



#### Generation of Non-Inductive H-Mode Plasmas with 30 MHz Fast Wave Heating in NSTX-U\*

**G. Taylor**<sup>1</sup>, N. Bertelli<sup>1</sup>, S. P. Gerhardt<sup>1</sup>, J. C. Hosea<sup>1</sup>, D. Mueller<sup>1</sup>, R. J. Perkins<sup>1</sup>, F. M. Poli<sup>1</sup>, R. Raman<sup>2</sup>, J. R. Wilson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

<sup>2</sup>University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

Paper NP10.00035 APS-DPP Meeting San Jose, California November 2, 2016







\* This work is supported by USDOE Contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466

#### Introduction

## Developing fully non-inductive (NI) plasmas is a NSTX-U 10-year research goal

- In a Fusion Nuclear Science Facility based on a spherical tokamak the plasma current (I<sub>p</sub>) needs to be initiated, ramped-up and sustained with little or no central solenoid field
- 30 MHz fast wave (FW) heating can play a critical role in NSTX-U supporting non-inductive (NI) I<sub>p</sub> ramp-up to 300 kA:
  - FW heating effectively heats low density plasmas with  $I_p \le 300$  kA, whereas neutral beam injection (NBI) requires  $I_p \ge 300$  kA to ensure fast ion confinement and to maintain shine through below 50%
- Experiments on NSTX-U aim to couple ~ 3 MW of FW power into  $I_p \sim 300$  kA plasmas, achieving NI current fraction,  $f_{NI} \ge I$
- This poster presents the first results from time-dependent transport simulations of these FW-heated  $I_p \sim 300$  kA plasmas

#### NSTX-U facility includes a 6 MW, 30 MHz FW heating system previously used on NSTX



**NSTX-U** 

Generation of Non-Inductive H-Mode Plasmas with 30 MHz FW Heating in NSTX-U G. Taylor November 2, 2016

# NSTX-U performance will far exceed NSTX with regard to maximum $I_p$ , $B_T$ and $I^2t^*$

Parameter	NSTX (Max.)	FY2016 NSTX-U Operations Achieved	FY2017 NSTX-U Repair PF	FY2018 NSTX-U Operations	NSTX-U Ultimate Goal
I <sub>P</sub> (MA)	1.2	~1.1	-	2.0	2.0
Β <sub>T</sub> (T)	0.55	~ 0.65	-	1.0	1.0
Allowed TF I <sup>2</sup> t (MA <sup>2</sup> s)	7.3	80	-	160	160

- NSTX 30 MHz FW heating was operated in the high harmonic FW (HHFW) regime, with RF damping on up to  $11w_{ci}$  at  $B_T(0) = 0.55$  T
- NSTX-U 30 MHz FW heating will operate in the Medium Harmonic FW (MHFW) regime, with RF damping on up to  $5w_{ci}$  at  $B_T(0) = 1$  T

<sup>\*</sup> J. E. Menard *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **52** (2012) 083015

#### Development of NI Plasmas Heated by 30 MHz FW Power



#### Experiments in NSTX-U will develop NI start-up, ramp-up and plasma sustainment separately



## Time-dependent modeling of NSTX-U NI I<sub>p</sub> ramp-up predict that NBI is not suitable for I<sub>p</sub> $\leq$ 300 kA

- TRANSP modeling of NI plasma started with NBI predicts very high shine-through during the first 100 ms when  $I_p \le 300$  kA
- Simulations suggest that an additional source of current is needed in the first 200 ms of a NI plasma\*
- FW power can effectively heat plasma at low density
- FW heating can be through both the electron and ion channels, depending on the antenna phasing\*\*
- Plan to use ~3 MW of 30 MHz FW power to ramp  $I_p$  to 300 kA

\*\* N. Bertelli et al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1580 (2014) 310

