

Supported by



The effect of progressively increasing lithium coatings on plasma performance, and the underlying role on collisionality, in NSTX

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics** ORNL PPPL Princeton U Purdue U SNL Think Tank. Inc. UC Davis **UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** X Science LLC

### R. Maingi 🂐 RIDO

S. Kaye, D. Boyle, <u>J. Canik</u>, T. Osborne, P. Snyder, M. Bell, R. Bell, C.S. Chang, A. Diallo, T.K. Gray, W. Guttenfelder, M. Jaworski, R. Kaita, H. Kugel, B. LeBlanc, J. Manickam, D. Mansfield, J. Menard, M. Ono, M. Podesta, R. Raman, Y. Ren, L. Roquemore, S. Sabbagh, C. Skinner, V. Soukhanovskii

#### 24<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference 8-13 October 2012, San Diego, CA





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res, Kiev loffe Inst TRINIT Chonbuk Natl U NFR KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP. Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Science

#### Power and particle exhaust a key challenge for future devices

 Liquid metals are being studied at PPPL as an alternative to solid PFCs for future devices

- NSTX used lithium wall coatings (evaporative and liquid) to test the efficacy of lithium in particle and power exhaust
  - Lithium has effective deuterium retention -> low recycling
  - Lithium will be important research line in NSTX-Upgrade, which is scheduled to commence operation in 2014



# Plasma characteristics and edge stability improved nearly continuously with increasing lithium coatings

- Lithium evaporated before discharge; amount scanned
- Global characteristics changed

R. Maingi, PRL 2011 R. Maingi, NF 2012

- Recycling:  $D_{\alpha}$  declined in all measured views
- Energy confinement ( $\tau_E$ , H-factor) improved, consistent with reduced transport at lower  $v^*$  S. Kaye, IAEA 2012 W. Guttenfelder, IAEA 2012
- When discharges were ELM-free, radiated power increased with time (we tested several techniques to ameliorate this problem)
- Edge particle and thermal transport declined
- ELM frequency decreased before going to 0
  - Edge stability gradually improved

- J. Canik, IAEA 2012 J. Canik, PoP 2011 D. Boyle, PPCF 2011 C.S. Chang, IAEA 2012 A. Diallo, IAEA 2012
- > No liquid lithium divertor (LLD) in these experiments

M. Jaworski, IAEA 2012



#### **ELMs eliminated gradually during experiment**



**∭**NSTX-U **¥**<sup>OAK</sup> RIDGE

4

### Outline

 Global changes, including collisionality and normalized gyroradius, and SOLPS interpretive modeling

• Micro-stability and ELM stability calculations

• Flowchart describing role of lithium



### Recycling, neutral pressure, and pressure peaking decreased nearly continuously with increasing lithium; H<sub>H97L</sub> increased



# Energy confinement increased and edge electron transport decreased with pre-discharge lithium evaporation



Edge ion transport increased

R. Maingi, PRL 2011, NF 2012; S. Kaye, IAEA 2012

TRANSP

## Confinement improvement also correlated with reduced collsionality

- Strong increase in total thermal and electron confinement
- Factor of five decrease in collisionality
- Strong and favorable dependence of  $\tau_E$  with decreasing collisionality
  - Implications for FNSF (will operate at over one order of magnitude lower  $v_{\epsilon}^{*}$ )



Maingi et al. PRL (2011), EX/11-2 S. Kaye, IAEA 2012  $x = [\Phi/\Phi_a]^{1/2}$ 



#### **Dependence on** $v^*$ even stronger when $\rho^*$ variations considered

- Express confinement scaling in terms of dimensionless parameters  $\Omega \tau_E = B \tau_E = \rho^{*\alpha} f(v, \beta, T_e/T_i, \kappa, q, \dots)$  where  $\alpha = -2$  for Bohm and  $\alpha = -3$  for gyroBohm scaling
  - NSTX HeGDC+B discharges found to be consistent with gyroBohm (Kaye, 2006)
- For the Li scan, B, q,  $<\beta>$ ,  $\kappa$ , a ... constant for all discharges

#### Normalize $\tau_{\rm E}$ further by $\rho^{*\alpha}$ : test both Bohm and gyroBohm



# Broadening of the T<sub>e</sub> profile is main reason why $\nu^{*}$ and $\rho^{*}$ vary with lithium conditioning and in other $\nu^{*}$ scans







APS DPP 2012 – R. Maingi, PP8.007

### SOLPS interpretive simulations indicate particle fueling source from recycling was reduced with lithium

- Target recycling coefficient varied to • match peak divertor  $D_{\alpha}$
- Separatrix position adjusted as needed • to match divertor peak heat flux
- Radial profile of  $D_{eff}$ ,  $\chi_e^{eff}$ ,  $\chi_i^{eff}$  varied to • match midplane  $n_e$ ,  $T_e$ ,  $T_i$ , for the computed recycling source profile





