

Supported by



## Advanced Scenarios and Control (ASC): Recent Results and Plans

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL **PPPL** PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** 

#### S.P. Gerhardt, TSG Leader

M. Bell, TSG Deputy E. Kolemen, Theory & Modeling Support

> NSTX PAC #29 Jan. 27<sup>th</sup>, 2011





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP.** Garching ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

Science

#### **Motivation and Outline**

- Goals of the ASC TSG:
  - 1: Develop control strategies for long-pulse high- $\beta$  ST/AT scenarios.
    - Both control modeling and PCS development.
    - ASC personnel involved in developing control requirements for ITER.
    - Involved in ITPA IOS
  - 2: Combine control strategies with physics advances for improved scenarios....
    - ...for NSTX & NSTX-Upgrade physics needs.
    - ...for the long term ST development path.

#### <u>In this talk</u>

- Highlights of 2010 results:
  - $J_{\parallel}(\psi)$  modeling, scenario development, shape control, NSTX-U prototyping.
    - Indicate 2011-2012 plans in magenta.
  - ASC impurity control efforts covered by R. Maingi's talk.
- Summary of implications for NSTX-Upgrade.
- Strategies and priorities for 2011 & 2012.



- + 100% non-inductive scenarios with  $I_{\rm P} {=} 950 \mbox{ kA}$  and elevated  $q_{min}$ 
  - Avoid low-order NTMs and core kinks.
- N.I. fraction and shear reversal determined by:
  - Beam source and voltage
  - Plasma density.
  - Plasma shape.
- Must control these (and other) quantities for optimal performance in NSTX-U and future STs.

#### Current Profile Modeling Validated For a Wide Range of Scenarios



#### TAE Avalanches Lead to Major Modifications of the Beam Driven Current Profile





#### TAE Avalanches Lead to Major Modifications of the Beam Driven Current Profile



- Modeled TAE avalanches using spatially and temporally localized fast-ion diffusivity  $D_{FI}(\psi,t)$ .
- Use  $S_n$  drops to determine  $D_{FI}(\psi,t)$  details.
- Reinforces need for predictive modeling of avalanche transport.

#### TAE Avalanches Lead to Major Modifications of the Beam Driven Current Profile





#### ASC Experiments Tested Triggering and Control of Enhanced Pedestal H-Modes (EP-H)





#### ASC Experiments Tested Triggering and Control of Enhanced Pedestal H-Modes (EP-H)



- 2010 run goal: reliably trigger and control EP-H modes.
  - n=3 pulses for triggering
  - $\beta_N$  feedback for control
- n=3 pulses which triggered ELMs not reliable in triggering EP-H.
- Developed a low-q<sub>95</sub> scenario with
   EP-H transitions at end of I<sub>P</sub> ramp.
  - β<sub>N</sub> controller reduced power after EP-H transition.
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> ELM terminated EP-H
    - (single LITER that day).
- Implications for FY-11 & 12:
  - Revisit when dual LITER system is operational.
  - Understand if  $q_{95}$ ,  $I_P$  or something else governs access.
  - Assess prospect for high- $f_{NI}$  operation at reduced  $I_{P}$ .



#### Developed Divertor/Boundary Control to Support Lithium Research and Boundary Physics Programs

- 2009: Developed a inner and outer lower strike-point (S.P.) controller.
- 2010: Dramatic improvements in control algorithms and tuning:
  - Refined 4 S.P. controller...used in initial LLD experiment.
  - Developed combined outer S.P. and X-point height controller



- Achieved RMS <1 cm X-point height error and <2 cm SP.</li>
  - Use controller for later LLD experiments.

PAC 27-6

#### In-Line System-ID Facilitated Rapid Shape Control Development

- "Relay-Controller" replaces the PID operator with fixed positive or negative response.
  - New feature allows PID gains to be determined in a single shot.
- All gains derivable from the parameters h, A &  $P_U$ .



- Capability could be ported to other facilities using GA PCS and ISOFLUX.
- Plans for boundary control in FY-11 & 12
  - Add additional coils to boundary control schemes.
  - Improve transitions between control phases.
  - Support advanced divertor research.
    - Beginning to scope PCS requirement for snowflake control.





