

Supported by



### Investigation of LLD Test Sample Performance Under High Heat Loads

College W&M **Colorado Sch Mines** Columbia U **CompX General Atomics** INEL Johns Hopkins U LANL LLNL Lodestar MIT **Nova Photonics** New York U **Old Dominion U** ORNL **PPPL** PSI **Princeton U** Purdue U SNL Think Tank. Inc. UC Davis **UC Irvine** UCLA UCSD **U** Colorado **U Illinois U** Marvland **U** Rochester **U** Washington **U Wisconsin** 

#### **Tyler Abrams**<sup>1</sup>

M. Jaworski<sup>1</sup>, J. Kallman<sup>1</sup>, R. Kaita<sup>1</sup>, E. Foley<sup>2</sup>, T. Gray<sup>3</sup>, H. Kugel<sup>1</sup>, F. Levinton<sup>2</sup>, C. Skinner<sup>1</sup> and the NSTX Research Team <sup>1</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory (PPPL) <sup>2</sup>Nova Photonics

<sup>2</sup>Nova Photonics <sup>3</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)

#### 2<sup>nd</sup> International Symposium on Lithium Applications April 28, 2011





Culham Sci Ctr **U St. Andrews** York U Chubu U Fukui U Hiroshima U Hyogo U Kyoto U Kvushu U Kyushu Tokai U NIFS Niigata U **U** Tokyo JAEA Hebrew U loffe Inst **RRC Kurchatov Inst** TRINITI **KBSI** KAIST POSTECH ASIPP ENEA. Frascati CEA, Cadarache IPP, Jülich **IPP**, Garching ASCR, Czech Rep **U** Quebec

Office of

Science

## Offline testing of porous Mo motivated by concerns over power handling capabilities of LLD

- In-situ heat load testing of LLD is difficult on NSTX
- Offline experiment provides greater flexibility and control
- Controlled heat load testing necessary to:
  - Determine extent of physical damage to a porous Mo surface
    - Verify that the "bare" LLD will not melt or suffer significant erosion
  - Quantify the effects of high heat flux on a Li-coated Mo substrate
    - Measure the magnitude of Li melting/sputtering
    - Determine the degree of Li interaction with Mo substrate
  - Examine the extent and effects of Li passivation on the LLD
    - Determine the power handling capabilities of this LiOH layer



#### LLD sample exposed to neutral beam on test stand to investigate effect of high heat load



Test chamber showing LLD sample (non-Li case)



False color image of "bare" LLD sample during neutral beam exposure

- Diagnostic neutral beam (DNB) strikes LLD sample from right
- Beam energy of ~30 keV, peak power density of > 1  $MW/m^2$
- LLD sample "plunged" in front of DNB for 1-5 s
  - First tests done with a bare sample. Subsequent tests used Li-coated sample.
- Front face temperature recorded by IR camera, TCs on back face

### Heat flux determined using 1-D analytic model

- Assume time-constant heat flux q<sub>s</sub>
- Using  $\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2}$  and  $q_s = -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=0}$  one obtains:  $T(x,t)\Big|_{x=0} = q_s \left[ \left( \frac{4\alpha_{Cu}t}{\pi k_{Cu}^2} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{\Delta x_{Mo}}{k_{Mo}} + \frac{\Delta x_{SS}}{k_{SS}} \right] + T_0$ Temperature at Center of Beam  $9 \frac{250}{200} \int_{100}^{250} \int_{10}^{250} \int_{100}^{250} \int$

$$\frac{1}{9} \int_{0}^{100} \int_{0}^{$$

4

### Observation of microscopic images of surface indicates no apparent damage to bare sample surface

#### No Beam Exposure

#### With Beam Exposure







ISLA 2011 - Investigation of LLD Test Sample Performance (Abrams)

### Gaussian beam profile motivates a search for spatially varying morphology of exposed sample

- Sample temperature profile fit to a Gaussian
- Assume heat flux proportional to temperature





#### Microscopic analysis indicates no apparent spatially varying morphology of exposed sample surface



1. Start with full-color images





- 1. Start with full-color images
- 2. Convert to grayscale





- 1. Start with full-color images
- 2. Convert to grayscale
- 3. Set pixel threshold (131 or 111) to convert to B&W



