

Supported by



# Thermography analysis of transient events in NSTX



## Outline

- Calculate the 2D heat flux distribution with TACO code
  - $\bullet$  How to choose the  $\alpha$  parameter
  - Comparison of the Taco and Theodor results
- The characteristics of ELM divertor heat flux
  - Type III ELM
  - •Type V ELM
  - •Type I ELM
  - •Different situation ELM heat flux
- Survery the power load with 2D heat flux distribution
  - Toroidal asymmetry on divertor heat flux
  - Strange edge transport during L-H transition
  - Different 2D heat flux between type V and type III ELM



## Outline

- Calculate the 2D heat flux distribution with TACO code
  - $\bullet$  How to choose the  $\alpha$  parameter
  - Comparison of the Taco and Theodor results
- The characteristics of ELM divertor heat flux
  - Type III ELM
  - •Type V ELM
  - •Type I ELM
  - Different situation ELM heat flux
- Survery the power load with 2D heat flux distribution
  - Toroidal asymmetry on divertor heat flux
  - Strange edge transport during L-H transition
  - Different 2D heat flux between type V and type III ELM



# Taco code

- Taco is a inversion algorithm on a 3D Fourier method which solve the heat transfer equation and get 2D heat flux data.
- previous Taco did not consider the heat transmission coefficient,  $\alpha$  parameter (Herrmann)
- Negative heat flux was often calculated after transient event
- •Negative heat flux can be removed with  $\alpha$  parameter
- $\bullet \, \alpha$  parameter has large impact on the calculation of peak heat fluxes







### Choose the $\alpha$ value



G De Temmerman, PPCF, 52 (2010) 095005 (14pp)

• IN MAST, the optimum value of  $\alpha$  for the LWIR case sits at the knee of the curve while in the MWIR case, it sits in the steepest part of the curve •IN NSTX, Choose the  $\alpha$  value to keep the energy deposition constant after discharge



## Comparison of TACO and THEODOR results



- Good consistent q on a single radial line between TACO and THEODOR
- The heat flux distribution almost the same between TACO and THERDOR on a single radial line



# Outline

- Calculate the 2D heat flux distribution with TACO code
  - $\bullet$  How to choose the  $\alpha$  parameter
  - Comparison of the Taco and Theodor results
- The characteristics of ELM divertor heat flux
  - Type III ELM
  - •Type V ELM
  - •Type I ELM
  - •Different situation ELM heat flux
- Survery the power load with 2D heat flux distribution
  - Toroidal asymmetry on divertor heat flux
  - Strange edge transport during L-H transition
  - Different 2D heat flux between type V and type III ELM



## **Divertor heat flux during type III ELM**





## Divertor heat flux during type V ELM with high $\delta$

•Type V ELM will Increase the transport and reduce the peak heat flux with large  $\lambda_{\alpha}$ 

• A strange heat flux region existed between 0.25-0.30s







## **Divertor heat flux during type V ELM with low** $\delta$

- $\bullet$  During 0.201-0.208s, the  $q_{peak}$  increased and  $\lambda_q$  decreased and power deposition seems constant
- •the q<sub>peak</sub> is 0.62MW/m<sup>2</sup> at 0.24s, 1.93MW/m<sup>2</sup> at 0.21s. It almost decreased 70% q<sub>peak</sub>
- During type V ELM, the q<sub>peak</sub> decreased and increased with almost constant power deposition
- Type v ELMs(0.24s) reduced the 40% q<sub>peak</sub> compare to ELM free phase





## **Divertor heat flux during type I ELM**



- $\lambda_{q}$  decrease ~40% during type I ELM filament
- •6KHZ energy transport between type I ELM (GPI)
- $\lambda_{qn}$  is similar between type v ELM (# 132403) and inter type I ELM.





•  $au_{I\!R}^{ELM}$  was defined as ELM rise time

•In the first phase,  $(t \le \tau_{IR}^{ELM})$  the target temperature and the power increase up to a peak value

•In the second phase, ( $t > \tau_{IR}^{ELM}$ ) the target temperature decays back to the Inter-ELM value



T. Eich, JNM, 337–339 (2005) 669–676



### **Different situation ELM heat flux**





#### The relationship between situation I,II ELM and $\beta_{p}$

•The maximum  $q_{peak}$  will appeared at ELM filament with a low  $\beta$ 

•With  $\beta_p$  increase, the number of situation I ELM begun to decrease, and the situation II ELM appeared.

