



#### Reduction in pedestal transport with lithium coated PFCs in **NSTX**

College W&M

Colorado Sch Mines

Columbia U

**CompX** 

**General Atomics** 

INEL

Johns Hopkins U

LANL

LLNL

Lodestar

MIT

**Nova Photonics** 

**New York U** 

**Old Dominion U** 

**ORNL PPPL** 

PSI

**Princeton U** 

**Purdue U** 

SNL

Think Tank, Inc.

**UC Davis** 

**UC Irvine** 

**UCLA** 

UCSD

**U** Colorado

**U Illinois** 

**U** Maryland

**U** Rochester

**U Washington** 

**U Wisconsin** 


J.M. Canik \*\*RIDGE



R. Maingi (ORNL), R.E. Bell, W.A. Guttenfelder, H.W. Kugel, B.P. LeBlanc, Y. Ren (PPPL), S. Kubota (UCLA), T.H Osborne (GA), V.A. Soukhanovskii (LLNL)

and the NSTX Research Team

52<sup>nd</sup> APS-DPP Chicago, IL Nov 9, 2010





**Culham Sci Ctr** U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kvushu Tokai U **NIFS** Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U **loffe Inst RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST **POSTECH ASIPP** ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich IPP, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep

**U** Quebec

#### **Outline**


- Introduction: ELM elimination and pedestal profile changes with lithium coatings
- SOLPS is used for interpretive modeling of the edge plasma
- Lithium coatings lead to widening of edge transport barrier
  - Two regions: stiff T<sub>e</sub> near separatrix, reduced transport at top of pedestal
  - Measurements show reduced fluctuations with lithium
- Discussion of candidate edge transport mechanisms






### Type I ELMs eliminated, energy confinement improved with lithium wall coatings

Predicted\* by L. Zakharov in 2005



- ~ 700mg Li between 129037 and 129038
- \* L. Zakharov, JNM 2007




- Without-Li, With Li
- Lower NBI to avoid β limit
- Lower n<sub>e</sub>
- Similar stored energy
- H-factor 40%↑
- Higher P<sub>rad</sub> /P<sub>heat</sub>
- ELM-free, reduced divertor recycling
  - H. Kugel PoP 2008
  - R. Kaita IAEA 2008
  - M. Bell PPCF 2009






### T<sub>e</sub>, T<sub>i</sub> increased and edge n<sub>e</sub> decreased with lithium








# Peak pressure gradient moves inwards, p' and j reduced outside $\psi_N \sim 0.95$







#### Pre- and post-lithium discharges are modeled using SOLPS



- SOLPS (B2-EIRENE: 2D fluid plasma + MC neutrals) used to model NSTX experimental data
  - ✓ Neutrals contributions
  - ✓ Recycling changes due to lithium

Parameters adjusted to fit data	Measurements used to constrain code
Radial transport coefficients $D_{\perp}$ , $\chi_{e}$ , $\chi_{i}$	Midplane n <sub>e</sub> , T <sub>e</sub> , T <sub>i</sub> profiles
PFC Recycling coefficient	Calibrated D <sub>α</sub> camera
Separatrix position/T <sub>e</sub> sep	Peak divertor heat flux



0.0



1.0

R(m)


1.5


2.0

0.5

#### Procedure for fitting midplane n<sub>e</sub>, T<sub>e</sub>, T<sub>i</sub> profiles

- Start with initial guess for D<sub>⊥</sub>, χ<sub>e</sub>,χ<sub>i</sub>
- Run simulation for ~10% of confinement time
- Take radial fluxes along 1-D slice at midplane from code
  - $\Gamma^{SOLPS}$ ,  $q_e^{SOLPS}$ ,  $q_i^{SOLPS}$
- Update transport coefficients using SOLPS fluxes and experimental profiles
  - E.g.,  $D^{\text{new}} = \Gamma^{\text{SOLPS}}/\text{grad}(n_e^{\text{EXP}})$
  - Here we use fits to profiles used in stability calculations (Maingi PRL '09)
- Repeat until n<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>i</sub><sup>SOLPS</sup> ~ n<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>i</sub><sup>EXP</sup>











