

Supported by



Effect of non-axisymmetric magentic perturbations on divertor profiles in NSTX H-mode plasmas

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin**

Joon-Wook Ahn, ORNL

J.M. Canik, <u>R. Maingi</u>, T.K. Gray, A.G. McLean (ORNL), A.L. Roquemore, J.-K. Park (PPPL), V.A. Soukhanovskii (LLNL) and the NSTX Research Team

37th EPS Conference Dublin, Ireland June 21-25, 2010





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kvushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U **loffe Inst RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA. Frascati CEA, Cadarache **IPP, Jülich IPP**, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

Science

Motivation

- Small external magnetic perturbations used for ELM control
 - ELM suppression (DIII-D) and mitigation (JET)
 - ELM triggering (MAST, NSTX)
- The 3-D nature of RMP application can cause toroidally asymmetric heat and particle deposition
- Understanding of heat and particle transport in the presence of 3-D fields, both externally applied and internally arisen, is important for divertor performance projections
- The proposed use of 3-D field triggered ELMs in a controlled manner requires detailed understanding of heat and particles deposition pattern during the ELMs



Divertor heat flux and D_{α} measurement in NSTX





Strike point splitting is predicted by 3-D field application



n=3 fields and 2-D equilibrium fields

Discharge evolution for Lithium enhanced ELM-free H-mode



 L-H transition at t=110ms and the whole H-mode period stayed in ELM-free regime due to low recycling by lithium coating

 n=3 fields were applied from t=400ms with amplitude below the threshold limit to trigger ELMs

Nearly monotonic profile at early stage of discharge





'Intrinsic strike point splitting' before 3-D field application



'Augmented strike point splitting' by 3-D field application





Strike point splitting is consistent with the applied n=3 periodicity



- The profile modification is expected to have n=3 periodicity (120°) due to the imposed n=3 field structure
- Locations of local peaks and valleys in the heat flux (IR camera at 135°) and D_α (at 255°) profiles are similar

Distribution of lobe locations agrees well between measurement and vacuum field line tracing



- Measured heat flux profile (orange) overlaid with vacuum field line tracing plot
- Dense regions in the puncture plot correspond to long connection length lobes, therefore expected to have higher heat and particle fluxes

J-W. Ahn, Nucl. Fusion (2010), 045010



Radial location of striations agrees between measurement and modeling



- Radial location and the width of striations increase with striation number
- Radial location of local peaks for all three profiles (heat flux, D_α, L_c from field line tracing) agree with each other quite well



Intrinsic error field may be one of the sources for intrinsic strike point splitting



- Vacuum field line tracing modeling for intrinsic error fields from the non-circularity of PF5, n=3 component is known to be dominant component¹
- Radial location of local peaks agree between PF5 and n=3 application cases, consistent with experimental observations in NSTX

¹J.E. Menard, Nucl. Fusion (2010), 045008



Imposed n=3 fields cause strike point splitting and trigger ELMs



Heat flux profile from ELMs triggered by n=3 fields appears to follow imposed field structure



- Striations in the heat flux profile appear in the same locations as was before the ELM
- 3-D field triggered ELMs appear to be phase-locked to the externally applied perturbation structure

NSTX

Degree of intrinsic strike point splitting varies in time during the discharge



Intrinsic strike point splitting is not ubiquitous in NSTX



- In other discharges, both heat flux and D_α profiles show no sign of intrinsic strike point splitting
- What causes the difference?



Plasma response inside separatrix appears unimportant for the formation of lobe structure



- Plasma response computed by Ideal Perturbed Equilibrium Code (IPEC)¹, an ideal MHD code capable of solving 3-D equilibrium with free boundary
- Radial location and spacing of generated lobes are little affected by the plasma response inside the separatrix

¹J.-K. Park, Phys. Plasmas (2007), 052110

Vacuum field line tracing provides good agreement with measured lobe structure in divertor profiles

- Measured heat and particle flux profiles show strike point splitting at the divertor target with the effect of both,
 - Intrinsic 3-D fields, intrinsic error fields may be one of the sources
 - Imposed 3-D fields by external coils
- The expected periodicity of measured divertor profiles for imposed 3-D fields was confirmed experimentally
- Inclusion of plasma response does not affect the structure of split strike point significantly
- 3-D field triggered ELM heat flux appears to largely follow split strike point channels



- More data for various n numbers (n=1 and 2)
- Quantify sources of intrinsic strike point splitting
- Figure out toroidal mode number for natural ELMs Compare with field line tracing result with various n numbers composed Use of wide angle camera will further help
- Investigate effect of collisionality and q95
- Rotate applied 3-D fields to find out toroidal hot spots if any



