





Office of

## Opportunities for WEP-related collaborations with DIII-D in FY13

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U

CompX

**General Atomics** FIU

INL

Johns Hopkins U

LANL

LLNL

Lodestar

MIT

Lehigh U **Nova Photonics** 

**Old Dominion** 

ORNL

**PPPL** 

Princeton U

Purdue U

SNL Think Tank, Inc.

**UC Davis** 

**UC Irvine** 

UCLA

UCSD

**U** Colorado

**U Illinois** 

**U** Marvland

**U** Rochester

U Tennessee

**U** Tulsa

**U Washington** 

U Wisconsin

X Science LLC

M. Podestà, G. Taylor, N. Gorelenkov

for the NSTX-U WFP TSG

PPPL, Room B252 July 18th, 2013





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kvoto U Kvushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo IAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Ioffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT **FOM Inst DIFFER** ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache

IPP, Jülich

IPP, Garching

ASCR, Czech Rep

## DIII-D is allocating ~10 run days in FY13 to a "National Campaign" for *high-impact* experiments

## Ref.: M. Wade's letter to FFCC, July 12th 2013

- see J. Menard's email to NSTX-U team on 07/16
- FY13/14: operations planned for only one major facility (DIII-D) in the U.S.
- A National Campaign aimed at providing opportunities for enhancing U.S. impact at the IAEA Conference and/or establishing/extending U.S. leadership in key fusion research areas.
- Emphasis [...] on research that targets extension of results from C-Mod and NSTX that would benefit greatly from complementary/new data from DIII-D.
- > Compile a list of compelling experimental activities that can be discussed and prioritized by the FFCC for inclusion in the ongoing DIII-D planning
- > A timely response is required as the experimental plan for mid-August through October needs to be finalized within the next several weeks.
  - J. Menard: discuss ideas w/ DIII-D contacts "[...] ASAP (this week if possible) so we can consider this request within the FFCC possibly as early as next week."

## MP's proposals: focus on NB-CD modifications by Alfvénic modes, V&V of (reduced) models

- NB Current Drive modifications by \*AEs
  - Clearly relevant for NSTX-U, DIII-D, ITER/FNSF
  - Ongoing work for NSTX/NSTX-U (Darrow et al.)
  - Expanding NSTX parameter space would allow more reliable extrapolations to NSTX-U and beyond
  - > Target ramp-up phase, reverse-shear scenarios with \*AEs, EPMs
- Verification&Validation of reduced models for fast ion transport by \*AEs
  - Build on previous work by Gorelenkov, Ganthous et al.
  - 1.5D-QL model already available; development of reduced model for TRANSP would benefit from this as well
  - Further V&V is beneficial
    - N. Gorelenkov: why the [1.D-QL] model works sometimes "too" well?
  - > Focus on parameter scans (e.g. fast ion collisionality via  $T_e$ , NBI geometry) to challenge the model(s)
- Other ideas?

