February 22, 2006 Before NSTX PAC

# Recent Results and Plans of JT-60U, and the Status of JT-60U Modification



## Contents

- Recent Results and Plans of JT-60U
  - Plasma operation with small toroidal ripple reduced by ferritic steel installation
  - Operation plan in 2006
  - Collaborative research
- Status of JT-60U Modification
  - Status after the JA-EU Satellite Tokamak Working Group discussions

## Introduction



time scale

## Large toroidal field ripple constrains the operations in JT-60U

• High  $\beta_N$  operation above no wall ideal limit Wall stabilization effect

 High integrated plasma control current profile control Real time MSE measurement + LHCD

require the large volume configuration close to the outer wall.

In JT-60U,

large toroidal ripple → large fast ion loss

- Reduction of net heating power
- Confinement degradation due to counter rotation or Er produced by large fast ion loss fraction
- Limitation of the LH operation due to large heat load on LH anntena

JT-60Ū

## **Ferritic steel installation**

- JT-60U had suffered from large toroidal field ripple → high energy ion loss
  - loss of net absorbed NB power
  - large heat load to LHRF launcher
  - etc...
- Ferritic steel were installed in the vacuum vessel (~10% of the surface) to reduce the ripple.
- With ferritic steel expected are:
  - net increase in  $P_{NB}^{abs}$  (especially in a plasma close to the outboard wall).
    - $\rightarrow$  high  $\beta_N$  over no-wall limit
  - reliable use of LHRF with high NB heating
    - $\rightarrow$  j(r) control in a high  $\beta_N$  plasma



JT-60U



Ferritic steel optimized at B<sub>T</sub>~1.8T

# 30% increase in net heating power was expected.

 Monte-Carlo simulations for fast ion behavior indicated that total absorbed power is increased by 30% in the large volume configuration ( by 50% for perpendicular NB).



 Reduction of heat load to the outer baffle plate due to the ripple loss was observed (e.g., 0.2 MW/m<sup>2</sup> with ferritic inserts, while 1MW/m<sup>2</sup> without ferritic inserts)

## Plasma shape detection is consistent with T<sub>i</sub> measurement.

- Plasma shape is detected with correction for magnetic flux produced by the ferritic steel.
- Plasma expands for ~2 cm in outer-upper region.
- The last closed flux surface (LCFS) location evaluated from CXRS was consistent with the reconstructed LCFS in an H-mode phase.



# Counter rotation velocity decreases with ferritic steel.

JT-60Ū

- Reduction of the radial electric field attributed to decrease in the fast ion loss made the counter rotation small.
- Nearly zero rotation with perpendicular NB injection and co-rotation with CO-NB injection were observed.



# H-mode confinement was improved with ferritic steel.

- With decreasing the fast ion loss fraction by installing the ferritic steel, the H-mode confinement was clearly improved.
- Pedestal temperature explicitly increased by the existence of ferritic steel.
- Relation to the toroidal rotation and/or the radial electric field is under investigation.



## High β<sub>N</sub> of 3.7 exceeding no-wall ideal limit was achieved with RWM.

- Increase in net heating power and confinement improvement by reducing the fast ion loss in the large plasma configuration close to the outer wall allowed to access high  $\beta_N$  regime exceeding no-wall ideal limit.
- High  $\beta_N$  was terminated by mini collapse.
- The m/n=3/1 mode started at  $\beta_N$ ~3.2. Its amplitude increased gradually and then increased rapidly with  $\tau$  ~2ms (L/R<sub>wall</sub>~10 ms).



## No serious effect of impurities was observed with the ferritic steel.

- Metal impurity lines were observed in the large plasma configuration close to the outer wall.
- Contribution of metal impurity to the radiation was small.
- No increase in oxygen impurity was observed with ferritic steel.



## **JT-60U Operation Plan**

- Operation in FY2005 starts on Nov. 1 and continues until Mar. 2006.
- 8 weeks experimental operation and several weeks conditioning operation in FY2005.
- Schedule in FY2006 is not yet decided officially, but operation will continue until Sep. 2006, with a similar number of weeks as in FY2005.

CY	200	2004 2005							2006															
FY	2004				2005					2006														
	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
JT-60U																								
	maintenance									op	e	era	tic	on										

FY2005								
М	S	М	Т	W	Т	F	S	Expwk
11	30	31	T	2	3	4	5	
	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	Boronization
	27	28	29	30	1	2	3	Rayleigh
12	4	5	6	1	8	9	10	
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	05-1-1
	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	05-1-2
	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	05-1-3
	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	05-1-4
2	29	30	31	1	2	3	4	05-2-1
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	05-2-2
3	26	27	28	1	2	3	4	05-2-3
	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	05-2-4
	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	
	26	27	28	29	30	31	1	

Maintenance
Exp. Operation
Cond. Operation

### Major Targets of JT-60U Experiments during 2005-2006

- 1. Achievement of longer sustainment (> 25 s) of  $\beta_N$  = 2-2.5 and HH~1.
- 2. Achievement of high beta exceeding the free-boundary stability limit ( $\beta_N > 3.5$ ).
- Extension of duration of high bootstrap current fraction (70-80%) and development of control schemes of self-organized plasmas.
- 4. Extension of performance under quasi-steady fully noninductive current drive condition.
- 5. Extension of fusion triple product in long pulse discharges (aiming at 5x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>skeV x 20 s)
- 6. Achievement of stationary sustainment of high confinement under saturated wall conditions by active divertor-pumping.

