

Supported by



Absorber arc mitigation during CHI on NSTX

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U Comp-X **General Atomics** INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U **SNL** Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin**

D. Mueller, M.G. Bell, A.L. Roquemore, R. Raman, B.A. Nelson, T.R. Jarboe and the NSTX Research Team

DPP09 Meeting Atlanta, GA Nov. 1-6, 2009



Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U **NIFS** Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP**, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep U Quebec

Office of

Science

Three Phases for Non-inductive Start-up and Ramp-up in NSTX



(1) CHI, PF, Guns, ECH/EBW

Start-up/ramp-up requirements:

 $(1\rightarrow 2)$ I_P, T_e must be high enough for HHFW to be absorbed

(2) High P_{RF} , τ_E must be achieved for I_P overdrive with BS and HHFW current drive

(2 \rightarrow 3) High I_P needed to absorb NBI, high P_{HEAT}, τ_E , β P needed for current overdrive

(3→4) Ramp-up plasma must be consistent with sustained high-f_{NI} scenario

Use OH as needed to simulate I_P ramp-up Focus on transition form CHI start-up to ohmic ramp-up

Transient CHI: Axisymmetric reconnection leads to formation of closed flux surfaces

Three successive CHI discharges using an ohmic ramp exhibit increased radiated power with increased energy

Low-Z impurity radiation increases with increased injection energy

•Absorber arcs are major cause of this emission and lead to low T_e

Strategies to reduce oxygen or mitigate absorber arcs

- Coat surfaces with Lithium to reduce Oxygen
 - Best results with LITER, little experience with Li powder
- Condition divertor surfaces with rectifiers with 400 ms, 5 kA CHI discharges
 - Best results followed a day of this conditioning
- Increase impedance of absorber (upper) gap
 - Use absorber coils to reduce poloidal field at absorber
 - Did not achieve better result, dynamic response is too slow
- Use absorber coils to provide buffer flux to prevent plasma from reaching absorber during CHI
 - Clearly reduced incidence of absorber arcs

Radial field from absorber coils prevents plasma from reaching absorber gap during CHI

- Two CHI initiated discharges, one shown in blue with the absorber coils energized, the other in black has no current in the absorber coils
- The absorber clearly limit the vertical growth of the CHI plasma and prevent absorber arc
- Only the discharge without the arc couples to inductive ramp-up

CHI increases I_p with increasing CHI energy until arc occurs

Comparison of well-controlled shots demonstrates a flux savings with CHI initiation

- I_p is 110 kA greater in the CHI initiated discharge
- The discharge in blue is a purely inductive discharge
 - Both had low levels of O_{II} emission
 - The discharges had identical solenoid (OH) current programming
- The internal inductance, plasma radius and plasma shape (not shown) from EFIT analysis are essentially identical
- The density of the CHI initiated discharge was about 25% greater than the inductive only discharge

used 10 mF of capacitance at 1.65 kV

Demonstrated progress in avoiding arcs during CHI, further improvements could raise CHI start-up current to near 0.5 MA

- CHI discharges with low levels of low Z impurity radiation can be coupled to inductive ramp-up
 - 400 ms duration CHI discharges condition lower divertor plates
 - Lithium evaporative coating (LITER) reduces low Z impurities
 - Buffer flux prevents plasma from reaching the absorber gap and causing an absorber arc
- CHI start-up plasmas with current of up to 300 kA have been ramped inductively to produce a plasma current increase of 110 to 200 kA compared to inductive only

- Using about 1/3 of the available CHI capacitance

CHI Scaling

• From helicity and energy conservation, for a Taylor minimum energy state $\lambda_{inj} \ge \lambda_{tok}$

 $-\lambda_{inj} = \mu_0 I_{inj} / \psi_{inj}; \psi_{inj} = poloidal injector flux$

- $\lambda_{tok} = \mu_0 I_p / \psi_{tok}$: ψ_{tok} = toroidal flux in vessel

- $I_p \leq I_{inj}(\psi_{tok} / \psi_{inj})$
- \bullet For similar B_{T} NSTX has 10 times ψ_{tok} of HIT-II
- Bubble burst condition:

 $I_{inj} = 2 \psi_{inj}^2 / (\mu_0^2 d^2 I_{TF})$

-For HIT-II, ψ_{inj} = 8mWb, d = 8 cm is flux footprint width

-For NSTX, ψ_{ini} = 10mWb, d = 16 cm is flux footprint width

 $-I_{inj} \ge 15$ kA for HIT-II, $I_{inj} \ge 2$ kA for NSTX

•Sufficient energy to produce CHI discharge $W_{cap} > W_{plasma}$; $\frac{1}{2} CV^2 > \frac{1}{2} L_p I_p^2$

 $\bullet L_{\rm p}$ plasma inductance $\sim 0.5~\mu H,$ and C= 50 mF limits $I_{\rm p}~$ to $\sim 500~kA$ for present NSTX system

•NSTX has achieved $I_p > 60 I_{ini}$; HIT-II has achieved $I_{ini} \sim 50 \text{ kA}$

 \Rightarrow I_p over 2.5 MA is possible for CTF if I_{ini} ~ 50 kA

CHI started discharge couples to induction and transitions to an Hmode demonstrates compatibility with high-performance plasma operation

•PAC-23

- Projected plasma current for CTF > 2.5 MA $[I_p = I_{inj}(\psi_{Tor}/\psi_{Pol})]^*$
 - Based on 50 kA injected current (Injector current densities achieved on HIT-II)
 - Current multiplication of 50 (achieved in NSTX)
 - In HIT-II nearly all CHI produced closed flux current is retained in the subsequent inductive ramp

CHERS: R. Bell, Thomson: B. LeBlanc

*T.R. Jarboe, Fusion Technology, 15 (1989) 7