#### Use of PF-4 Coil in FY-10 Supports High-Current Upgrade **Scenarios**

- Required for high-current, high- $I_i$  in NSTX-U ( $I_{PF-4}/I_{PF-5} \sim 1/2$ ).
  - Provides ability to vary and optimize the boundary squareness.
- Coil used with PF-4/PF-5 ratios far larger than required for NSTX-Upgrade.
- Plans for FY-11 & 12:
  - Revisit the effect of squareness on n=0 stability, integrated performance.
  - Incorporate PF-4 in more generic boundary control algorithms.



#### **Example Shapes With** Various PF-4 Currents





#### Discharges With NSTX-Upgrade Aspect Ratio and Elongation Produced for Long Pulse at High-β





#### Discharges With NSTX-Upgrade Aspect Ratio and Elongation Produced for Long Pulse at High-β



# Recent Results Are Quite Positive For NSTX-Upgrade and ST-Development, But Important Questions Remain

- Demonstrated long pulse, high- $\beta_N$  operation at NSTX-Upgrade aspect ratio and elongation.
- Making substantial progress on divertor and boundary control, in support of many TSGs.
- Snowflake divertor & divertor gas injection shown to reduce heat flux & impurities (in BP TSG).
- Advanced RWM control proven successful (in MS TSG).
- Current drive modeling assumptions verified over a wide range of scenarios.
- Identified and studied a potentially important new operating regime (EP-H mode).

#### **Outstanding ASC Issues for Focused Study in 2011 & 12**

- Boundary control can be more challenging at high- $\kappa$ .
- Vertical stability is degraded at higher aspect ratio.

- Motivates the n=0 control component of R(11-2)
- Density reduction and impurity control remains challenging.
- Work with BP, LR, ITER TSGs to address these issues:
  - Improve reliability of scenarios with reduced n<sub>e</sub> at start of flat-top.
    - ASC milestone R(12-3), supports lithium research program.
  - Reduce/control impurity accumulation.
    - Provide scenario and control support.

#### ASC Research in 2011 & 12 Will Directly Support Upgrade and Next-Step n=0 Control Needs

- Optimize boundary regulation and control.
  - Include more couplings between the boundary shape and different coils.
    - Use a more fully populated "M-matrix" in ISOFLUX.
    - Example problem: improved top/bottom gap control at high- $\kappa$  &  $\delta$ .
  - Modify PCS algorithms for reduced voltage transients at control transitions.
- Develop improved vertical control.
  - Improved realtime dZ<sub>P</sub>/dt for derivative controller.
  - Optimize gains in scenarios of interest.
  - If insufficient, test RWM coils for n=0 control.
    - Less field than the PF-3 coils, but much faster.
- Continue to work towards rotation control.
  - State space control algorithm has been designed.
  - Installing fibers, spectrometer and camera.
    - Real-time curve fitting at 5kHz demonstrated.
  - Test realtime camera acquisition in 2011, assess if feasible for control in 2012.

- Passive VDE growth rate increases by a factor of 3 at NSTX-U high-A and  $\kappa$  shape.
- Observe loss of Z<sub>P</sub> control when I<sub>i</sub>>~0.6 at A=1.65.





PAC 27-2, PAC 27-6

#### ASC Research Will Support Low-n<sub>e</sub> Startup and Impurity Control Goals For NSTX-Upgrade

- R(12-3) will optimize/understand low-density startup for Li research program and NSTX-U
  - Study the disruptive MHD that prevents lower fuelling (locked tearing modes, ideal MHD,...).
  - Implement density feedback for more reliable startup conditions.
  - Vary the ramp-rate, heating time history while reducing gas input.
  - Continue to explore error field correction, magnetic balance optimization, triggered ELMs.
- Will work with ITER, BP & LR TSGs to support divertor control needs and molybdenum tile optimization.
  - Balanced double null for heat flux mitigation.
  - High-performance scenarios w/ OSP on or off Moly. tiles (if installed).
  - Test HHFW to modify impurity transport (+ core heating) in H-mode.
    - Efforts deferred to 2011-12.