### Peak $D_{\alpha}$ brightness is matched to experiment to constrain PFC recycling coefficient: lithium reduces R from ~.98 to ~.9

- For each discharge modeled, PFC recycling coefficient R is scanned
  - Fits to midplane data are redone at each R to maintain match to experiment
- $D_{\alpha}$  emissivity from code is integrated along LOS of camera, compared to measured values
  - Best fit indicates reduction of recycling from R~0.98 to R~0.9 when lithium coatings are applied










# Midplane and divertor profiles from modeling compare well to experiment for the pre-lithium case

- P=3.7 MW
- R=0.98
- Good match to midplane profiles

- Heat flux and D<sub>α</sub> profiles shifted radially to align peaks
- Heat flux and D<sub>α</sub>, radial decay sharper than experiment








### Combining reduced recycling and transport changes gives match to measurements with lithium

- P=1.9 MW
- R=0.90
- Transport coefficients adjusted to recover fit to upstream data
- Good match to both peak and profile for heat flux and D<sub>α</sub> (except PFR)
- \*Uncertainty exists in IR measurements, due to emissivity change with lithium films








## Transport barrier widens with lithium coatings, broadening pedestal

- Pre-lithium case shows typical H-mode structure
  - Well define "end" to the pedestal at ψ<sub>N</sub>~0.95
  - $\quad \text{Barrier region in D, } \chi_e \\ \text{just inside separatrix}$
- Pedestal is much wider with lithium
  - Pedestal top not clear from profiles
  - $D_{\perp}$ ,  $\chi_e$  similar outside of  $\psi_N \sim 0.95$
  - Low  $D_{\perp}$ ,  $\chi_{e}$  persist to inner boundary of simulation ( $\psi_{N}$ ~0.8)
- Changes to profiles with lithium are due to reduced fluxes combined with wide transport barrier








### Transport barrier widens with lithium coatings, broadening pedestal

- Pre-lithium case shows typical H-mode structure
  - Well define "end" to the pedestal at ψ<sub>N</sub>~0.95
  - $\quad \text{Barrier region in D, } \chi_e \\ \text{just inside separatrix}$
- Pedestal is much wider with lithium
  - Pedestal top not clear from profiles
  - $D_{\perp}$ ,  $\chi_e$  similar outside of  $\psi_N \sim 0.95$
  - Low  $D_{\perp}$ ,  $\chi_{e}$  persist to inner boundary of simulation ( $\psi_{N}$ ~0.8)
- Changes to profiles with lithium are due to reduced fluxes combined with wide transport barrier








## Transport barrier widens with lithium coatings, broadening pedestal

- Two regions considered
  - Top of pedestal
    - Large transport reduction
  - Bottom of pedestal
    - Transport similar with lithium









#### Outer region: $T_e$ gradient nearly constant outside of $\Psi_N \sim 0.95$

- Key to ELM suppression: reduction of current for  $\Psi_N$ >.95
  - Density is reduced with lithium, but T<sub>e</sub> unchanged
  - Pressure gradient is reduced->less bootstrap current
- Edge T<sub>e</sub>' ~ constant, critical gradient?
  - Intermediate stages shown have less lithium, same P<sub>NBI</sub> as pre-lithium case











# Inner region: as lithium coatings thicken, density barrier widens, pedestal-top $\chi_e$ reduced


- Several shots analyzed with increasing lithium thickness
- ELMy to reduced frequency to ELM-free




0.6



- Barrier in particle transport widens with lithium thickness
- $\chi_e$  inside  $\Psi_N$ ~0.95 gradually reduced











### Edge reflectometry near pedestal top shows reduced density fluctuations with lithium

- Reduced transport in inner region->higher pedestal top pressure
- Reflectometer channel shows reduced fluctuation level
  - Most pronounced at low frequency (<50 kHz)</li>
  - Caveats: density profile change, 2D effects make reduction in fluctuations difficult to quantify











