



Comparison of simulations, experiments, and theory of sub-cyclotron Alfvén eigenmodes in NSTX

Jeff Lestz, Elena Belova, Nikolai Gorelenkov (PPPL)

Shawn Tang, Neal Crocker (UCLA)

APS DPP 58 San Jose, CA November 2, 2016







Overview

- CAEs and GAEs have previously been linked to anomalous $\rm T_e$ flattening in NSTX
- 3D hybrid simulations of NSTX-like plasmas find a rich spectrum of high frequency ($\omega < \omega_{ci}$) Alfvén modes for a wide range of fast ion parameters (v_0/v_A , λ_0)
- CAEs are strictly more stable than GAEs for $v_0/v_A < 4$ in simulations, consistent with the relative abundance of GAEs in experiment
- Co-GAEs seen in simulations are not often observed and analyzed in experiments
- GAE frequencies vary significantly with beam parameters without clear corresponding changes in mode structure
- Initial comparisons of mode spectrum between experiment and simulations are indirect, yielding fair agreement

CAE/GAE May Limit ST Performance

- High beam power NSTX discharges exhibit anomalously flat T_e profiles
 - Correlates with increased beam power, strong CAE/GAE activity
- Vital to understand how properties of fast ion distribution affect excitation of these modes
 - Anomalously low T_e imperils future ST development



NSTX-U

CAE/GAEs for the Uninitiated

Mutual properties

- Typical frequencies: $\omega_{TAE} < 0.1 \omega_{ci} < \omega_{CAE,GAE} < \omega_{ci}$
- MHD modes radially localized between magnetic axis and LFS
 - Both may have large compressional component near edge, making experimental classification challenging
- May be driven unstable by resonant energetic particles
 - Regular resonance $\omega k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel} = 0$
 - Doppler-shifted cyclotron resonance $\omega k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel} = \pm \omega_{ci}$
 - Most generally expressed $\omega n \langle \omega_{\phi} \rangle + p \langle \omega_{\theta} \rangle = l \langle \omega_{ci} \rangle$

Compressional AE (CAE)

a.k.a fast magnetosonic mode

- Compressional polarization
 - $\delta b \approx \delta b_{\parallel} \gg \delta B_{\perp} \approx 0$
- Dispersion: $\omega \approx k v_A$
- Mode converts to KAW at Alfvén resonance location $\omega = \omega_A(r_0)$

Global AE (GAE)

- Shear polarization
 - $\delta b \approx \delta b_{\perp} \gg \delta B_{\parallel} \approx 0$
- Dispersion: $\omega \leq (k_{\parallel}v_A)_{min}$
- Exists below an extremum of the Alfvén continuum (e.g. near low magnetic shear)

Theoretical Explanations for T_e Flattening

- Enhanced electron transport due to orbit stochasticity induced by many overlapping GAE (and CAE?)
 - Must generate $\chi_e \sim 10-50 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ to match inferred experimental rate
- Energy channeling via mode conversion from core CAE (and GAE?) to edge KAW
 - Predicts up to ~0.5MW
 power deposition per
 eigenmode



NSTX-U

HYbrid MHD/Particle Code (HYM)

- Hybrid initial value code in 3D toroidal geometry
- Single fluid MHD thermal plasma + particle fast ions
- Full-orbit kinetic ions in δf numerical scheme
- Linear and nonlinear capabilities
 - Linear simulations linearize fluid equations + evolve energetic particle weights along equilibrium trajectories
- Self consistently solves for equilibrium including energetic particle effects
- Typical run at NERSC: 100CPU x 10hrs = 1k CPUhrs