### **Status of Contribution to ITPA from JT-60U**

- The Fourth IEA Large Tokamak Workshop (W62) on "Implementation of the ITPA Coordinated Research Recommendations" was held on November 1-2, 2005, in General Atomics.
- The number of proposals which need JT-60U is 34.

Topical Group	Number of proposals	Discussion Results	Number of proposals which need JT-60U
Confinement Database and Modelling	5	E(3), E/D(1), D(1)	2
Transport Physics	15	E(14), D(1)	9
Pedestal and Edge Physics	10	E(10)	4
Scrape-off Layer and Divertor Physics	13	E(11), P(2)	7
MHD, Disruption, and Magnetic Control	9	E(9)	4
Steady-State Operation	8	E(6), D(1), P(1)	8
Total	60	E(53), E/D(1), D(3), P(3)	34

#### ITPA/IEA Joint Experiments between Various Tokamaks

• E:The IEA program leaders accepted this item as a well defined joint experiment

• D: The proposed activity appears to be a joint experiment but further definition is required

 P:The item proposed was judged to be important programmatic activity appropriate to the research of the ITPA but not a joint experiment  JAEA is striving for research collaboration with the universities and NIFS.



Fiscal Year

# Status of JT-60U Modification\*



\*referred as NCT tentatively in this document.

## **JA-EU Satellite Tokamak Working Group**

#### **Terms of Reference**

- Review JA technical proposal and estimated costs of JT-60 modification program in order to assess the suitability of the proposed changes for the use of JT-60 as a satellite tokamak to ITER in the BA context;
- (2) Identify possible areas of contribution by the EU to the procurement of the modifications and in the subsequent exploitation of the machine in the BA context;
- (3) Envisage the organization of the exploitation of the modified JT-60, including the possible role of the EU;
- (4) Identify elements for a joint scientific programme of exploitation in the BA context;
- (5) Submit a joint interim report by Mid November 2005 containing its findings and recommendations.

#### Members

- Chair: S. Matsuda (JAEA)
  JA members: M. Kikuchi (JAEA, Contact Person), Y. Miura (JAEA), Y. Takase (U. Tokyo), M. Matsukawa (JAEA), S. Sakurai (JAEA)
  EU members: F. Romanelli (ENEA, Contact Person), J. Pamela (EFDA), D. Campbell (EFDA CSU),
  - C. Sborchia(IPP), J.J. Cordier (CEA), S.Clement-Lorenzo (EC).

#### Meetings

- (1) Informal meeting: September 20, 2005 at Genova
- (2) 1<sup>st</sup> meeting: October 5,6, 2005 at NAKA
- (3) 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting: November 3,4,5 at Garching
- (4) 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting: November 14,15 at NAKA

## **Role of Satellite Tokamak in the Broader Approach**

# The EU and JA have agreed that within the "Broader Approach", the Satellite Tokamak should serve the following functions:

#### • During ITER construction:

- to optimize operation scenarios for ITER
- to optimize ITER auxiliary systems which come later in the construction of ITER
- to train, in an international environment, scientists, engineers and technicians in view of the integrated operation and scientific exploitation of ITER

#### • During ITER operation:

- to support further development of operating scenarios and the understanding of physics issues
- to test possible modifications before their implementation on ITER

• The main functions in support to DEMO will be to explore operational regimes and issues complementary to those being addressed in ITER. In particular these will include:

- steady state operation
- advanced plasma regimes (higher normalized plasma pressure:  $\beta$  )
- control of power fluxes to walls.

#### Modified JT-60U Facility



NCT parameters						
Plasma Current	5.5MA/3.5MA					
Major Radius	3.01m/3.16m					
Minor radius	1.14m / 1.02m					
Elongation K95	1.83 / 1.7					
Triangularity δ <sub>95</sub>	0.57 / 0.33					
Toroidal field B <sub>t</sub>	2.72/2.59					
Safety factor q95	3.77/ 3.0					
Flat top	100s (8hours)					
H&CD power	41MWx100s					
Perp NB	16MW					
Co P-NB	4MW					
CTR P-NB	4MW					
N-NB	10MW					
ECRF	7MW					
PFC heat flux	$10 MW/m^2$					
Annual neutron	$4x10^{21}$					

- Extension of the heating and current drive (H&CD) capability to 41MW for 100s, involving improvements in the performance of the neutral beam and electron cyclotron heating systems;
- Inclusion of an option for upgrading to metallic Plasma Facing Components, while ensuring a high power handling capability;
- Increase in the neutron budget by an order of magnitude with respect to the original proposal to allow for a comprehensive exploitation of the device capabilities, which requires the in-vessel components to be remote handle-able.

