



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ENERGY Science

Effects of MHD instabilities on Neutral Beam current drive

Coll of Wm & Mary Columbia U CompX **General Atomics** FIU INL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT Lehigh U **Nova Photonics Old Dominion** ORNL PPPL **Princeton U** Purdue U SNI Think Tank, Inc. UC Davis UC Irvine UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois** U Maryland **U** Rochester **U** Tennessee **U** Tulsa **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** X Science LLC

M. Podestà, M. Gorelenkova, D. S. Darrow, E. D. Fredrickson, S. P. Gerhardt, <u>W. W. Heidbrink</u>, R. B. White and the NSTX-U Research Team

> 25th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference St Petersburg, Russian Federation 13-18 October, 2014



Supported by U.S. DoE under contract DE-AC02-09CH11466

Culham Sci Ctr York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kyushu U Kvushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo IAEA Inst for Nucl Res. Kiev loffe Inst TRINITI Chonbuk Natl U NFRI KAIST POSTECH Seoul Natl U ASIPP CIEMAT FOM Inst DIFFER ENEA, Frascati CEA. Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP, Garching** ASCR, Czech Rep

Office of

Reliable, quantitative predictions of Energetic Particle (EP) dynamics are crucial for burning plasmas

• EPs from Neutral Beam (NB) injection, alphas, RF tails drive instabilities,

- e.g. Alfvénic modes - AEs

- With instabilities, 'classical' EP predictions (e.g. for NB heating, current drive) can fail
- > Predictive tools are being developed, validated for integrated modeling of these effects in present and future devices (ITER, Fusion Nuclear Science Facility – FNSF)



- NSTX discharges with strong MHD are used to test and validate EP transport models
- Modeling methods beyond 'classical' EP physics are developed to account for MHD effects
- New model captures MHD modifications of EP phase space leading to Neutral Beam current redistribution



Outline

- NSTX discharges with strong MHD are used to test and validate EP transport models
- Modeling methods beyond 'classical' EP physics are developed to account for MHD effects
- New model captures MHD modifications of EP phase space leading to Neutral Beam current redistribution



Alfvénic modes (AEs) and kink-like modes degrade fast ion confinement, plasma performance



- NSTX discharges with strong MHD are used to test and validate EP transport models
- Modeling methods beyond 'classical' EP physics are developed to account for MHD effects
- New model captures MHD modifications of EP phase space leading to Neutral Beam current redistribution



Transport code TRANSP includes NUBEAM module for classical fast ion physics

- Additionally, *ad-hoc* diffusivity *D_{fi}* is used to mimic enhanced fast ion transport
 - Assumed uniform in radius, pitch, energy in this work
- Metric to set D_{fi} : match neutron rate, W_{mhd}



However: instabilities introduce fundamental constraints on particle dynamics

From Hamiltonian formulation – single resonance:

$$\omega P_{\zeta} - nE = const. \implies \Delta P_{\zeta} / \Delta E = n/\omega$$

 $\omega = 2\pi f$, mode frequency *n*, toroidal mode number





However: instabilities introduce fundamental constraints on particle dynamics

From Hamiltonian formulation – single resonance:

 $\omega P_{\zeta} - nE = const. \implies \Delta P_{\zeta} / \Delta E = n/\omega$

 $\omega = 2\pi f$, mode frequency *n*, toroidal mode number



These effects are not accounted for by ad-hoc D_{fi}. A new method is needed to include them in integrated modeling.

Constants of motion (E,P_{ζ},μ) are the natural variables to describe wave-particle interaction



NSTX-U

Particle-following codes are used to extract distribution of 'kicks' ΔE , ΔP_{z} for each *bin* (E,P_z, μ)



🔘 NSTX-U

25th IAEA-FEC – MHD effects on NB current drive, M. Podestà (Oct. 17th, 2014)

New 'kick model' uses a *probability distribution function* for particle transport in (E,P_ζ,μ) space



🔘 NSTX-U

$p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\xi}|P_{\xi}, E, \mu)$ and a time-dependent 'mode amplitude scaling factor' enable multi-mode simulations

- Example: toroidal AEs (TAEs) and low-frequency kink
- $p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\xi} | P_{\xi}, E, \mu)$ from particlefollowing code ORBIT
- Each type of mode has separate $p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\zeta}), A_{mode}(t)$
- TAEs and kinks act on different portions of phase space
- Amplitude vs. time can differ, too
- Effects on EPs differ > TAEs: large ΔE , ΔP_{ζ} > kinks: small ΔE , large ΔP_{c}