Fast Ion Distribution Model

Equilibrium distribution function $F_0 = F_1(v) F_2(\lambda) F_3(p_{\varphi}, v)$

$$F_{1}(v) = \frac{1}{v^{3} + v_{*}^{3}}, \text{ for } v < v_{0}$$

$$F_{2}(\lambda) = \exp(-(\lambda - \lambda_{0})^{2} / \Delta \lambda^{2})$$

$$F_{3}(p_{\phi}, v) = \frac{(p_{\phi} - p_{0})^{\beta}}{(R_{0}v - \psi_{0} - p_{0})^{\beta}}, \text{ for } p_{\phi} > p_{0}$$

where $v_0 = 2 - 6 v_A$, $v_* = v_0/2$, $\lambda = \mu B_0/\varepsilon$ – pitch angle parameter, $\lambda_0 = 0.1 - 0.9$ and $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1$ includes first-order corrections [Littlejohn'81]:

$$\mu = \frac{(\mathbf{v}_{\perp} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{d}})^2}{2B} - \frac{\mu_0 v_{\parallel}}{2B} [\hat{b} \cdot \nabla \times \hat{b} - 2(\hat{a} \cdot \nabla \hat{b}) \cdot \hat{c}]$$

 \mathbf{v}_d is magnetic gradient and curvature drift velocity, $\hat{c} = \mathbf{v}_{\perp} / v_{\perp}$, $\hat{a} = \hat{b} \times \hat{c}$

Parameters are chosen to match TRANSP beam profiles.

 $\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & <\lambda & <1-\epsilon & \text{passing} \\ 1-\epsilon & <\lambda & <1+\epsilon & \text{trapped} \end{array}$





Variety of Unstable Modes Found

- Most unstable: n=8-10 co-GAE, then n=5-8 cntr-GAE, then n=3-4 co-CAE
 - Colored circles: linear growth rate of most unstable mode
 - White circles: no unstable mode of any type
- n = 1,2 modes are much different from CAE/GAE
 - Much lower frequency, higher poloidal mode number



NSTX-U

Number of Excited Modes in Phase Space

- Both the number of unstable modes and the total amplitude of all unstable modes increase sharply with $v_0^{\prime}\!/v_A^{}$
- Modes prefer large v_⊥ (co-CAEs, cntr-GAEs) or v_{||} (co-GAEs)
 Prediction: λ₀~0.5 should not lead to substantial T_e flattening except at very large v₀/v_A
- Left: number of unstable toroidal harmonics at each point in phase space
- Right: γ^2 sum of unstable modes (approximation for total amplitude)



NSTX-U

Mode Spectrum Depends Strongly on n & v₀

- At each n, CAE and GAE modes appear at distinct frequencies
- Co-GAE seen in simulations at large |n| (>7) are yet to be thoroughly investigated experimentally
 - Weakly unstable near the boundary of realistic NSTX beam geometry
- Spectrum becomes much more rich as v_0/v_A increases past 4.5



NSTX-U

GAE Typically More Unstable than CAE

- Largest growth rates occur for $n \sim 6 10$
- GAE mostly co-rotating when injecting low λ_0 beam (tangential), counter-rotating for large λ_0 (perpendicular)
- Almost exclusively cntr-GAE for $2.5 < v_0/v_A < 4$
- CAE are strictly more stable than GAE for $v_0/v_A < 4$
 - Implications for $T_{\!\rm e}$ flattening mechanism
 - A difference in the amount of T_e flattening near this value of v₀/v_A could indicate which type of mode (CAE vs GAE) is most responsible for the unexplained thermal energy transport



NSTX-U

GAE Frequencies Shift with $\lambda_0 \& v_0$

- Opposite trends for cntr-GAEs (circles) and co-GAEs (squares)
- Are these true MHD modes or high frequency EPM?
- In contrast, CAE frequencies change only slightly



NSTX-U

APS DPP 58, Jeff Lestz, 2016

Co-GAE Dispersion and Resonance

• Approximate dispersion $\omega \approx k_{\parallel} v_A$ is excellent fit to n dependence of frequencies

– Fit is much worse when using B,R on-axis vs B,R at mode location

- Cyclotron resonance $\omega k_{\parallel} \langle v_{\parallel} \rangle = \langle \omega_{ci} \rangle$ decent fit to v_{\parallel} dependence of frequencies
 - Resonant v_{\parallel} not necessarily near the injected v_{\parallel}



