Gyrokinetic Analysis of Electrostatic Turbulence and Transport Properties in Tokamaks and Spherical Tori

W. X. Wang

in collaboration with

M. Bell S. Ethier T. S. Hahm S. M. Kaye W. W. Lee J. Manickam G. Rewoldt W. M. Tang

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

 34^{th} EPS-CPP, July 2-6, 2007 Warsaw, Poland



- Properties of ITG turbulence driven ion transport in NSTX plasmas, comparison with DIII-D
- Simulation of toroidal momentum transport
- Anisotropic properties of neoclassical equilibrium
- Recent NSTX-physics-oriented development of GTS with respect to electron physics



Gyrokinetic PIC Simulations of Neoclassical Transport

- GTC-Neo: δf global Gyrokinetic PIC Code [Wang et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. (2004); Phys. of Plasmas (2006)]
- Calculates neoclassical fluxes, E_r , j_b , etc
- Nonlocal physics due to large ion orbits
- Two species now: ions + electrons
- Momentum, energy and particle number conserving collisions
- Interfaced with MHD equilibrium codes and TRANSP data base
- It is routinely applied to the analysis of NSTX discharges





GTS Turbulence Simulations Show That ITG Modes Have Low Contributions to Energy Transport



• – simulation radial domain: $0.2 \le r \le 1.0$; – adiabatic electrons; – equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ shear flow not included; – ion-ion collisions included

• ITG turbulence has significant fluctuation amplitudes, but drives small ion energy transport in NSTX plasmas (sometimes below neoclassical level)!



GTS Simulations Show that ITG Modes Are Relevant for DIII-D, But Not for NSTX



• In contrast, in DIII-D plasmas, ITG turbulence can drive large transport (×10 neoclassical level)



ITG Modes Drive Significant Potential Fluctuations



 Turbulence fluctuation levels for two machines are actually comparable $e\delta\phi/T_i < 1\%$



Mixed Scaling between ITG and Neoclassical Transport



• Measured at locations around maximum R_0/L_{T_i} :

$$rac{q_i^{
m ITG}}{q_i^{
m NC}} = rac{\chi_i^{
m ITG}}{\chi_i^{
m NC}} \propto rac{\gamma^{
m ITG}}{
u_{ii}} ~~?$$

• To compare neoclassical and turbulent transport, we need to discuss them using a unified language







- Spreading in outward direction is more significant
- The reversed magnetic shear in the inner side may provide stronger damping



ITG Turbulence Spectrum in NSTX Plasma





- Nonlinear toroidal couplings are responsible for the formation of a down-shifted toroidal spectrum in the fully developed ITG turbulence regime.
- Low-k measurements can tell if ITG exists and drives any transport in NSTX ⇒ to validate simulation





- Linear ion-ion collisions: $C_{ii}^{l}(\delta f) = C(\delta f, f_{0}) + C(f_{0}, \delta f)$ (drag & diffusion) (effect of perturbed field particles)
- Collisions enhance ITG driven ion heat flux, but not significantly
- We may expect more sensitive dependence on collisions in marginal instability regime





- Equilibrium radial electric field is determined by neoclassical dynamics, and is calculated by GTC-Neo self-consistently
- $\bullet~{\rm ITG}$ is stabilized when equilibrium ${\bf E} \times {\bf B}$ shear flow is included



Fluctuation Spectrum Shift due to Applied Equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ Flow



• Using an equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flow with $E = E_r/3$



Neoclassical Momentum Transport Is Significantly Enhanced for Steep Toroidal Rotation Gradient



- GTC-Neo is used to calculate an accurate baseline of momentum transport, which is required to understand any anomalous momentum transport and torque
 - nonlocal properties of neoclassical angular momentum transport associated with steep gradients, large orbits
 - off-diagonal momentum transport associated with temperature and pressure gradients in collisionless regime
 - poloidal electric field in rotating plasma and effect on momentum transport
- Simulations with steep rotation gradient obtained angular momentum transport 5-6 times larger than theory(Hinton-Wong).



Turbulence fluctuation part δf :

$$\frac{D\delta f}{Dt} = -\vec{v_E} \cdot \nabla f_0 + \hat{b^*} \cdot \nabla (\frac{e}{m_i} \bar{\phi}) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_{\parallel}} + C_i^l(\delta f_i).$$

Neoclassical equilibrium f_0 :

$$\frac{\partial f_0}{\partial t} + (v_{\parallel}\hat{b} + v_{E_0}\vec{i} + v_d) \cdot \nabla f_0 - \hat{b^*} \cdot \nabla (\mu B + \frac{e}{m_i} \Phi_0) \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial v_{\parallel}} = C_i(f_0, f_0).$$

Lowest order equilibrium solution consistent with plasma rotation: $f_0 = f_{SM} = n(r,\theta) \left(\frac{m_i}{2\pi T_i}\right)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{m_i}{T_i} \left[\frac{1}{2}(v_{\parallel} - U_i)^2 + \mu B\right]}$

parallel flow: $U_i = I\omega_t/B$, density: $n(r,\theta) = N(r)e^{\frac{m_i U_i^2}{2T_i} - \frac{e\tilde{\Phi}_0}{T_i}}$ $\frac{D\delta f}{Dt} = \left[(\cdots)\vec{v_E} \cdot \nabla \ln T - \vec{v_E} \cdot \nabla \ln n(r,\theta) - \frac{m(v_{\parallel} - U_i)}{T_i}\vec{v_E} \cdot \nabla U_i(r,\theta) + \frac{mU_i}{T_i v_{\parallel}}\vec{v_E} \cdot \mu \nabla B - \frac{1}{T_i}(v_{\parallel}\hat{b} + \vec{v_d}) \cdot \nabla (e\bar{\phi})(1 - \frac{U_i}{v_{\parallel}}) \right] f_0$