Sub-system	Composition	Torus input
P-NBI (85keV) co-injection	2units x 2MW	4MW
P-NBI (85keV) counter-injection	2units x 2MW	4MW
P-NBI (85keV) perpendicular	8units x 2MW	16MW
N-NBI (500keV) co-injection	2units x 5MW	10MW
ECRF 110GHz + 140GHz	4units x 0.75MW +5unitsx0.8MW	7MW
Total		41MW
	Annual neutro	on $4 \times 10^{21}$

## Scenario (ELMy H-mode and Hybrid Operation)

Analysis of NCT's capability for exploration of ELMy H-mode and hybrid scenarios indicates that it can explore a wide range of ITER-relevant issues. In particular, the possibility to operate in long-pulses (~100s) with ITER-shaped plasmas at 3.5MA/2.42T.

NCT 3.5MA **NCT**(3.5MA) ITER ITER R 6.2 3.16 TOSCA calculation shows 1.02 2 а that the necessary flux for the 3.1 Α flat top plasma current for <-3.5MA,100sec (30MW, 1.7 <-К95 CO(4)+CTR(4)+Perp(16)+NN B(6)) is about 13Wb. 0.33  $\delta_{95}$ <-5.3 2.42 B<sub>T</sub> It is estimated that the plasma current of 3.5MA is sustained 3.5 15 Þ for 100sec. 3 <**q**<sub>95</sub> 1.19E+20 1.07E+20n<sub>GW</sub>

### Scenario (Non-inductive steady-state operation)

- The analysis of NCT's capability for exploration of a full current drive scenario shows that 3 MA/2.44T full current drive with a Greenwald fraction  $f_{GW}$  of 0.55, bootstrap current fraction,  $f_{BS}$  of 0.56,  $HH_{98y2}$  = 1.30 and  $q_{95}$ =5.3 is possible using the total power of 41 MW (24MW P-NBI, 10 MW N-NBI and 7 MW ECRF). The achievable normalized beta,  $\beta_N$ , is 3.6.

- A higher  $\beta_N$  of 4.4 at  $f_{GW}$  =0.88,  $f_{BS}$ =0.70, HH<sub>98y2</sub> = 1.32 and  $q_{95}$ =5.5, (which is similar to JA designed DEMO J05, slim CS), is possible at 2.4MA/1.79T with the total power of 41MW.



### **Comparison with DEMO J05, slim CS**

ρ<sub>i</sub>\* /ρ<sub>i</sub>\*DEMO =3
 ν<sub>e</sub>\*/ ν<sub>e</sub>\*DEMO =2.4



	NCT 41 MW	DEMO(J05, slim CS)		
I <sub>p</sub> [MA]	2.4	16.7		
B <sub>t</sub> [T]	1.79	6.0		
R <sub>p</sub> [m]	3.08	5.5		
А	2.65	2.6		
<b>q</b> <sub>95</sub>	5.52	5.4		
κ	1.82	2		
β <sub>N</sub>	4.37	4.3		
β <b>[%]</b>	5.0	5.7		
HH <sub>98y2</sub>	1.32	1.3		
f <sub>BS</sub>	0.70	0.77		
f <sub>GW</sub>	0.88	1.15		
n <sub>e</sub> [10 <sup>19</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> ]	5.0	12		
<t<sub>i&gt; [keV]</t<sub>	4.0	17		
ρ <sub>i</sub> * [10 <sup>-3</sup> ]	6.2	2.1		
v <sub>e</sub> * [10 <sup>-2</sup> ]	2.4	1.0		

## **Equilibrium and PF Control**

NCT appears to have considerable flexibility for equilibrium variations providing access, in principle, to a wide range of physics studies:

- Studies of vertical stability confirm the importance of the stabilizing plate.
- Internal coils for fast position control will provide additional flexibility



## Summary

## -Recent Results and Plans of JT-60U

- Ferritic steel was installed for reducing the toroidal field ripple.
  - Reduction of fast ion loss and counter rotation were observed.
  - Confinement improved with decreasing fast ion loss fraction.
  - High  $\beta_N$  exceeding no wall ideal limit was achieved.
  - No serious impurity effects were observed.
- International and domestic collaborative research is performed intensively

## - Status of JT-60U Modification

- Heating and current drive capability is now 41 MW for 100 sec.
- Inclusion of an option of metallic PFC.
- Increase neutron budget => in-vessel components to be remote handle-able.

JAEA