Counter-GAE Dispersion and Resonance

- Approximate dispersion $\omega \approx k_{\parallel} v_A$ is very rough fit to n dependence in frequencies
 - $-k_{\parallel} = (n m/q)/R \approx n/R$ is worse approximation for cntr-GAEs than co-GAEs due to lower n and higher m harmonics
- Cyclotron resonance $\omega k_{\parallel} \langle v_{\parallel} \rangle = \langle \omega_{ci} \rangle$ captures qualitative v_{\parallel} dependence of frequencies
 - Resonant v_{\parallel} not necessarily close to the injected v_{\parallel}



ω_{GAE} also Changes with Fixed Equilibrium

- Equilibrium solver is self-consistent → increasing v₀/v_A increases J_{beam}/J_{plasma}, modifying the equilibrium. Must disentangle "equilibrium effects" from "EP phase space effects" on frequencies
 - Method 1: Fix beam energy and vary beam density to make the equilibrium more MHDlike without changing the energetic particle phase space
 - Method 2: Remove energetic particles from equilibrium equations (no longer self consistent), fix beam density and vary beam energy to manipulate the EP phase space
- Large frequency changes are still observed when varying v₀/v_A with identical equilibria





EPMs in Disguise?

- Large and unexpected changes in frequency with changes in EP distribution is uncharacteristic of MHD modes
- Even when excluding EP effects on equilibrium, different EP distributions with the same equilibrium lead to large changes in frequency

- Quantitatively described by resonance condition

- Frequencies are usually near expected GAE freq.
- Yet there are not always (or even typically?) clear and substantial modifications to the mode structure corresponding to these large changes in frequency
- Are these modes more accurately regarded as high frequency energetic particle modes (EPM)?

GAE resonances nicer in MHD equilibrium

- Resonances are often shifted from integers for GAEs simulated with selfconsistent equilibrium
 - In contrast, CAEs line up extremely well with integers
 - Key physics difference; CAEs obey ordinary resonance $\omega = k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}$ vs the more complicated Dopplershifted cyclotron resonances for the GAEs.
- Incidental finding in non self-consistent simulations of GAEs: resonances are much better aligned with integers when beam effects are excluded from equilibrium



Experimental vs Simulated Mode Spectrum

- From TRANSP, realistic parameters for NSTX shot 141398 are $\lambda_0 = 0.5 0.7$, $v_0/v_A = 4.75 5.25$
- Co-CAE agree with high frequency observations, disagree on direction at lower frequency range
- Cntr-GAE simulations near but below experimental measurements



NSTX-U

APS DPP 58, Jeff Lestz, 2016

Mode Spectrum Dependence on v₀/v_A

 Red/yellow/green points from experimental survey of 50ms intervals in NSTX discharges satisfying:

- (1) $T_e > 500 \text{ eV}$, (2) <f> > 200 kHz, (3) -10 < <n> < -4

- Blue marks: *individual* cntr-GAE modes from simulations
- Some qualitative agreement, but very preliminary requires more direct comparison
 - Individual mode comparison can test simulation predicted stability boundaries in v_0/v_A



Mode Spectrum Dependence on n

Red/yellow/green points from experimental survey of 50ms intervals in NSTX discharges satisfying:

- (1) T_e > 500 eV, (2) <f> > 200 kHz, (3) -10 < <n> < -4

- Blue marks: individual cntr-GAE modes from simulations
- Reasonable agreement for |n| > 7, and correct trends along v_0/v_A contours
- Also requires more direct comparison



APS DPP 58, Jeff Lestz, 2016

Modes Saturate with γ^2

- Nonlinear simulations of n = 4 CAE reveal $\delta b \propto \gamma^2 \propto P_h^2$
 - Consistent with saturation via particle trapping
- Experimental database shows $\delta b \propto P_b^{2.6}$ when fitting all CAE/GAE modes Hence power transferred to KAW scales $\propto \gamma \delta b^2 \propto \gamma^5 \propto P_b^5$
- - Very strong beam power dependence implies energy channeling should be strong effect in high power NSTX-U discharges
 - Though CAE could be more stable in general due to larger nominal B₀