 $\{\langle n(r,\theta)\rangle, T(r), \Phi_0(r), \text{ and } \omega_t(r)\} \Longrightarrow \text{turbulence-driven fluxes}$



Anisotropic Properties and Structure of Neoclassical Equilibrium of NSTX Plasmas



- Plasma anisotropy with respect to T_{\parallel} and T_{\perp} is insignificant
- However, there exists considerable variation of T_i on magnetic surface (up to ~ 20% difference between outer and inner sides on mid-plane)
- $\delta f_{nc}/f_{SM} \sim 10\%$



Anisotropic Properties and Structure of Neoclassical Equilibrium of NSTX Plasmas



- Difference increases with $\Delta_{b,i}/L_{T_i}$, but insensitive to density gradient
- As a consequence, pressure iso-surfaces are different than magnetic surfaces



Recent Development of GTS and NSTX-physics-oriented Algorithm

- Generalized Poisson Solver to solve integral equation for total potential $\Phi = \delta \Phi + \langle \Phi \rangle$ using superLU/PETSc
 - previous solver solves for $\delta \Phi$ and $\langle \Phi \rangle$ separately using approximations:
 - i) Pade approximation $\Gamma_0(b) \equiv I_0(b)e^{-b} \approx 1/(1+b)$ and
 - ii) $\left\langle \tilde{\Phi} \right\rangle \approx \widetilde{\left\langle \Phi \right\rangle}$ not justified for NSTX geometry!





• Electron physics via split-weight scheme

$$f_e = f_{e0} - \frac{e\delta\Phi}{T_e}f_{e0} + \delta h_e$$

$$\frac{\partial \delta h_e}{\partial t} + v \vec{D} \cdot \nabla \delta h_e - \hat{b^*} \cdot \nabla (\mu B + \frac{e}{m_e} \Phi_0 + \frac{e}{m_e} \Phi) \frac{\partial \delta h_e}{\partial v_{\parallel}}$$

$$= -\vec{v_E} \cdot \nabla f_{e0} + \hat{b^*} \cdot \nabla (\frac{e}{m_e} \Phi) \frac{\partial f_{e0}}{\partial v_{\parallel}} + \frac{e}{T_e} \frac{\partial \delta \Phi}{\partial t} f_{e0} + \vec{v_D} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{e\delta \Phi}{T_e}\right) f_{e0} + C_e^l(\delta h_e).$$

$$\vec{v_D} \equiv v_{\parallel}\hat{b} + \vec{v_{E_0}} + \vec{v_E} + \vec{v_d}$$

 $\frac{\partial \delta \Phi}{\partial t}$ on RHS can cause numerical instability if using direct numerical derivative

Iterative method taking NA electrons as higher order correction – not justified for NSTX because of high fraction of trapped electrons



Need to introduce additional equation for $\frac{\partial \delta \Phi}{\partial t}$:

$$\frac{e}{T_i}\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} - \frac{\widetilde{\partial\Phi}}{\partial t}\right) = \frac{1}{n_0}\left(-\nabla\cdot\vec{\Gamma_i} + \nabla\cdot\vec{\Gamma_e}\right) + \vec{v}_{\delta E}\cdot\left(2\frac{\nabla B}{B} - \frac{e}{T_e}\nabla\Phi_0\right)$$

$$\vec{\Gamma_e} = \int (v_{\parallel}\hat{b} + v_{E_0} + v_{E} + v_{d})\delta h_e d^3 v$$
$$\vec{\Gamma_i} = \int (v_{\parallel}\hat{b} + v_{E_0} + v_{E} + v_{d})\delta f_i d^3 v$$

The corresponding linear problem is solved using superLU/PESTc



Summary

Our gyrokinetic simulation studies contribute several interesting remarks to the observation that the ion transport is at neoclassical levels in NSTX.

- In NSTX plasmas, ITG driven ion energy transport is at neoclassical level.
- In contrast, for DIII-D discharges, ITG turbulence is shown to drive large transport (×10 neoclassical level).
- Turbulence fluctuation levels for two machines are actually comparable $(\sim e\delta\Phi/T_i < 1\%; \rho_{*,NSTX} \sim 2\rho_{*,DIII-D}).$
- A mixed transport scaling (?)

$$\frac{q_i^{\rm ITG}}{q_i^{\rm NC}} = \frac{\chi_i^{\rm ITG}}{\chi_i^{\rm NC}} \propto \frac{\gamma^{\rm ITG}}{\nu_{ii}}$$

- Self-consistent equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flows can strongly stabilize ITG.
- Effect of collisions is weak.



Neoclassical momentum transport and equilibrium properties:

- Neoclassical angular momentum transport is strongly enhanced for steep toroidal rotation gradient
- Significant T_i variation on magnetic surface is found due to the neoclassical effects associated with large ion orbits and steep T_i profile.



Gyrokinetic PIC Simulations of Turbulent Transport

- GTS: generalized gyrokinetic simulation model implemented using GTC [Lin et al, Science (1998)] architecture to simulate tokamak experiment [Wang et al., Phys. of Plasmas (2006)]
- Shaped cross-section; experimental profiles; consistent rotation and equilibrium $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ flow; linear Coulomb collisions; · · ·
- Interfaced with MHD equilibrium codes (based on ESI interface by Zakharov and White) and TRANSP data base
- Benchmarked in simple geometry limit



