

Supported by



### Impact of rotation and kinetic damping on the NSTX ideal-wall limit\*

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U **CompX General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics Old Dominion** ORNL **PPPL Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin X Science LLC

Jon Menard, Zhirui Wang (PPPL) Yueqiang Liu (CCFE)

> **APS-DPP Meeting Providence, Rhode Island** October 31, 2012

\*This work supported by US DoE contract DE-AC02-09CH11466





Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA. Cadarache **IPP**, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

### **Overview**

- Drift-kinetic effects have previously been included in RWM stability analysis for NSTX (see papers by Berkery, Sabbagh, Menard)
  - In this work, we briefly review result that RWM stability is sensitive function of edge rotation, and is consistent with MARS-F analysis
  - We then investigate how RWM eigenfunctions are modified by rotation and dissipation using MARS-K
    - Not previously documented for ST plasmas
    - > Could impact 'perturbative' approach employed by codes such as MISK
- Drift-kinetic stability analyses have <u>not</u> previously been carried out for ideal-wall limit, aka the 'plasma mode'
  - Work here investigates impact of rotation, rotation shear, and kinetic damping on 'plasma mode' stability and eigenfunctions

### Error field correction (EFC) often necessary to maintain rotation, stabilize n=1 resistive wall mode (RWM) at high $\beta_N$



### Analysis of experiment uses MARS: linear MHD stability code that includes toroidal rotation and drift-kinetic effects

• Single-fluid linear MHD

$$(\gamma + in\Omega)\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{v} + (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla\Omega)R^2 \nabla \phi$$
  

$$\rho(\gamma + in\Omega)\mathbf{v} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{Q}$$
  

$$-\rho[2\Omega \hat{\mathbf{Z}} \times \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla\Omega)R^2 \nabla \phi]$$
  

$$(\gamma + in\Omega)\mathbf{Q} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) + (\mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla\Omega)R^2 \nabla \phi$$
  

$$(\gamma + in\Omega)p = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla P, \qquad \mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{Q}$$

• Mode-particle resonance operator:

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \mathbf{p} = p\mathbf{I} + p_{\parallel}\hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}} + p_{\perp}(\mathbf{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}})$$

$$p_{\parallel}e^{-i\omega t + in\phi} = \sum_{e,i} \int d\Gamma M v_{\parallel}^{2} f_{L}^{1}$$

$$p_{\perp}e^{-i\omega t + in\phi} = \sum_{e,i} \int d\Gamma \frac{1}{2} M v_{\perp}^{2} f_{L}^{1}$$

$$f_{L}^{1} = -f_{\epsilon}^{0} \epsilon_{k} e^{-i\omega t + in\phi} \sum_{r} X_{m}^{u} H_{ml}^{u} \lambda_{ml} e^{-in\tilde{\phi}(t) + im\langle \dot{\chi} \rangle t + il\omega_{b}t}$$

$$H_{L} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_{k}} [M v_{\parallel}^{2} \vec{\kappa} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp} + \mu(Q_{L\parallel} + \nabla B \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}_{\perp})]$$

MARS-K: 
$$\lambda_{ml} = \frac{n[\omega_{*N} + (\hat{\epsilon}_k - 3/2)\omega_{*T} + \omega_E] - \omega}{n(\langle \omega_d \rangle + \omega_E) + [\alpha(m + nq) + l]\omega_b - i\nu_{eff} - \omega}$$
$$MARS-F: \quad \lambda_{ml} = \frac{n[\omega_{*N} + (\hat{\epsilon}_k - 3/2)\omega_{*T} + \omega_E] - \omega}{n(\langle \omega_d \rangle + \omega_E) + [\alpha(m + nq) + l]\omega_b - i\nu_{eff} - \omega}$$

+ additional approximations/simplifications in  $f_L^1$ 

• Fast ions: MARS-K: slowing-down f(v), MARS-F: lumped with thermal

Y.Q. Liu, et al., Phys. Plasmas 15, 112503 2008

# MARS-F: Inclusion of $\omega_{*C}$ in $\omega_{E}$ increases separation between stable and unstable $\omega_{E}(\psi)$ , provides consistency w/ expt.