Qualitative Co-CAE Stability Theory

- Drive for l = 0 resonance is proportional to $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} F_{b}(E,\mu) \propto \frac{\partial F_{b}(E,\mu)}{\partial E} = \frac{\partial F_{b}}{\partial E} - \frac{\lambda}{E} \frac{\partial F_{b}}{\partial \lambda} > 0$ for instability
- Simulated F_b is slowing down in E, Gaussian in pitch
 - First term is stabilizing for the entire phase space
 - Second term is stabilizing for $\lambda < \lambda_0$ and **destabilizing** for $\lambda > \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda_0}\right)^2} \right) \equiv \lambda_{crit}$
- Resonance condition $\omega = k_{\parallel}v_{\parallel}$ with approximate relation $v_{\parallel} \approx v \sqrt{1 \langle \omega_{ci} \rangle \lambda}$ yield the resonant λ :

$$-\lambda_{res} \approx \frac{1}{\langle \omega_{ci} \rangle} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2}{k_{\parallel}^2 v^2} \right)$$

Unstable CAE Modes vs Theory

- $\lambda_{res} > \lambda_{crit}$ is a necessary condition for instability
- Check against simulations with assumptions:

 $-\langle \omega_{ci} \rangle \approx 0.9 \omega_{ci0}$ (good), $k_{\parallel} \approx \frac{n}{R}$ (okay), $v_{res} \approx v_0$ (unreliable)

- CAEs in simulation usually satisfy this instability condition (predicts unshaded region to be unstable)
- Beilkov *et. al.* also claim $v_0/v_A > 4$ is necessary for co-CAEs to be preferentially driven by *trapped* particles remarkably similar to the stability boundary demonstrated in these simulations (earlier slide) [Belikov, PoP 2004]



NSTX-U

APS DPP 58, Jeff Lestz, 2016

Cntr-GAE Stability Theory

- According to theory, $2 < k_{\perp}\rho_b < 4$ required for cntr-GAE instability with NSTX-like EP distributions [Gorelenkov, NF 2003]
- From dispersion, $k_{\perp} \approx \frac{\omega}{v_A} \left(\frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\parallel}} \right)$, hence $k_{\perp} \rho_b = \frac{\omega}{\omega_{ci}} \frac{v_{\perp}}{v_A} \left(\frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\parallel}} \right) \approx \frac{\omega}{\omega_{ci}} \frac{v_0 \sqrt{\langle \omega_{ci} \rangle \lambda_0}}{v_A} \left(\frac{k_{\perp}}{k_{\parallel}} \right)$
- Unfortunately, k_{\perp}/k_{\parallel} is not known for each mode, though it is expected to be much larger than 1 (usual tokamak limit)
- Encouragingly, the other factors in $k_{\perp}\rho_b$ show a clustering in growth rates (left plot)
- The inferred values of k_{\perp}/k_{\parallel} necessary for the unstable modes to obey the theoretical instability conditions are generally $k_{\perp}/k_{\parallel} = 2 6$ (right plot)
 - Challenging to verify because mode structure is not usually well-aligned with ψ contours \rightarrow obfuscates poloidal mode number and thus expressions for k_{\parallel} and k_{\perp}



Summary and Conclusions

- 3D hybrid simulations were performed for a wide range of beam parameters to investigate CAEs/GAEs in NSTX plasmas
- Cntr-GAEs have best overall agreement between simulations and experimental observations
- Co-GAEs are observed in simulations to be quite unstable for very tangential beam distributions, but little experimental or analytic work exists on these modes
- CAEs in simulation disagree with direction of propagation observed in experiment in moderate frequency band
- CAEs are more stable than GAEs for v₀/v_A < 4

 Implications for dominant mechanism of anomalous T_e flattening
- GAE frequencies depend strongly on beam parameters without clearly corresponding changes in mode strucure
 - Quantitatively explained by GAE dispersion + resonance, but may be better described as a new, high frequency EPM?