# High-k scattering diagnostic shows little change in fluctuation amplitude at $k\rho_s > 10$

- Pre-to-post lithium transition repeated, similar profile changes observed
- Fluctuations similar for  $k\rho_s > 10$ , some reduction at lower k for the with-lithium case











# With power reduced so T<sub>e</sub> profile matches pre-lithium case, fluctuation amplitudes show broad reduction

- Power reduced to 2 MW
- Te profile similar to pre-lithium
- Fluctuation amplitude reduced across measured kρ<sub>s</sub>










#### Carbon is included to model Z<sub>eff</sub> profile

- Sputtering of carbon included, chemical sputtering yield of 2% assumed
- Same D<sub>⊥</sub> for all species; carbon species given spatially constant inward convective velocity, adjusted to match measured carbon content
- Charge state distribution calculated by SOLPS, yields an estimate of Z<sub>eff</sub> for comparisons to theory
- Transport modification is qualitatively unchanged with carbon







#### ETG is unstable in steep gradient edge


#### Initial linear GYRO [1] simulations predict:


- ETG unstable in steep gradient region (ψ<sub>N</sub>>0.92)
  - threshold likely set by density gradient
  - $\eta_{e,crit}$ ~1-1.25 calculated in AUG edge [2], compared to core criteria  $\eta_{e,crit}$ =0.8 [3]

$$\left[\frac{R}{L_{\text{Te}}}\right]_{\text{crit}} = \text{Max} \begin{pmatrix} 0.8 \cdot R / L_{\text{ne}} \\ (1 + Z_{\text{eff}} T_{\text{e}} / Ti) \cdot (1.3 + 1.9\hat{s} / q) \cdot (...) \end{pmatrix}$$
[3]

- ETG stable at top of pedestal (ψ<sub>N</sub>=0.88)
  - smaller density gradient
  - threshold more sensitive to Z<sub>eff</sub>T<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>i</sub> and s/q
- Calculating thresholds and transport are work-inprogress


[1] J. Candy & R.E. Waltz, PRL (2003);[2] D. Told et al., PoP (2008);[3] F. Jenko et al., PoP (2001)





## Measured pedestal modifications are consistent with paleoclassical transport

- Pedestal structure model based partly on paleoclassical transport proposed
  - J.D. Callen, UW-CPTC 10-9
  - Depends on resistivity profile->Z<sub>eff</sub> changes important
- Model recovers  $\chi_e$  magnitude, shape, rise near separatrix, as well as modest increase with lithium outside  $\psi_N$ ~0.95
- Density profile shape changes with lithium also captured by model







### **Summary**


- Measured pedestal profile changes with lithium are reproduced in 2-D edge modeling
- Matching midplane profiles requires change to transport coefficients in addition to recycling
  - Transport barrier widens with lithium, giving wider pedestal
  - Te gradient relatively unchanged outside  $\psi_N \sim 0.95$
- Fluctuation measurements show reduced edge turbulence in inner pedestal region
- Future research will focus on possible transport mechanisms





### Widening of transport barrier persists when C is included in modeling

- D⊥ increases somewhat to counteract pinch
  - Now D<sub>⊥</sub> increases in side ψ<sub>N</sub>~0.9 with lithium
  - Better to consider an "effective" diffusivity
- Two regions with different responses to lithium
  - Near-separatrix: transport fairly constant, maybe increased
  - Top of pre-lithium pedestal: transport greatly reduced







# Carbon is the dominant impurity species with lithium coatings

- Measured lithium concentration is much less than carbon
  - Carbon concentration ~100 times higher
  - Carbon increases when lithium coatings are applied
  - Neoclassical effect: higher
    Z accumulates, low Z
    screened out
- Increase in n<sub>C</sub> due to lack of ELMs
  - Can be mitigated by triggering ELMs