Threshold = 131

- 1. Start with full-color images
- 2. Convert to grayscale
- 3. Set black threshold (131 or 111) to convert to B&W



Threshold = 111



- 1. Start with full-color images
- 2. Convert to grayscale
- 3. Set pixel threshold (131 or 111) to convert to B&W
- 4. Calculate average area of black regions





### Microscopic spatially-varying sample damage evident using image processing software





#### **Experiment repeated with a Li-coated LLD sample**

- ~150 mg (150 µm) of Li loaded on to a new LLD sample that had not been exposed to the beam
- LiOH layer developed on sample despite storage in Argon and vacuum
- Heaters added to sample
- Beam exposures were performed with initial sample temperature of 50°C to 300°C



New LLD sample coated with a fresh layer of Li



## Analysis of images "by eye" indicates no large-scale modifications to lithiated sample surface





False color image of Li-coated sample exposure

Photograph of post-exposure Li-coated sample surface

• No beam "imprint" observed on sample surface

LiOH layer did not melt at 300 °C

• Note: boxed region covered with SS shim stock

### Summary/Conclusions

- 1. Bare LLD surface does not melt or suffer significant erosion
  - No macroscopic damage apparent on visual inspection.
  - Only microscopic changes in surface morphology appear
  - LLD design withstands NSTX divertor-level heat fluxes
- 2. Mo layer exhibits large reduction (~20) to thermal conductivity
  - Suggests poor thermal contact between Mo and SS layers
- 3. LiOH layer forms on LLD surface, even in Argon/vacuum
  - Varying emissivity of LiOH may be an issue (in-situ calibration)
- 4. LiOH layer is unaffected by high heat loads
  - LiOH has a melting point of 462 °C, and thus did not melt
  - Consistent with the LLD results on NSTX

### **Future Work**

- Microscopic analysis of Li-coated sample using image processing software
  - Examine morphology of LiOH surface
    - Microscopic spatial variation in surface morphology is expected due to bombardment by the neutral beam
  - Clean the LLD sample and examine the surface morphology of the Mo substrate
    - No change in morphology expected due to protection by Li layer
- 3-D Thermal Modeling (M. Jaworski)
- Possible collaboration with UIUC on surface modification by sputtering (VFTRIM)



#### Acknowledgements

- Equipment:
  - Nova Photonics (E. Foley, F. Levinton) for use of DNB
  - Oak Ridge (T.K. Gray, R. Maingi) for use of IR camera
  - C. Skinner for use of optical microscope
  - LTX for various flanges and feed-throughs
- M.A. Jaworski, J. Kallman, R. Kaita (advisor) and the rest of the LLD team for constant advice/feedback
- Supported by US DOE contracts DE-AC02-09CH11466 and DE-FG02-01ER54616, and partially performed under appointment to the Fusion Energy Sciences Fellowship Program administered by Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education.



#### **Diagram of Experimental Setup**



### **Copper Sample can be used as Calorimeter**



- Temperature change varies linearly with exposure time
- Corresponds to peak heat flux of:
  - 1.13 ± 0.03 MW/m<sup>2</sup> (blue), 1.22 ± 0.07 MW/m<sup>2</sup> (red)

### **IR Data can also be fit numerically**

- Again in 1D, but variable q
- Finite difference the diffusion equation:

$$\frac{T_{j}^{n+1} - T_{j}^{n}}{\Delta t} = \alpha \left[ \frac{T_{j+1}^{n} - 2T_{j}^{n} + T_{j-1}^{n}}{(\Delta x)^{2}} \right]$$

• Boundary Conditions:

$$q^n = -k \frac{T_1^n - T_0^n}{\Delta x} \qquad T_J^n = T_{J-1}^n$$

•  $T_1^n, T_j^0$  known for all n,j respectively. Solve for q:

$$q^{n} = \frac{k}{\Delta x} \left\{ \left[ \frac{T_{1}^{n+1} - T_{1}^{n}}{\Delta t} \right] \frac{\left(\Delta x\right)^{2}}{\alpha} - T_{2}^{n} + T_{1}^{n} \right\}$$



### **IR Data can also be fit numerically**

- $T_1^n, T_j^0$  known for all n,j respectively. For each n:
  - 1. Calculate temperatures other than at boundaries
  - 2. Determine the heat flux
  - 3. Find temperatures at boundaries using BCs