#### FY-13 & 14 Outage Period Will Be Critical For Upgrade Operations and Physics Preparations

- Finish analysis & publication of experimental results from 2011/2012 run.
- Restoration of magnetic sensors for equilibrium reconstruction & control.
- Required upgrades to the plasma control system.
  - Additional coils and power supplies in PCS, downstream software.
  - rtEFIT updates for additional coils and new sensors.
  - Control of additional NB sources from PCS.
- Comprehensive scenario analysis in preparation for initial operations.
  - Test profiles against transport models, scenarios against stability constraints.
  - Model scenario implications of various particle and power handling concepts.
- Develop methodology for NSTX-U control needs.
  - Develop current profile control algorithms using rtEFIT and beam modulations.
  - Scope requirements and begin design of an rtMSE diagnostic.
  - Control requirements for particle & power handling concepts, including snowflake divertor.

#### ASC Research Supports the Needs of the NSTX Program, ITER, and the ST Development Path

- Boundary and divertor magnetic control:
  - Developed OSP radius and ISP height controller using inline system-ID.
- Scenario modeling:
  - Found good agreement with (neo)classical calculations when low-f MHD is absent.
  - Modeled modifications to NBCD from TAE avalanches and coupled m/n=1/1+2/1 modes.
- High performance scenario development:
  - Explored "Enhanced Pedestal H-mode".
- Impurity reduction strategies (R. Maingi's Talk):
- NSTX-Upgrade prototyping:
  - Development of squareness control/combined PF-4 & 5 operation.
  - Large aspect ratio studies.



# Backup



## **FY-10 Run Information**



#### **ASC Experimental Highlights From the 2010 Run**

- Improved magnetic control control:
  - Developed 4 SP controller to support LLD experiments.
  - Developed OSP radius and ISP height controller, using inline system-ID.
- Confirmed models of the current profile components over a range of  $q_{95}$ .
  - Found good agreement with (neo)classical calculations when low-f MHD is absent.
  - Modeled modifications to NBCD from TAE avalanches and coupled m/n=1/1+2/1 modes.
- High performance scenario development:
  - Explored "Enhanced Pedestal H-mode".
  - Developed scenarios with sustained  $\beta_P$ >2.
- Scenario development with reduced density and impurities:
  - Tested RMP pulses for impurity screening.
  - Demonstrated modifications to the early magnetic balance for impurity reduction.
  - Studied lower-density startup with improved error field correction.
  - Tested impurity reduction techniques in the high- $\beta_P$  low-V<sub>loop</sub> scenarios.
- Prototyping of NSTX-Upgrade scenarios:
  - Development of squareness control/combined PF-4 & 5 operation.
  - Large aspect ratio studies.



#### **Receiving Run Time**

- XMP-64 (Kolemen et al.) Four S.P. controller (LLD support).
- XP-1006 (Gerhardt, et al.) High- $\beta_P$  scenarios with reduced impurities and higher  $f_{NI}$ . Includes low- $I_P$  experiments.
- XP-1003 (Kolemen, et al.) Combined X-point height and OSP radius control.
- XP-1064 (Canik, et al.) Development of long-pulse enhanced pedestal H-mode.
- XP-1027 (Canik et al.) RMPs below ELM triggering threshold for impurity screening.
- XP-1025 (Canik et al.) Synergistic effects between 3D field and vertical jogs for ELM pacing.
- XP-1004 (Menard, et al.) Application of early error field correction to advanced scenarios.
- XP-1005 (Menard, et al.) Modifications to the early discharge evolution to reduce late impurities.
- XP-1058 (Kolemen, et al.) Squareness control and optimization.
- XP-1071 (Gerhardt, et al.) High aspect-ratio and elongation development

#### **Planned But Not Run**

XP-1007 (Bell, Canik, et al.) Use of HHFW for increasing f<sub>NI</sub> and reducing impurities. XP-1008 (Gerhardt, et al.) Early HHFW for modification of the current profile evolution.