<sup>\*</sup> F. M. Poli et al., Nuclear Fusion 55 (2015) 123011

# To support development of FW-heated $I_p$ ramp-up in NSTX-U first demonstrate fully NI $I_p \sim 300$ kA discharges

- Couple 30 MHz FW heating as early as possible into the  $\rm I_p$  flattop
- Ramp FW power as fast as possible to maximum FW power, preferably in < 50 ms to generate early H-mode transition</li>
- Use  $k_{//} = -8 \text{ m}^{-1}$  current drive phasing
- NI current drive via both direct FW current drive and bootstrap current generated through FW heating
- First experiment was run in NSTX during 2010 campaign:
  - Achieved  $f_{NI} \sim 0.7$  in an  $I_p = 300$  kA H-mode plasma sustained for several 100 ms using only  $P_{rf} \sim 1.4$  MW (next slide)
- Extend scenario to higher  $P_{rf}$  at higher  $B_T(0)$  in NSTX-U to achieve sustained  $I_p = 300$  kA H-mode plasma with  $f_{NI} \ge 1$

# FW heating of an $I_p$ = 300 kA plasma in NSTX resulted in rapid increase of $T_e(0)$ and $f_{NI} > 70\%$ H-mode\*

- 1.4 MW of 30 MHz,  $k_{//} = 8 \text{ m}^{-1}$ HHFW power rapidly increased  $T_e(0)$  from 250 eV to 2 keV and triggered an H-mode transition (NSTX shot 138506)
- Notably the Bootstrap current contribution was greater than that from direct RF current drive
- 50% fluctuations in bootstrap current during H-mode phase due to fluctuations in pressure profile



\* G. Taylor et al., Phys. Plasmas 19 (2012) 042501

#### Modeling predicts low 30 MHz power losses over a wider range of SOL densities at higher $B_T(0)$ in NSTX-U



SOL power losses versus SOL density in front of antenna. Vertical lines represent values of density for which the FW cutoff starts to "open" in front of the antenna

- AORSA modeling predicts RF power losses to SOL increase substantially above the FW cutoff density, consistent with experimental trends\*
  - \* N. Bertelli et al., Nuclear Fusion 54 (2014) 083004

#### TRANSP Modeling of I<sub>p</sub> = 300 kA Plasmas Heated by 30 MHz FW Power

#### TRANSP time-dependent transport simulation being used to model FW heated NI NSTX-U plasmas

• Simulations ramped  $n_e(0)$  to  $1.15 \times 10^{13}$  m<sup>-3</sup>, between 0 and 100 ms



NSTX-U

#### TRANSP simulated FW heating of an $I_p = 300$ kA plasma with $B_T(0)$ between 0.5 and 1 T and $P_{rf}$ up to 4 MW

- MultiMode MMM7.1\* transport model used for ions and electrons:
  - MMM7.1 gave the best fit to experimental electron temperature profile for NBIheated I<sub>p</sub> = 300 kA NSTX plasmas, compared to Coppi-Tang or current diffusive ballooning mode model (CDBM)\*\*
- I<sub>p</sub> flat top started at 100 ms, FW power turned on at 100 ms and ramped to full power in 40 ms
- FW heating and current drive calculated with TORIC full wave spectral code<sup>+</sup>
- Used k<sub>//</sub> = 8 m<sup>-1</sup> current drive antenna phasing



• Simulations for  $B_T(0) = 0.5$ , 0.75 and 1.0 T and  $P_{rf} = 2$ , 3 and 4 MW

\* T. Rafiq *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **20** (2013) 032506 + M. Brambilla, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **44** (2002) 2423 \*\* F. M. Poli *et al.*, Nucl. Fus. **55** (2015) 123011

## Simulation for $B_T(0) = 0.5 \text{ T NSTX-U}$ plasma predicts $f_{NI} \ge 1$ is only achievable with $P_{rf} \sim 4 \text{ MW}$

At 400 ms:

- RF current drive = 130 kA
- Bootstrap current = 60 kA
- Increasing P<sub>rf</sub> to 4 MW yields a NI current of 280 kA



T<sub>0</sub>(0)

(keV)

n<sub>e</sub>(0) (x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)

RF Power = 3 MW

1.5

0.5

140358B42

#### Simulation for $B_{T}(0) = 0.75 \text{ T NSTX-U}$ plasma predicts $f_{NII} \ge 1$ probably achievable with $P_{rf} \sim 3$ MW

T<sub>0</sub>(0)

(keV)

2

At 400 ms:

