### Simulations of NSTX with a Liquid Lithium Divertor Module\*

D. P. Stotler, R. Maingi<sup>1</sup>, H. W. Kugel, A. Yu. Pigarov<sup>2</sup>, T. D. Rognlien<sup>3</sup>, and V. A. Soukhanovskii<sup>3</sup>

> Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Princeton University Princeton NJ 08543

<sup>1</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN <sup>2</sup>University of California at San Diego, San Diego, CA <sup>3</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA





*Poster presented at the 2008 Plasma Surface Interactions Meeting, Toledo, Spain* \*This work supported by US DOE contracts/grants DE-AC02-76CH03073, DE-AC05-00OR22725, DE-FG02-04ER54739, and W-7405-ENG-48.

## **NSTX Investigating Use of Lithium as a Plasma Facing Material**

- Primarily for density control,
- And improved plasma performance.
- NSTX lithium program proceeding in stages:
  - Li pellets (FY 2005 2009)
  - Li evaporator (FY 2006 2009)
  - Liquid lithium divertor (FY 2009 2012)
  - In parallel, LTX will examine efficacy of Li as the primary PFC.

## Developing a Liquid Lithium Divertor (LLD) to Provide More Pumping & Better Density Control

ODNSTX

- Evaporated Li in NSTX has yielded positive results,
  - 2006 & 2007: L-mode density reduced 50%
    & H-mode density reduced 15%,
  - 2008: Improved  $\tau_E > 100$  ms and ELM control; 1.8 s pulse length.
- But, density still increases monotonically during shot.
- $\Rightarrow$  Taking next step towards more aggressive use of Li as PFC.

# The Liquid Lithium Divertor Is a Joint Collaboration Between Sandia, UCSD, and NSTX

 The LLD collaboration is the result of a DoE funded proposal led by Sandia National Laboratory (R. Nygren, PI).

• The basic concept is of a toroidally extended lithium containing tray that would serve as a target for the outer strike point or divertor.

Plan: SS bonded to thick Cu & sprayed with Mo. Evaporate ~ 1
 mm Li on top.

- Alternative substrate: CV deposited Mo on carbon mesh.



# Goal of LLD is to Provide Density Control in Both Low & High Triangularity Shapes



- For low triangularity, goal is 50% reduction in n<sub>e</sub>.
- For high triangularity, 25%.
- Amount of pumping & density control will depend on distance of strike point from tray.

### **UEDGE is a 2-D Edge Plasma Transport Code**

- Mesh based on experimental equilibrium with one coordinate following flux surfaces.
- Second coordinate orthogonal except near divertor surfaces.
- Solve equations for  $n_i$ ,  $T_e$ ,  $T_i$ ,  $u_{\parallel}$ ,  $\phi$ ,
  - Classical transport along field lines + flux limits,
  - Anomalous transport across flux surfaces  $\Rightarrow$  *blobs*.
  - Navier-Stokes equation for D atoms.
  - Not solving  $\phi$  equation and ignoring  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B} \& \nabla B$  drifts here.

#### **Set Transport Coefficients to Match Midplane Profiles**

 $\bigcirc NSTX$ 

- Thomson scattering:  $n_e = 4.3 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$ , and  $T_e = 130 \text{ eV}$  at core boundary,
  - No CHERS data here, but  $T_i \simeq T_e 15$  eV at smaller radii.
- Adjust  $D, \chi_e, v$  to match midplane profiles,
  - Specify each at core, separatrix, and outer wall.
  - Linearly interpolate on radial mesh index,
  - Constant on flux surface.

 $-\chi_i = \chi_e.$ 

### **And to Match Input Power**

- Power flowing in from core:
  - $P_{\text{NBI}} = 1 \text{ MW} 15\%$  beam ion loss,
  - $P_{OH} \simeq 1$  MW,
  - $P_{rad} < 0.1$  MW,
  - $\Rightarrow P_{\text{in}} = 1.7 1.8$  MW.
- Particle input:
  - Lump external fueling into core particle source,
  - Require magnitude consistent with center stack gas puff (400 A) + NBI (18 A).

## UEDGE Simulation Based on Shot 128339 @ 0.35 s



# Use Midplane Profiles to Set Transport Coefficients



•  $\chi(\psi) = 1.5 \rightarrow 25 \rightarrow 35 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}.$ 

current to core: 142 A.

# Divertor Profiles Reasonable with Nominal Pumping



- $\mathcal{A} = \mathsf{D}$  "albedo"
- Improving  $D_{\alpha}$  agreement requires much more complex approach.

### **Scan of Recycling Coeffiecients**

- Theoretical lower limit = 0.1 0.3,
  - Actual values higher due to variations in coatings & surface contamination.

 $\bigcirc NSTX$ 

- Don't know *a priori*  $\Rightarrow$  do scan.
- First change  $\psi_n = 0.85$  boundary condition from specified n & T to specified particle flux & power,
  - Fix these & transport model as recycling varied.
- Introduce LLD as reduction in  $\mathcal{R} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{od} = \mathcal{A}_{od}$ ,
  - Lower limit:  $\mathcal{R} = 0.65$  set by ability of UEDGE to converge.

## Scan of Recycling Coefficients will Feed into Future Work



- Will compare core density with 0-D particle balance calculations.
- Peak divertor n<sub>e</sub> & T<sub>e</sub> impact lithium transport.
- Total current drops 40 x,
  - Compare with 3 x drop in  $D_{\alpha}$  in CDX-U  $\Rightarrow$  Difficult to approach theoretical minimum recycling in practice.

#### Calculate Li Temperature Rise Using Heat Conduction Calculation

• Surface temperature rise in semi-infinite solid:

 $\Delta T = (2F/K)\sqrt{\kappa t/\pi}$ 

- LLD: Cu base with thin stainless steel barrier,
  - Film of Mo sprayed on top is Li substrate.
- $\Rightarrow$  LLD properties fall between those of Cu & Li.
- Calculate  $\Delta T(t)$  using divertor heat flux at given  $\mathcal{R}$ .
- Initially, Li molten between 200 & 250 °C,
- Take upper limit = 430 °C  $\Rightarrow \Delta T < 200$  °C,
  - $\Rightarrow$  allowable pulse length for each input power, substrate,  $\mathcal{R}$ .

# Li Temperature Limit Could Be Reached at Maximum Input Power



- $\Delta T$  shown for  $\mathcal{R}$  = 0.65 case.
- Baseline has 1.8 MW input,
- Consider also 7.2 MW,
  - Scale heat flux x 4.

- 1.8 MW Cu case not shown, all > 5 s.
- Present 2 s discharges OK for 1.8 MW Li.

- But, pulse length restricted at 7
  - Especially if Li coating thick.



- Were utilized in selecting LLD radius & width.
- Use UEDGE profiles & thermal analysis to compute reflection, sputtering, evaporation of lithium,
  - Surface models based on coupled REDEP/WBC, TRIM-SP, & MD simulations.
- Self-consistent erosion / redeposition simulation
   ⇒ net flow of Li away from surface,
  - Feed flux back to UEDGE  $\Rightarrow$  Li distribution in core & SOL.