#### **ASC Related Publications in 2010**

- R. Maingi, et al., Triggered Confinement Enhancement and Pedestal Expansion in High-Confinement-Mode Discharges in the National Spherical Torus Experiment, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2010).
- S.P. Gerhardt, et al., Calculation of the Non-Inductive Current Profile in High-Performance NSTX Plasmas, accepted at Nuclear Fusion.
- S.P. Gerhardt, et al., Implementation of β<sub>N</sub> Control in the National Spherical Torus Experiment, accepted at Fusion Science and Technology.
- S.P. Gerhardt, et al., Recent Progress Toward an Advanced Spherical Torus Operating Point in NSTX, submitted to Nuclear Fusion.
- E. Kolemen, et al., Strike point control for the National Spherical Torus Experiment (NSTX), Nuclear Fusion (2010).
- E. Kolemen, et al., Plasma modeling results and shape control improvements for NSTX, submitted to Nuclear Fusion.
- IAEA, EPS, & APS contributed talks and posters.



## Scenario Development With Reduced Density and/or Impurities



#### Low density plasmas with and without early EFC show early EFC increases rotation 10-20% for t=120-180ms

- Delay of early H-mode by reduced early fueling reduces density by 30-40% at t=0.2s (vs. reference)
  - Similar to what typically happens with increased LITER evaporation
- Additional EFC <u>phase</u>, amplitude scans (in 2011) might be able to further increase rotation at reduced density.

20

10

5

0

-5

-10

kНz

15 - t=200ms

t=180ms

t=160ms

t=140ms

t=120ms

0.8



Plasma current



#### Divertor Modifications Can Reduce Heat Loading and Carbon Influx





#### Snowflake Divertor

#### 3D Field Pulses Below ELM Triggering Threshold Ineffective for Impurity Screening

- Response to n=3 field observed in divertor  $D_{\alpha}$  even when pulse is too brief or low amplitude to trigger ELM
- 3D field optimized for sub-threshold pulses
  - Maximize n=3 amplitude, duration while avoiding large ELMs
- Without ELMs, particle expulsion insufficient for impurity control
  - No dramatic impact on P<sub>rad</sub> or carbon inventory evolution





# Early $\delta r_{sep}$ Change from -7mm to 0 Reduces Impurity Confinement and/or Generation and Reduces C $Z_{eff}$ by ~1



**NSTX** 

## Scenario Development For NSTX Upgrade



# Significant Reduction of the Calculated No-Wall $\beta_N$ Limit in Large-Aspect Ratio Scenarios

- Use actual equilibria, reconstructed with MSE, Te-Isotherm, magnetics.
- For each reconstructed equilibria
  - Scale pressure profile up and down many times, and compute fixed boundary equilibria (CHEASE)
  - Compute  $\delta W$  for each one, find  $\beta_N$  where  $\delta W$ =0 (DCON).
- Repeat for many many time slices and then sort those with similar  $q_0$ .
- $I_i$  tended to decrease with A, but no clear trend in  $F_P$ .
- Experiment did not actively push the  $\beta_N$  limit...high-priority task in FY-11/12



#### Vertical Stability Will Be More Challenging in NSTX-Upgrade

- High-A &  $\kappa$  plasma tend to loose n=0 control when  $l_i\!\!>\!\!0.62.$
- Provides motivation for improvements to the vertical controller.
- Freeze vertical control, allow the plasma to drift vertically.
- Factor of 3 increase in growth rate for fixed I<sub>i</sub>.







## Wide Variety of "Double Null" Divertors, with High-A and κ, are Possible with NSTX Coil Set





## **EPH Mode Development**



# EP-H Development in 2010 Attempted to use SGI, 3-D Fields, and $\beta_N$ Control to Develop a Reliable Scenario (I)

#### Day #1: Test triggering of EP-H with 3-D Field Pulses

- Goals
  - Use Lithium and SGI to facilitate transition.
  - Trigger EP-H (with e.g. 3D fields, SGI)
  - Sustain using feedback ( $\beta_N$  + RWM)
- Results
  - Able to produce EP-H late in current ramp using SGI
    - Not successful in extending these into flat-top
  - Failed to get EP-H in flat-top using 3D field pulses (too early in run?)
- Status
  - Mid-run database of observed EP-H's suggested low-q<sub>95</sub> would help with access.
  - Idea for next attempt: try low-q discharge
    - Begin with  $I_p/B_t=1.2/.45$





# EP-H Development in 2010 Attempted to use SGI, 3-D Fields, and $\beta_N$ Controls to Develop a Reliable Scenario (II)

#### Day #2 (1/2 day): Attempt to optimize the pre-SoFT transition scenario

- Natural EP-H phases commonly attained at reduced q<sub>95</sub>~6 (I<sub>p</sub>=1.2 MA)
  - No SGI or n=3 triggers used
  - Occurred (early) in flat-top
- β-feedback control attempted to extend EP-H
  - Aggressive feedback parameters (gain and target beta) successful in rapidly dropping power following transition
  - Early disruption avoided, but second ELM ended EP-H (more Li needed?)





