



MHD Discussion

Clayton E. Myers

June 14, 2016







MHD activity – High-density compass scan



- Sawtoothing spectra trail off as the plasma gets close to locking
- Sawtoothing spectra change with density, still trail off

Rotation data – High-density compass scan



MHD activity – Stacked NB1 shot



Rotation data – High-density compass scan



Backup



XP-1506 Compass Scan – 1 Apr 2016

- XMP-1506: *n*=1 compass scan
 - Goal is to determine optimum *n*=1 EFC as maximum 'distance' from locking
 - Primary diagnostic = RWM sensors
 - Apply density scaling of $(n_e/n_{e,avg})^{-0.98}$ as per Menard et al. [NF 2010]
- Results
 - Well-resolved circle with amplitude of $I_{\rm RWM} \sim 610$ A and phase $\sim 15^{\circ}$
 - Supports the 900 kA ohmic results
- Path forward
 - Use these results as the 'standard' prescription for PF5-proportional EFC
 - This new prescription was in use for Shots 204112 and 204118, which are the best NSTX-U H-modes to date



Compass scan shot compilation



NSTX-U

Clayton E. Myers – Error Field Correction – May 14, 2016

Second compass scan at higher density – May 11

- XMP-1506: *n*=1 compass scan
 - Conduct second compass scan at higher density (×2.1) to investigate locking threshold scaling
 - No density normalization applied to data
- Results
 - Well-resolved circle centered at the same $I_{\rm RWM} \sim 600$ A and $\phi \sim 15^{\circ}$ correction
 - The locking threshold only increases by ~10% in spite of much higher density (×2.1) and slightly higher rotation (×1.2)
- Path forward:
 - This pre-programmed EFC is presently used in every NSTX-U discharge
 - The small change in locking threshold warrants further investigation



Compass scan shot compilation



Clayton E. Myers – Error Field Correction – May 14, 2016

Summary and plans

- Two compass scans confirm an optimized *n*=1 correction
 - RWM coil current amplitude ~ 600 kA
 - RWM coil current phase ~ 15°
 - This correction presently used in all NSTX-U scenarios
- What is the error field source?
 - The XP-1506 correction doesn't agree with PF5 coil shape measurements
 - The required correction is several times too large and 90° out of phase
 - Confirmed by preliminary IPEC modeling
 - Need to continue the search for the error field source
- Path forward
 - How does the correction scale with plasma current?
 - Raise the plasma current in L-modes to say, 800 kA and 950 kA (if possible), to determine the $I_{\rm p}$ scaling of the required correction
- Implications of MHD activity
 - MHD spectrograms indicate that we are locking the 1/1 sawtoothing core rather than a 2/1 mode at the q=2 surface
 - CHERS, etc. indicate that the q=2 surface may be locked in all of these discharges

MHD activity – Low-density compass scan



Sawtoothing spectra trail off as the plasma gets close to locking

MHD activity – High-density compass scan



- Sawtoothing spectra trail off as the plasma gets close to locking
- Sawtoothing spectra change with density, still trail off

MHD activity – High-torque XMP-151 shot



- Higher torque from 2B keeps the *q*=2 surface from locking
- Differential rotation between the core and *q*=2 clearly visible

MHD activity – Low-torque XMP-151 shot



• Without the high torque, get a straight lock of the q=2 surface