**NSTX-U** 

- RF current drive = 205 kA
- Bootstrap current = 70 kA
- Increasing P<sub>rf</sub> to 4 MW yields • a NI current of 400 kA



0.4

140358B37

0.4

140358B37

Current

n\_(0)

(x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)

## Simulation for $B_T(0) = 1.0 \text{ T NSTX-U}$ plasma predicts $f_{NI} \ge 1$ is achievable with $P_{rf} \sim 3 \text{ MW}$

At 400 ms:

- RF current drive = 220 kA
- Bootstrap current = 80 kA
- Increasing P<sub>rf</sub> to 4 MW yields a NI current of 410 kA



T<sub>0</sub>(0)

(keV)

140358B49

n<sub>e</sub>(0) (x10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>)

RF Power = 3 MW

## For the same $P_{rf}$ , $f_{NI}$ improved significantly when $B_T(0)$ was increased from 0.5 to 0.75 T

- Much smaller improvement in  $f_{NI}$  when  $B_T(0)$  was increased from 0.75 T to 1 T, compared to  $f_{NI}$  increase when  $B_T(0)$  was increased froim 0.5 to 0.75T
- When  $P_{rf}$  was increased from 2 to 4 MW, the relative improvement in  $f_{NI}$  became smaller when  $B_{T}(0)$  was increased from 0.75 T to 1 T



## Simulations using MMM7.1 transport model show significant disagreement with NSTX shot 138506



- Simulation of an I<sub>p</sub> = 300 kA plasma using MMM7.1 transport model with similar  $B_T(0)$  and  $P_{rf}$  to NSTX shot 138506 predicts much lower  $T_e(0)$  and central plasma pressure than was obtained in experiment
- Simulation using MMM7.1 transport model also predicts much lower FW current drive and bootstrap current than attained in shot 138506

## Simulation with 5 times lower electron diffusivity gives better match to $T_e(0)$ in NSTX shot 138506



- Simulation with 5 times lower electron diffusivity better matches T<sub>e</sub>(0) and central pressure on NSTX shot 138506, but poorer match at r/a ~ 0.5
- Appears core transport in the FW-heated  $I_p = 300$  kA discharge is much lower than in the NBI-heated  $I_p = 300$  kA discharge

#### Simulation with $B_T(0) = 0.5 \text{ T}$ , $P_{rf} = 3 \text{ MW}$ and 5 times lower electron diffusivity predicts $f_{NI} \sim 1$

At 400 ms:

300

200

100

0

Current

(KA)

Time = 400 ms

0.2

0.4

- RF current drive = 160 kA
- Bootstrap current = 105 kA





#### Summary

- TRANSP simulations, using MMM7.1 transport model, were run for FW-heated I<sub>p</sub> = 300 kA NSTX-U plasmas with  $B_T(0) = 0.5, 0.75$  and 1.0 T and  $P_{rf} = 2, 3$  and 4 MW
- For the same  $P_{rf},\,f_{NI}$  improved significantly when  $B_T(0)$  was increased from 0.5 to 0.75 T
- $f_{NI}$ =1 should be achievable with  $P_{rf} \sim 3$  MW in  $B_T(0)$  =1 plasma and possibly in  $B_T(0)$  = 0.75 T plasma
- Simulation using the MMM7.1 model under conditions similar to FW-heated NSTX shot 138506 predicts much lower  $T_e(0)$  than was achieved experimentally:
  - Suggests core transport lower in FW-heated plasma than in NBI-heated plasma, where MMM7.1 model predictions agree better with experiment
  - − Decreasing c<sub>e</sub> by 5x gave better agreement between predicted and measured T<sub>e</sub>(0) in 138506 → possible that f<sub>NI</sub> ~ 1 is achievable in a B<sub>T</sub>(0) = 0.5 T NSTX-U plasma with P<sub>rf</sub> ~ 3 MW

#### **Future Work**

- Need transport model that gives better agreement to T<sub>e</sub>(R) profile obtained in NSTX shot 138506 in order to project performance of FW heating and current drive in NSTX-U
- Simulations will be run with a density profile that better matches NSTX shot 138506
- Study the effect of the timing and ramp rate of  $P_{rf}$  on  $f_{NI}$