# Thermal barrier: Edge $T_e$ , $T_i$ double, with a reduction in the edge $n_e$ gradient, and an increase in $v_{\phi}$ shear



**()** NSTX

## Modeling of High Performance H-Mode Plasmas



#### Successful Bench-Mark of TRANSP Neutron Dynamics Against Measurements





#### TAE Avalanches Simulated in TRANSP Using Impulsive Anomalous Fast Ion Diffusion



- Adjust start time and duration of the pulses to match measured neutron rate drops.
- Fix amplitudes, widths for a given TRANSP run.

$$D_{FI}(\rho_{pol},t) = \frac{A_{FI}(t)}{2} \left[ 1 - \tanh\left(\frac{\rho_{pol} - w}{0.05}\right) \right] + D_{FI,DC}$$



#### "Optimized" Fast Ion Diffusion Profile Leads to Agreement on the Current Profile





#### Current Profile Reconstructions Have Been Done For a Wide Range of *MHD-Free* Plasmas





## **Other Scenario Development**



#### **Developed Scenarios With Sustained** $\beta_p$ =2

- Show 500, 600 & 700 kA discharges from 2009 and 2010.
- 500 kA case sustains a total  $\beta_P$  of 2.
- NBCD fraction is much lower for the high  $\beta_P$  cases.
  - Injected power is lowest
  - Electron temperature is lowest.
- Average loop voltage is minimized at the higher current.



![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Other Control Development**

![](_page_43_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Plasma Control System (PCS) Development Focused on Milestone and NSTX-Upgrade Research Needs

- 2 new PCS programmers hired in October and November of 2010.
  - Previous PCS programmer gone to gradschool.
  - Hires are an important investment in future capabilities.
  - Their learning curve likely a pace setting item for near-term control development.
- Important PCS physics development tasks (in approximate priority order).
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> SPA installation and code upgrades.
    - Restoration of previous capabilities, RWM coils for n=0 control, n=1&2 LQG RWM.
  - Improved n=0 equilibrium and stability control.
    - Improved dZ/dt estimator, reduced voltage transients during control transitions.
  - Density feedback.
    - Realtime processing of the FIRETIP interferometer signal.
- Realtime  $V_{\phi}$  diagnostic being developed for rotation control.
  - Presently installing fibers, spectrometer, and camera.
  - Various strategies for transferring data to PCS being evaluated.
  - State space rotation controller has been designed, awaits realtime diagnostic data.

![](_page_44_Picture_16.jpeg)

# $\beta_{\text{N}} \text{ Controller Implemented Using NB Modulations} \\ \text{ and rtEFIT } \beta_{\text{N}}$

- Controller implemented in the General Atomics plasma control system (PCS), implemented at NSTX.
- Measure  $\beta_N$  in realtime with rtEFIT.
- Use PID scheme to determine requested power:

$$e = \beta_{N,reqeust} - LPF(\beta_{N,RTEFIT};\tau_{LPF})$$

$$P_{inj} = P_{\beta_N}\overline{C}_{\beta_N}e + I_{\beta_N}\overline{C}_{\beta_N}\int edt + D_{\beta_N}\overline{C}_{\beta_N}\frac{de}{dt}$$

$$\overline{C}_{\beta_N} = \frac{I_P V B_T}{200\mu_0 a\tau}$$

- Use Ziegler-Nichols method to determine P & I.
  - Based on magnitude, delay, and time-scale of the  $\beta_N$  response to beam steps.
- Convert "analog" requested power to NB modulations.
  - Minimum modulation time of 15 msec.