Ongoing and Future Work

- Direct single mode simulation/experiment comparison
- Continued investigation of GAE vs EPM question
- What causes the GAE resonances to be shifted, and why are the shifts so diminished when neglecting the (often large) EP contributions to the equilibrium?
- Analytic descriptions of stability boundaries
- Compare relative importance of enhanced electron diffusion and energy channeling in various regimes
- Predictions for ITER and other devices which may routinely access the EP parameter space necessary to excite these modes

Request for Electronic Copy



Backup Slides



HYM Physical Equations

Background plasma - fluid:

$$\rho \frac{d\mathbf{V}}{dt} = -\nabla p + (\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}_b) \times \mathbf{B} - n_b (\mathbf{E} - \eta \mathbf{j})$$

 $\mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} + \eta \mathbf{j}$ $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ $\partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t = -\mathbf{E}$ $\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$ $\partial p^{1/\gamma} / \partial t = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V} p^{1/\gamma})$ $\partial \rho / \partial t = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{V} \rho)$ Fast ions – delta-F scheme:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} = \mathbf{v}$$
$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = \mathbf{E} - \eta \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$$

 $w = \delta F / F - \text{particle weight}$ $\frac{dw}{dt} = -(1 - w) \frac{d(\ln F_0)}{dt}$ $F_0 = F_0(\varepsilon, \mu, p_\phi)$

 ρ , **V** and ρ are thermal plasma density, velocity and pressure, n_b and j_b are beam ion density and current, and $n_b << n_e - is$ assumed.

Co-CAE Mode Converts to KAW

- CAE typically m = 0.5 2, peaking on axis
- KAW structure visible in δB_{\perp} fluctuation on HFS





NSTX-U

Counter-GAE are Core-Localized

- Typically m = 1.5 2.5
- Often has large compressional component near edge













NSTX-U

APS DPP 58, Jeff Lestz, 2016

Co-GAE are also Core-Localized

- Typically very low m = 0.5 1
- Not commonly observed in experiment?













NSTX-U

APS DPP 58, Jeff Lestz, 2016

Low Frequency Modes are Qualitatively Different

- Typically $m \ge 3$ (as large as $m \sim 6$)
- What are they? TAE/fishbone/EPM/???







NSTX-U

 $\times 10^{-3}$

Unexpected Low-Frequency Modes

- Frequencies : $\omega \sim 0.01 0.05\omega_{ci} = 2\pi(25 125) \text{ kHz}$
- Growth rates : γ/ω = 0.013 0.37, γ_{max} = 0.073 ω_{ci} = 90 kHz .
- Require large v_0 and either very high or low λ_0

- Colored circles: low n mode is most unstable mode
- Gray circles: Different mode has larger growth rate
- White circles: No unstable modes of any type





NSTX H-mode Shot 141398



NSTX-U

CAE & GAE Observed in Experiment

• Fredrickson observes 3 groups of modes -Co-propagating CAE

■n=6-14, $0.5\omega_{ci} < \omega < 0.75\omega_{ci}$

-Counter-propagating CAE & GAE

 $\bullet 0.15\omega_{\rm ci} < \omega < 0.35\omega_{\rm ci}$

-Kinks correlated with high frequency co-CAE

∎ω ~ .005ω_{ci}



• Crocker studies moderate frequency modes $-\omega > 0.25\omega_{ci}$ CAE • Mostly -3 < n < -5, more core-localized $-\omega < 0.25\omega_{ci}$ GAE • Mostly -6 < n < -8, broad mode structure





Co-GAE structure mostly unchanged

- Below: δb_{\perp} for n=9, λ_0 =0.3 co-GAE with v₀/v_A=5.2-6.0
- ω/ω_{ci} increases linearly from 0.24 to 0.29
- Mode structure does not change qualitatively
 - Same eigenmode or different in subtle way?





Resonant Quantities

- To do: if time permits, would be nice to comment on the sometimes large variance between injection quantities and beam quantities
 - E.g. for CAEs, resonant lambda is typically opposite injected lambda (inject passing particles → modes driven by trapped particles, and the converse)

