

Supported by



### **Optimization of Density and Radiated Power Evolution Control using Magnetic ELM Pace**making in NSTX

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL PPPL PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank, Inc. **UC Davis UC** Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Marvland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U** Wisconsin

### J.M. Canik, ORNL

R. Maingi, A.C. Sontag (ORNL), R.E. Bell, S.P. Gerhardt, H.W. Kugel, B.P. LeBlanc, J. Manickam, J.-K. Park (PPPL), T. Osborne (GA), S.A. Sabbagh (Columbia U), V.A. Soukhanovskii (LLNL) and the NSTX Research Team

#### 23<sup>rd</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Daejon, Korea Oct 11-16, 2010





Culham Sci Ctr U St. Andrews York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA, Frascati **CEA**, Cadarache **IPP. Jülich IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

### Outline

- Introduction to NSTX
  - PFC conditioning techniques
  - 3D magnetic perturbation coil set
- ELM destabilization with 3D fields
  - Threshold perturbation to cause ELMs
  - Changes to plasma profiles
- Perturbations are used for ELM-pacing with Li conditioning
  - ELM suppressed with Li-coated PFCs
  - 3D fields trigger ELMs at will, reduce impurity accumulation
  - Reduces ELM size-potential ELM control technique in future devices



### **NSTX Facility Capabilities**

Slim center column with TF, OH coils + Lithium coating	R, a <sub>max</sub>	0.85, 0.67 m
	Aspect ratio A	1.27 – 1.6
Excellent diagnostic access	Elongation κ	1.6 – 3.0
	Triangularity $\delta$	0.3 – 0.8
	Toroidal Field $B_{T0}$	0.3 – 0.55 T
	Plasma Current I <sub>p</sub>	≤ 1.5 MA
	Auxiliary heating:	
	NBI (100kV)	≤ 7.4 MW
	Central temperature	1 – 6 keV
	Central density	≤1.2×10 <sup>20</sup> m <sup>-3</sup>

3

#### Two PFC conditioning techniques are studied: boronization and lithium coatings



- Similar discharges compared with boronized/lithium coated PFCs
  - Lithium evaporated onto PFCs between discharges
- Plasma with lithium coated PFCs has higher energy confinement
- Boronization: ELMy
- Lithium: ELM-free
  - Suffers from impurity accumulation

# External midplane coils are used to apply perturbation with strong resonant and non-resonant components

n=3 configuration is used in all experiments presented here



## Resonant component amplitudes are sufficient for creating a stochastic edge

- Vacuum and IPEC calculations give different regions of strong resonance
  - Vacuum case:  $\sigma^{ch} > 1$  implies overlapping islands, stochasticity
  - IPEC: ideal plasma response -> σ<sup>ch</sup> is a measure of resonant fields, no islands are allowed





# ELMs are destabilized above a threshold perturbation in both boronized and lithiumized plasmas

#### **Boronized PFCs**



- n=3 field applied during ELM-free/small ELM phase of discharge
- Above a threshold n=3 field ELMs destabilized for boroninzed or lithiumcoated PFCs
- ELM frequency increases with n=3 field magnitude
  - High fields also brake plasma strongly, degrade global stability

#### Li-coated PFCs



Oct 10, 2010

### With boronized PFCs, T<sub>e</sub><sup>ped</sup> increases when n=3 field is applied

- Blue profiles: no n=3 applied
- Red profiles: 20 ms after n=3 applied (before ELMs)



- No density pumpout is observed
- T<sub>e</sub>, pressure gradient increases after n=3 field is applied
  - ~30% increase in peak pressure gradient from tanh fits
  - PEST shows edge unstable after n=3 application

## Flattening of n<sub>e</sub>/T<sub>e</sub> inside pedestal in response to perturbation observed with lithium coatings

Data combined from several shots, all before ELMs start Color code: Just before n=3, 30 ms after, ~50/65 ms after T<sub>e</sub>, n<sub>e</sub> show flattening from  $\psi_N$  ~0.8-0.9, similar gradient outside 0.9 Toroidal rotation reduced after n=3 field is applied, with a local minimum near  $\psi_N$ =0.9

Island formation inside pedestal?