![](_page_45_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Control of 4 Strike Points Developed To Support LLD Experiments

- FY-09 S.P. Control
  - Had uncontrolled dr<sub>sep</sub> ramps when only lower S.P. under control.
- FY-10: Optimized 4 S.P. Controller
  - Eliminated dr<sub>sep</sub> ramps.
  - Used for initial LLD experiments.

![](_page_46_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### **New Capability for Experimental System ID: Closed Loop Auto-tune with Relay Feedback**

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

The closed-loop plant response period  $(P_u)$  & amplitude (A) are used for PID controller tuning.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} K_c & \tau_I & \tau_D \\ \hline P & 0.5K_{cu} & & \\ PI & 0.45K_{cu} & P_u/1.2 & \\ PID & 0.6K_{cu} & P_u/2 & P_u/8 \end{array} \quad K_{cu} = \frac{4h}{\pi A}$$

- Multiple advantages to closed loop tuning:
  - Single-shot system-ID
    - Save experimental time.
  - Enable system ID for actuators that can't be open loop
    - e.g. vertical control.

![](_page_47_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **Developing a Better Reatime dZ/dt Estimator For Improved** Vertical Control in 2011 & 12

- NSTX has historically used the voltage difference between two loops for derivative control on the vertical position.
  - Assume that  $Z_P = \alpha (\psi_U \psi_L)$ , so that  $\frac{dZ_P}{dt} = \alpha (V_U V_L)$ . Proportional part of PD controller provided by ISOFLUX.
- Use of additional loops can significantly improve the fidelity of the position, and thus velocity, estimate.
- Bringing additional loop voltages into PCS for improved dZ/dt measurement

![](_page_48_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_7.jpeg)

PAC-29, Advanced Scenarios and Control (S. Gerhardt)

#### **Development of Real-Time NB Control Enables** $\beta_N$ and Rotation Control

 $\beta_{N}$  control demonstrated in 2009, optimized in 2010. Model Long-term plan to control the rotation profile. **Torque** 0.8 **Profiles** - RWM & EF physics as a function of  $\beta$  and rotation. 0.6 3-D Transport dynamics vs. rotation shear. T<sub>.</sub>(p) Fields Pedestal stability vs. edge rotation. 0.4 Neutral What is the optimal rotation profile for integrated Beams (TRANSP) plasma performance? 0.2 Use a state-space controller based on a momentum 0 0.2 0 0.4 0.6 0.8 x 10<sup>4</sup> Neutral beams provide torque. 10 Achievable Profiles For 3-D fields provide braking. Various Values of Different toroidal mode numbers provide different 8 **Control Power** ມ [rad/sec] 6 Increasing Control Power 2 0 0.2 0.8 0 0.4 0.6 ρ K. Taira, E., Kolemen, C.W.

- magnetic braking profiles.
  - Use 2<sup>nd</sup> Switching Power Amplifier (SPA) for simultaneous n=1,2 &3 fields.
- Developing rt-V $_{\phi}$  diagnostic for FY-11.
  - Camera has been purchased.
  - Is being tested for real-time acquisition.
- PCS control algorithm implementation driven by the measurement.

\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_

balance model.

Rowley, and N.J. Kasdin, Princeton University.

#### Improvements to the Shape Controller Will Allow Higher Performance Scenarios and Reduce Development Time.

- Key issue: individual controllers are "selfish"
  - Good control of individual quantities like outer gap or S.P. radius...
  - ...but bottom or inner gaps go to zero.
  - ISOFLUX controller produces voltage requests via the "M-Matrix" of weights.

![](_page_50_Picture_5.jpeg)

- M is essentially a diagonal matrix for present scenarios.
  - Implies that the controllers are ignorant of each other.
- More accurate boundary control can be achieved with more complex M-matrix.
  - Accounting for controller interactions.
    - Important at the number of coils increases.
  - Proper weighting of the most important parameters.
    - Can be scenario dependent.
- Desired physics and operations benefits:
  - Better regulation of inner and bottom gaps in high-performance plasmas.
  - More rapid development of complex scenarios.
- Highly desirable for NSTX-Upgrade, where manual programming of 16 coils, including interactions, will likely be impossible.

**Example high-** $\kappa$  shape and control segments OH leakage flux drives the bottom gap to zero

![](_page_50_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_19.jpeg)

۰