SOLPS

APS DPP 2012 – R. Maingi, PP8.007

 $\Psi_{N}$ 

# Recycling and edge transport changes interpreted with SOLPS simulations

- Pre-lithium case shows typical barrier region inside separatrix
- Change in n<sub>e</sub> profile with lithium from 0.95<ψ<sub>N</sub><1 consistent with drop in fueling at ~ constant transport
- Spatial region of low transport expanded with lithium
  - Low D<sub>⊥</sub>, χ<sub>e</sub> persist to inner boundary of simulation (ψ<sub>N</sub>~0.8)





APS DPP 2012 – R. Maingi, PP8.007

## Spatial extent of low D, $\chi_e$ region expanded continuously with increasing pre-discharge lithium



# *Work in progress*: change in edge density gradient with lithium coatings alters the edge micro-stability properties

- From  $\psi_N$  = 0.95-1, n<sub>e</sub> gradient reduced with lithium
  - ETG more unstable, correlates with higher χ<sub>e</sub>
- From  $\psi_N$  = 0.8-0.95, n<sub>e</sub> gradient increased with lithium
  - μT more stable over outer part of range, correlates with lower χ<sub>e</sub>
- Both μT and ETG are plausible candidates – drive transport in electron channel
- These are linear GS2 calcs

   need non-linear calcs for actual heat flux
- E x B shear rate higher w/Li





#### **ELM elimination was not quite monotonic**



**∭**NSTX-U **¥**<sup>OAK</sup> RIDGE

APS DPP 2012 – R. Maingi, PP8.007

ELMy discharges closer to kink/peeling stability boundary than ELM-free ones but ideal growth rates low: why instabilities not stabilized by diamagnetic flow?



## Revised bootstrap current calculation from XGC *and* extended ELITE calculation (n=1-15) increased growth rates

- Bootstrap current increased by 30%
- Growth rates for n=1, 2 were comparable than for n=3
- ELMy discharges at the ideal instability boundary
- ELM-free discharges still in stable operating space n=1-15, ( $\gamma/\omega_*/2$ ) contours



### What is the role of lithium? To reduce recycling and associated fueling

 $\psi_{N}$  from 0.95-1 (recycling region)



 $\psi_N$  from 0.8-0.94





18

### The observed 'continuous' dependence was surprising, because we expected only the top monolayers to play a role

- Nominal divertor film thicknesses of 60-500 nm obtained during the lithium evaporation scan
- Calculations for NSTX divertor shows ion implantation depth < 5 nm, i.e. << 60 nm – 500 nm coating thickness</li>
  - SO: the effect was expected to saturate for nominal film thickness > 10 nm
- Possibility uncovered by lab measurements: more lithium results in Oxygen segregation to the surface, which increases the film capacity to retain deuterium J.P. Allain, PoP 2012



# Global characteristics changed and edge electron transport declined with increasing Li deposition; ELMs eliminated

- Correlates with reduced  $v^*$  in outer half of plasma radius
- Last 5% of  $\psi_{\text{N}}$ : recycling source drop leads to drop in density and pressure gradient
  - $> T_e$  gradient clamped, consistent with more unstable ETG
  - Drop in J<sub>BS</sub>, stabilizing to kink/peeling modes
- $\psi_N$  from 0.8-0.95: particle transport drops
  - >  $T_e$  gradient increased, consistent with more stable  $\mu T$
  - Increased pressure and gradient, but current driven modes still stable
    - Higher gradients allowed farther from separatrix
- Density profile and particle transport change key first step
  - Underlying physics of particle transport change needs to be identified



### **POSTER COPIES**



### Pre-discharge lithium evaporation varied during experiment first lithium usage in this particular run campaign

• Lithium evaporation before discharges with two overhead ovens





#### Transport barrier widens continuously with increasing predischarge lithium, i.e. pedestal-top D, χ<sub>e</sub> reduced



# n<sub>e</sub> and P<sub>e</sub> "mtanh" profile widths separate ELMy and ELM-free data



### Density and pressure drop with lithium coatings at $\psi_N$ =0.95, but increase at $\psi_N$ =0.80 with increasing lithium



25

### **3D external fields used to trigger ELMs, while "Snowflake** Divertor" used to reduce edge impurity source



#### Edge $\chi_e$ goes down and $\chi_i$ goes up; core $\chi'$ s unchanged



- Global increase in  $\tau_E$  correlates with drop in edge  $\chi_e$
- Consistent with change in  $\chi_{e},$  D from SOLPS simulations