(D) NSTX-U

#### MARS-K studies of RWM eigenfunction: Dissipation alone can modify RWM eigenfunctions (1)

 4% of full kinetic damping can reduce eigenfunction amplitude by 25-50% at large minor radii



NOTE: collisions are included in this and subsequent calculations with energy independent collisionality with slowing-down v evaluated at E = 5/2 T

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### MARS-K studies of RWM eigenfunction: Dissipation alone can modify RWM eigenfunctions (2)

 Full kinetic damping can produce large changes in eigenmode structure near mode rational surfaces, and in edge region

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Rotation (with weak dissipation) can also modify RWM eigenfunctions

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

### As rotation (with weak dissipation) increases toward experimental value, RWM eigenfunction is strongly modified

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Eigenfunction strongly modified for  $\omega_{\rm E}(0)\tau_{\rm A} = 0.16$ —Rotation approaching marginal stability ( $\omega_{\rm E}(0)\tau_{\rm A} \approx 0.22$ )

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### **Rotation + dissipation strongly modifies RWM eigenfunctions**

 Rotation added to full kinetic damping produces changes that deviate significantly from cases w/o rotation or dissipation

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Toroidal rotation also modifies** with-wall eigenfunction

- With wall present, eigenfunction modified in both core and edge
- Note this is 'plasma mode' with  $\omega_r \sim$  rotation frequency

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Increased toroidal rotation reduces 'plasma mode' stability

- Implication: 'ideal-wall limit' is function of rotation speed
- Plasma mode predicted to be unstable for NSTX wall and rotation, but experiment does not exhibit this fast rotating instability at this time

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Higher-resolution rotation scan finds ideal 'plasma mode' marginally stability at ~50-60% of experimental rotation

- Ideal NSTX plasma:  $\beta_N = 5.1$ , wall position  $r_{wall}$  / a ~ 1.25
  - Low rotation  $\rightarrow$  marginal r<sub>wall</sub> / a ~ 1.65, corresponding marginal  $\beta_N$  ~ 6.
  - As  $\omega_E(0)\tau_A \rightarrow 0.1$ -0.12 (no dissipation), n=1 becomes unstable
  - For  $\omega_{E}(0) \tau_{A} \sim 0.2$ -0.3, n=1 mode is unstable even with the wall on the plasma boundary
- Mode apparently mix of pressure-driven kink + Kelvin-Helmholtz

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Increased rotation shear in plasma core is destabilizing (consistent with expectation for Kelvin-Helmholtz)

- Rotation variations in edge region change  $\gamma$  very little
  - Compare experiment and modified 'positive-definite' profiles below
- γ independent of shear for 'medium' and 'high' shear cases (indicates saturation of shear effects)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

### However, increased rotation shear in plasma edge region can be <u>stabilizing</u>

- For near-edge rotation shear, both the shear magnitude and the wall position influence the mode growth rate
- 'high' edge-shear case is nearly stable at experimental r<sub>wall</sub> / a

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Plasma mode destabilization by rotation/rotation shear is still predicted when parallel sound-wave damping is included

- For larger r<sub>wall</sub> / a, parallel damping systematically increases growth rate
- For smaller  $r_{wall}$  / a, growth rate can be reduced relative to ideal case

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

## (Perpendicular) kinetic damping can stabilize 'plasma mode' over a wider range of rotation, but only at reduced r<sub>wall</sub> / a

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Mode γ generally reduced for large r<sub>wall</sub> / a relative to ideal plasma predictions
- Mode can remain stable for high  $\omega_{\rm E}(0)\tau_{\rm A}$  at small  $r_{\rm wall}$  / a
- At sufficiently high  $\omega_E(0)\tau_A \sim 0.3$ , plasma unstable even for  $r_{wall}$  / a = 1

Results imply both rotation and dissipation influence ideal-wall stability limit (plasma mode)

## Inclusion of all resonances (precession, passing, trapped) required to stabilize 'plasma mode' at experimental $\beta$ , $\omega_E$

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Including precession and precession + trapped resonances <u>increases</u> γ for β<sub>N</sub> values > 4
- Inclusion of passing particles essential for reducing growth rate
- Stability up to β<sub>N</sub> ~ 6 only accessed by including all
   three resonance types

### Damping fraction threshold for accessing no-rotation with-wall limit of $\beta_N \sim 6$ is 30-60% of full kinetic damping

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Summary

- Edge rotation (q  $\ge$  4, r/a  $\ge$  0.8) important for NSTX RWM
  - Trends consistent with stability calculations using MARS-F
- RWM eigenfunctions are modified by dissipation, rotation
  - Reduction/modification of  $\xi_{\!\perp}$  will modify kinetic stabilization
- Ideal-wall limit ('plasma mode') modified by rotation, dissipation
  - With no dissipation, plasma is predicted to be unstable at rotation ½ the experimental value, but no instability is observed in experiment
  - Rotation shear can be stabilizing or destabilizing, depending on where the maximum shear is located in minor radius
  - Parallel (SW) damping destabilizing/stabilizing at large/small  $r_{wall}$  / a
  - Inclusion of full kinetic damping stabilizes plasma mode at high rotation,  $\beta$
  - Passing resonances appear most important for plasma mode stability
  - <u>Next-steps</u>: Compare experimental mode frequencies and eigenfunctions to predicted values including rotation and full kinetic resonant effects