## Shielding and amplification of non-axisymmetric divertor heat flux by plasma response to applied 3-D fields in NSTX and KSTAR

J-W. Ahn<sup>1</sup>, K. Kim<sup>2</sup>, A.R. Briesemeister<sup>1</sup>, G. Canal<sup>3</sup>, J.M. Canik<sup>1</sup>, T.K. Gray<sup>1</sup>, Y. In<sup>4</sup>, Y.M. Jeon<sup>4</sup>, C.S. Kang<sup>4</sup>, J. Kim<sup>4</sup>, W.H. Ko<sup>4</sup>, H.H. Lee<sup>4</sup>, A. Loarte<sup>5</sup>, J.D. Lore<sup>1</sup>, R. Maingi<sup>6</sup>, A.G. McLean<sup>7</sup>, J.-K. Park<sup>6</sup>, R.A. Pitts<sup>5</sup>, O. Schmitz<sup>8</sup>, F. Scotti<sup>7</sup>, and S.W. Yoon<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA
<sup>2</sup>Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, Daejon, South Korea
<sup>3</sup>General Atomics, P.O. Box 85608, San Diego, CA 92186, USA
<sup>4</sup>National Fusion Research Institute, Daejon, South Korea
<sup>5</sup>ITER Organization, Cadarache, France
<sup>6</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA
<sup>7</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94551, USA
<sup>8</sup>University of Wisconsin – Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA

Email: jahn@pppl.gov

Non-axisymmetric divertor heat flux is one of the primary concerns with the application of 3-D fields for ELM control in ITER, as they will cause asymmetric erosion and re-deposition of divertor material. Not only in attached divertor conditions, it is also important when 1) the applied 3-D fields burn through detached divertor plasma or 2) heat flux at outer lobes in the far SOL increases by 3-D fields even though plasma in the near SOL remains detached, as seen in NSTX [1, 2]. Understanding of underlying physics processes that determine 3-D divertor footprints is therefore crucial for ITER's long pulse operation scenario in the presence of 3-D fields. It has been recently found that plasma response plays a key role in the formation of 3-D lobe structure and divertor footprints by the applied 3-D fields in NSTX (mid-plane coils only, up to n=3) and KSTAR (upper, middle, and lower row of coils, up to n=2).

Work in NSTX showed [3] that ideal plasma response from IPEC can significantly shield or amplify vacuum footprints from field line tracing. The spherical tokamak geometry of NSTX enables measurement of divertor footprints with almost full toroidal and radial coverage of lower divertor plates. Figure 1 shows footprints with n=1 magnetic perturbations in NSTX. Experimentally observed footprint by a wide angle visible camera is illustrated in



Figure 1 Divertor footprints in the presence of applied n=1 magnetic perturbations in NSTX. (a) and (b) are contour plot of connection lengths from field line tracing with and without ideal plasma response, respectively. Plot (c) is the experimentally observed footprint from a wide angle visible camera. Plot (d) shows the profile of connection length for the vacuum (blue) and ideal plasma response (red) case.

figure 1(c). The connection length  $(L_c)$ profile for the case of vacuum approximation (blue, figure 1(d))shows that L<sub>c</sub> rapidly decreases only at the very plasma edge ( $\Psi_N \sim 0.97$ ). This corresponds to the very weak vacuum footprint splitting shown in figure 1(b). However, ideal plasma response dramatically amplifies modeled splitting, see figure 1(a), and this produces a better agreement with the camera image demonstrated in figure 1(c). Accordingly, the L<sub>c</sub> profile begins decrease (figure 1(d)), to in a significantly deeper region,  $\Psi_N \sim 0.75$ , which is a consequence of strong amplification of applied n=1 fields. However, for the case of n=3 in NSTX, applied 3-D fields are primarily shielded by ideal plasma response; the shielding effect of resonant fields is greater than the amplification effect of non-resonant fields.

Shielding and amplification of applied 3-D fields has been also observed in KSTAR by ideal (IPEC) plasma response modeling. AC waveforms were used to produce time varying spectrum of 3-D fields that continuously changed alignment with equilibrium pitch. For n=2 perturbations, two distinctive phases were closely examined; resonant (90° phase) and non-resonant (0° phase) configurations. It was revealed that deep penetration of applied n=2 fields is inhibited by the shielding effect of resonant components even with kink excitation of non-resonant components in both phases. Figure 2 shows poloidal spectrum of



Figure 2 Poloidal spectrum of n=2 fields with 90° phasing in KSTAR. Plot (a) is for the vacuum case and (b) is for the ideal plasma response case from IPEC. Strong shielding of resonant fields and excitation of non-resonant fields are observed when the plasma response is taken into account. Plot (c) is radial profile of total perturbation, sum over m=[0, 20], for vacuum and IPEC, showing net shielding effect of applied fields by plasma response.

n=2fields  $90^{\circ}$ with phase in KSTAR. As in NSTX. non-resonant components of the applied n=2 fields are amplified due kink to excitation, see

figure 2(b), while resonant components, *i.e.* the field components along the white dashed line in figure 2(a), are strongly shielded. This shielding effect dominates over the amplification effect of non-resonant fields, producing the end result that the applied n=2 fields are significantly screened; see figure 2(c) for comparison of radial profile of total perturbation for the vacuum and IPEC case, which shows net screening of vacuum fields by plasma response. Radial location of lobes in the measured heat flux profile shows better agreement with that from field line tracing when plasma response is taken into account in the calculation. Observed heat flux splitting for  $0^{\circ}$  phase is stronger than  $90^{\circ}$ . This is consistent with that shielding effect should have been stronger for  $90^{\circ}$  due to higher toroidal rotation speed (V<sub>t</sub>) as has been observed by CES measurement. A full phase shift scan ( $\Delta \phi = 0 - 360^{\circ}$ ) was also conducted for n=1 perturbations in KSTAR using upper and middle row of coils. Measured heat flux splitting shows clear phase dependence, with increased splitting and peak heat flux  $(q_{peak})$  for more resonant phase (~90 – 180°). IPEC plasma response shows net shielding and amplification of applied n=1 fields, depending on phase shift. A general trend of net shielding for non-resonant  $\Delta \phi$ , with weaker strike point splitting, is observed and it moves toward amplification, with splitting becoming stronger, when  $\Delta \phi$  becomes more resonant.

In summary, the role of plasma response to the applied and intrinsic 3-D fields in setting non-axisymmetric divertor heat flux pattern with various coil configurations and plasma parameters has been studied with data from NSTX and KSTAR, also assisting the decision on ELM control coil options in ITER. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy, contract numbers DE-AC05-00OR22725 (ORNL), DE-AC02-09CH11466 (PPPL), DE-FC02-04ER54698 (GA), and DE-AC52-07NA27344 (LLNL), and DE-SC0013911 (UW).

## Reference

[1] Ahn J-W et al 2014 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 56 015005

[2] Lore J D et al International Stellerator and Heliotron Workshop, Greiswalt, October 2015

[3] Kim K et al 2015 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 57 104002