$p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\xi}|P_{\xi}, E, \mu)$ and a time-dependent 'mode amplitude scaling factor' enable multi-mode simulations

- Example: toroidal AEs (TAEs) and low-frequency kink
- $p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\xi} | P_{\xi}, E, \mu)$ from particlefollowing code ORBIT
- Each type of mode has separate $p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\zeta}), A_{mode}(t)$
- TAEs and kinks act on different portions of phase space
- Amplitude vs. time can differ, too
- Effects on EPs differ
 > TAEs: large ΔE, ΔP_ζ
 > kinks: small ΔE, large ΔP_ζ



$p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\xi}|P_{\xi}, E, \mu)$ and a time-dependent 'mode amplitude scaling factor' enable multi-mode simulations

- Example: toroidal AEs (TAEs) and low-frequency kink
- $p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\xi} | P_{\xi}, E, \mu)$ from particlefollowing code ORBIT
- Each type of mode has separate $p(\Delta E, \Delta P_{\zeta}), A_{mode}(t)$
- TAEs and kinks act on different portions of phase space
- Amplitude vs. time can differ, too
- Effects on EPs differ > TAEs: large ΔE , ΔP_{r}
 - > kinks: small ΔE , large ΔP_{ζ}





Outline

- NSTX discharges with strong MHD are used to test and validate EP transport models
- Modeling methods beyond 'classical' EP physics are developed to account for MHD effects
- New model captures MHD modifications of EP phase space leading to Neutral Beam current redistribution



Two NSTX cases are analyzed in detail: TAE avalanche and avalanche + kink-like mode (multi-mode scenario)





Two NSTX cases are analyzed in detail: TAE avalanche and avalanche + kink-like mode (multi-mode scenario)





TAE avalanches cause an abrupt drop in fast ions and up to ~40% reduction in local NB-driven current density



- Results from 'kick model'
- Fast ions redistributed outward, lose energy
 - Consistent with constraints from resonant interaction: $\Delta P_{\zeta}/\Delta E = n/\omega$
- NB-driven current J_{nb} is also redistributed out
- J_{nb}(r) modification largely unpredicted by ad-hoc D_{fi} in this case

TAE avalanches cause an abrupt drop in fast ions and up to ~40% reduction in local NB-driven current density



- Results from 'kick model'
- Fast ions redistributed outward, lose energy
 - Consistent with constraints from resonant interaction:

 $\Delta P_{\zeta}/\Delta E = n/\omega$

• NB-driven current *J*_{nb} is also redistributed out

 J_{nb}(r) modification largely unpredicted by ad-hoc D_{fi} in this case

TAE avalanches cause an abrupt drop in fast ions and up to ~40% reduction in local NB-driven current density



- Results from 'kick model'
- Fast ions redistributed outward, lose energy
 - Consistent with constraints from resonant interaction:

 $\Delta P_{\zeta}/\Delta E = n/\omega$

- NB-driven current J_{nb} is also redistributed out
- J_{nb}(r) modification largely unpredicted by ad-hoc D_{fi} in this case

Two NSTX cases are analyzed in detail: TAE avalanche and avalanche + kink-like mode (multi-mode scenario)





Synergy between different classes of instabilities modifies MHD effects on $J_{nb}(r)$ – not captured by ad-hoc D_{fi}



Synergy between different classes of instabilities modifies MHD effects on $J_{nb}(r)$ – not captured by $ad-hoc D_{fi}$



Phase-space is *selectively* modified by instabilities: TAEs $-> \Delta P_{\zeta}/\Delta E = n/\omega$, kinks -> mostly ΔP_{ζ}



🔘 NSTX-U

25th IAEA-FEC – MHD effects on NB current drive, M. Podestà (Oct. 17th, 2014)

Simulated neutron rate agrees with experiments for both TAE avalanches & multi-mode cases



🔘 NSTX-U

25th IAEA-FEC – MHD effects on NB current drive, M. Podestà (Oct. 17th, 2014)

Summary

- NB-driven current profile can be strongly affected by MHD instabilities
 - Not all effects properly captured by classical EP physics
- A new model is implemented in TRANSP for EP simulations including phase-space details

- Validation within TRANSP framework is in progress

- New tools will improve scenario development on NSTX Upgrade & future devices
 - NB current drive optimization
 - NB-driven current profile control for high-q_{min} steady state operations