# Magnetic ELM triggering has been applied to lithiumized ELM-free H-modes to control impurity accumulation

#### Typical behavior with Li wall conditioning ELMs suppressed

 $P_{rad}$  ramps to ~2 MW;  $P_{NBI}$  = 3 MW

# Square wave of n=3 fields applied to LITER discharge

Fast pulses used rather than DC fields to reduce rotation braking

4 ms pulses, f=10/30 Hz, amp. 2.2 kA

### ELMs can be triggered at will

Full control over ELM timing and frequency

Used here for discharge control, reducing  $n_e$  and  $P_{rad}$  ramp rate



### n=3 pulse waveform has been optimized to give reliable high frequency triggering with reduced rotation braking



 Maximizing pulse amplitude allows rapid triggering

 $\rightarrow$  Shorter pulses

- Opposite-sign trailing pulses added after each triggering pulse
  - Counteracts vessel eddy currents, reduces field inside vessel more quickly
  - $\rightarrow$  Reduced plasma braking
- ELM frequencies up to 62.5 Hz have been achieved
  - Avoids intermittent very large ELMs seen with unreliable triggering
  - Frequency partially limited by vessel penetration time

#### Pacing frequency varied to optimize for impact on impurities, ELM size



- Pacing has a positive impact on density/impurity evolution at all frequencies
  - n<sub>e</sub> is reduced, rise is slowed
  - High-Z impurities: radiated power reduced, held below ~25% of P<sub>NBI</sub>
  - Low-Z: total carbon content and Z<sub>eff</sub> reduced, time evolution controlled
- Impact on impurities considered at three times in discharge
  - t=0.4 s: beginning of pacing
  - t=0.75 s: during pacing
  - t=1.25 s: near end of discharge

#### Pacing frequency varied to optimize for impact on impurities, ELM size

- Low frequency pacing may be ideal for impurity control while minimal impact on energy confinement
  - Stored energy reduced with high frequency (10% reduction at 60 Hz)
  - P<sub>rad</sub> <1 MW for pacing >20 Hz
- ELM size is reduced at higher frequency pacing
  - Average ELM size reduced from  $\Delta W/W \sim 15\%$  at 10 Hz to  $\sim 5\%$  at 60 Hz
  - Mean size of largest 20% of ELMs reduced from ~20% to ~10%



# Combining ELM pacing with optimized fueling successful in producing quasi-stationary global parameters



- Fueling from a slow valve on the center stack was reduced, replaced with a puff with faster response
  - Allows fuelling to be turned off quickly following startup
- Applying n=3 pulses arrested the line-averaged density and total radiated power for 0.3 s
- Discharge performance was limited by n=1 rotating MHD

#### Although global parameters are stationary, profiles are still evolving



- Similar profile evolution observed in electron, carbon, and radiation densities
  - Edge density decreases in time during **ELM** pacing
  - Core value increases in time, rate is ٠ similar to case without pacing

- Core impurity control is needed
  - RF heating to mitigate central • accumulation is planned

### Summary

- Application of n=3 fields can destabilize ELMs
  - Without lithium, n=3 reduces rotation, increases pedestal electron pressure
    - Stability calculations show pedestal is near limits, more research needed to explore transition from stable to unstable
  - With lithium, pedestal shows flattening of  $n_e$ ,  $T_e$
- ELM triggering has been used for magnetic ELM pace-making in Lienhanced ELM-free H-modes
  - Li coatings suppress ELMs, improve confinement, but problems with impurity accumulation
  - ELMs are controllably introduced with n=3 fields, reducing density and radiated power
  - Optimization of triggering waveform allows high frequency pacing
    - High amplitude, short duration pulses with negative-going trailing pulses give reliable triggering with reduced rotation braking
    - Global parameters have been fully arrested, but not profiles
    - ELM size is reduced at high frequency



## Fast negative-going pulses can reduce the time-averaged magnetic field



Each triggering pulse is followed by a shorter pulse of the opposite sign Cancels eddy currents

Optimized to rapidly bring internal field to ~zero

Results in reduced time-averaged perturbation

-> less magnetic braking