•The real physical mechanism is still unknown

square represent the situation I ELM heat flux, triangle represent the situation II ELM heat flux





## Outline

- Calculate the 2D heat flux distribution with TACO code
  - $\bullet$  How to choose the  $\alpha$  parameter
  - Comparison of the Taco and Theodor results
- The characteristics of ELM divertor heat flux
  - Type III ELM
  - •Type V ELM
  - •Type I ELM
  - •Different situation ELM heat flux
- Survery the power load with 2D heat flux distribution
  - Toroidal asymmetry on divertor heat flux
  - Strange edge transport during L-H transition
  - Different 2D heat flux between type V and type III ELM



#### 2D divertor heat flux distribution on NSTX





## Toroidal asymmtry on divertor heat flux



According to the toroidal asymmetry

$$P_{load} = \sum_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \sum_{R_1}^{R_2} q(x, y) \cdot (\delta x)^2 \cdot (\phi_2 - \phi_1) / 360$$
$$A_{wet} = P_{load} / q_{neak}^{mean}$$

The reason of toroidal asymmetry is still unclear, toroidal ripple, 3D structure of filaments, misalign divertor tile or inconsistent surface property ( $\alpha$  value)



#### Numerical Modeling of ELM Filaments on Divertor Plates



 The initial heat pulse during an ELM generate thermoelectric current between two divertor plate

•typical ELM stripe structures can be correctly modeled





#### **ELM power load** in MAST



Filament at different radial position and different toroidal angle generate the striated divertor heat flux



# Striated heat flux in NSTX

- The striated heat flux (R>0.61m) was generated by filaments
- •It's unclear the reason which cause the striated divertor heat flux (R<0.57m)
- Question: Did the filaments and magnetic topology generate the striated divertor heat fluxes together?







## Outline

- Calculate the 2D heat flux distribution with TACO code
  - $\bullet$  How to choose the  $\alpha$  parameter
  - Comparison the Taco and Theodor results
- The characteristics of ELM divertor heat flux
  - Type III ELM
  - •Type V ELM
  - •Type I ELM
  - •Different situation ELM heat flux
- Survery the power load with 2D heat flux distribution
  - Toroidal asymmetry on divertor heat flux
  - Strange edge transport during L-H transition
  - Different 2D heat flux between type V and type III ELM



## Strange edge transport during L-H transition

- Increasing P<sub>load</sub> before L-H transition was caused by decreased Drsep
- During L-H transition , the q<sub>peak</sub> first decrease then increase
- A delayed time existed between q<sub>peak</sub> and A<sub>wet</sub> during L-H transition





## 2D heat flux distribution during L-H transition



During L-H transition, the heat flux around the out strike point first become very small, then increase to ELM-free phase



## Filaments during L-H transition

# Filaments generated the striated heat flux(R>0/61m)

Separate the power deposition with two parts:

$$P_{in} = \sum_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \sum_{R < 0.57m} q(x, y) \cdot (\delta x)^2 \cdot 360 / (\phi_2 - \phi_1)$$

$$P_{out} = \sum_{\phi_1}^{\phi_2} \sum_{R>0.61m} q(x, y) \cdot (\delta x)^2 \cdot 360 / (\phi_2 - \phi_1) \text{ (filaments)}$$







#### The power load during L-H transition



#### **During L-H transition**

- •P<sub>in</sub> decrease fast to a low value then increase
- •P<sub>out</sub> decrease slower than P<sub>in</sub>
- P<sub>out</sub> can be several times bigger than P<sub>in</sub>



## Heat flux evolution during L-H transition

132406 (6.3khz)



- The intermittent filaments still happen during L-H transition
- •~3KHZ heat flux oscillation appeared before L-H transition
- During L-H transition, the heat flux near the OSP first decrease then increase



- •The Power deposition seems just increase ~13% during Type V ELM peak than between ELMs
- The plasma wetting area decrease with type v ELM
- There is a delay time between P<sub>in</sub> and P<sub>out</sub> during type V ELM





## 2D heat flux distribution during type V ELM



During ELM-free , the heat flux region is close to the OSP
During type V ELM, the heat flux region is far from the OSP
The heat flux inside the CHI gap increase first



#### 2D heat flux during type III ELM



• The position of q<sub>peak</sub> can be 34cm far from the OSP at pre-ELM

•The Pin and Pout increase together during type III ELM



# Summary

 $_{\odot}$  TACO has been applied successful on heat flux calculation in NSTX  $_{\odot}$  A criteria to choose the  $\alpha$  value: Modify  $\alpha$  until the energy deposition is kept constant after discharge

 $_{\odot}$  The width of power deposition on divertor ( $\lambda_q$ ) will decrease with type III ELM due to the obvious type v ELM during inter-type III ELM.

- Type v ELM will increase the transport and decrease the peak heat flux
   Compare to ELM phase phase
- $\odot$  Situation II ELM will appeared under high  $\beta_p$
- $_{\odot}$  It was first time to analyze the power load with 2D heat flux distribution in NSTX
  - Divertor heat flux is toroidal asymmetry
  - Strange edge transport has been reported during L-H transition
  - •There is a delay time between Pin and Pout during type V ELM, Pin and Pout increase together during type III ELM

