signal the faulted condition and trigger an intervention. Motor driven switches are not fail-safe. There must be a device in the interlock chain that operates under the condition of loss of power. Ground switches are fail-safe, and interrupt hazardous power. Volume bottle does not provide a fail-safe energy source to the ground switches.

**Closed:** PSS-SIS will employ undervoltage trip releases on all interdicted breakers for Safety Instrumented Function actions and not shunt trips. There will be no motor driven switches used for Safety Instrumented Functions. It is presumed that ‘Volume bottle’ is meant to be ‘Vacuum Bottle’. While opening a vacuum breaker is not considered to render a circuit electrically ‘safe’ in accordance with NFPA 70E, the purpose of opening such a breaker is to terminate the flow of significant current required for the generation of Direct Ionizing Radiation. For this purpose, vacuum bottle breakers are sufficient. Discussion of the use of vacuum bottle contactors/breakers and their acceptable use in

SIFs is documented in the article: *“Integrating switchgear breakers and contactors into a safety instrumented function”*, Grattan et. al. May 18, 2010, Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries. The elimination of downstream electrical hazards per NFPA 70E are not part of a Safety Instrumented Function and are mitigated by Laboratory Integrated Safety Management policies/procedures.

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR40	Consider the merits of redesign such that all credited features of the PPS are failsafe. For example, the relay logic for a shunt trip is not fail safe. It is desirable that a foreseeable condition, such as a broken wire, would not be able to defeat the system.

**Closed:** Duplicate - PSSCDR23, PSSCDR35

### CR-OSS-11 – Policy

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSSCDR38	Consider the merits of adopting an approved written policy to help guide consistent and appropriate labeling of PPS system components, conduit, etc.

**Closed:** The configuration management policies of the laboratory drive the application of PSS specific labeling; in addition, a specific PSS design has been developed as described in [NSTX-U-DOC-127](#), *“Labeling, Identification, and Tamper Resistance for the NSTX-U Personnel Safety System”*. This document describes the PDR level design and will be revised as necessary to support the FDR. PSS-SIS has been identified as a Credited Control system, and as such, they will be labeled in accordance with the NSTX-U credited control management program described in the SAD.

### CR-OSS-12 – E-Loop

Review	ID	Chit
Test Cell DVVR	TCACS03	Status transition logic for E-loop. What happens when E-loop is broken during a pulse? Which transition occurs and what sequence of actions is taken?

**Closed:** The status transition is documented in the PSS-SIS software design timing architecture.

Review	ID	Chit
Test Cell DVVR	TCACS04	All E-loop knowledge with one person, combination of others covers part.

**Closed:** While this chit is not actionable, the implementation of the PSS has involved a large design team who share intimate knowledge of the updated NSTX-U PSS E-Stop design. All design aspects are being exhaustively documented for this new system. The system design knowledge does not reside with any single individual.

### CR-OSS-13 – Cable Spread Room

Review	ID	Chit
CDR	PSS CDR21	Consider the Cable Spread Room as a lockable area since it is rarely accessed.

**Closed:** The cable spread room is not an exclusion area monitored by the PSS-SIS. Rather, it is secured and coordinated by the trapped key system.

### CR-OSS-14 – Arc Flash

Review	ID	Chit
PSS Peer Review	PSSPEER01	Examine arc flash safeguards / CMS are adequate.

**Closed:** Informational Note 1 under the definition of Arc Flash Hazard in NFPA 70E 2015 (Standard for Electrical Safety In the Workplace) indicates: *An arc flash hazard may exist when energized electrical conductors or circuit parts are exposed or when they are within equipment in a guarded or enclosed condition, provided a person is interacting with the equipment in such a manner that could cause an electric arc. Under normal operating conditions, enclosed energized equipment that has been properly installed and maintained is not likely to pose an arc flash hazard.*

During dummy load testing, the PCTS is in a guarded state (completely enclosed by covers) and no personnel are interacting with the PCTS such that an electric arc could be initiated. Further detail is provided in memo ARC FLASH HAZARD OF THE POWER CABLE TERMINATION STRUCTURE (PCTS) DURING DUMMY LOAD TESTING, PWR-190605-JD-01.

## CR-OSS-15 – NFPA 101

Review	ID	Chit
PSS Peer Review	PSSPEER02	Emergency exits from the NTC need to be compliant with NFPA 101.

**Closed:** Personnel doors from NTC have NFPA 101 compliant egress hardware. An exterior caged vestibule will have the TKS hardware installed on the cage door with single-motion COTS egress hardware installed. The final caged vestibule will be closed after NTC search & secure, however an individual present behind any closed/locked door will be able to egress with a single-motion outward swinging door. The configuration and hardware have been reviewed and approved by the onsite AHJ.