Kinetic Effects on MHD Modes in NSTX

C. Z. (Frank) Cheng, N. N. Gorelenkov, G. Kramer, E. Fredrickson

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Princeton University

Presented at STW2004, Kyoto, Japan



- Motivation:
- MHD Model: Advantage and Limitation
- Characteristic Scales in NSTX Plasmas
- Kinetic Stabilization of Ballooning Modes
- Destabilization of TAEs by Energetic Particles
- Kinetic-Fluid Model
- Summary

Motivation

- Disparate scales in plasmas: traditionally global-scale phenomena are studied using MHD or multi-fluid models, while small-scale phenomena are described by kinetic theories.
- Multiscale coupling: small-scale particle kinetic physics couples with large-scale MHD phenomena.
- Energetic particle physics: global instabilities (TAE and fishbone modes) are driven by fast ions via wave-particle interaction and can cause serious fast ion loss in toroidal plasmas.
- Kinetic-MHD model and simulation codes: through joint theoryexperimental studies, understanding of energetic particle physics phenomena in major tokamak experiments has improved.
- Thermal particle kinetic physics: thermal particle kinetic effects are as important as fast ions in determining global phenomena in burning plasmas e.g., kinetic ballooning modes, TAEs,
- Need to include kinetic effects of both fast and thermal particles in studying MHD phenomena in NSTX.

Global Phenomena: MHD Model

• Momentum Equation:

 $\rho \left[\partial / \partial t + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \right] \mathbf{V} = -\nabla \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$

• Continuity Equation: $[\partial/\partial t + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla] \rho + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0$

• Maxwell's Equations: $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial \mathbf{t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \ \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$

- Ohm's Law: $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = \eta \mathbf{J}$
- Adiabatic Pressure Law: $[\partial/\partial t + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla] (P/\rho^{5/3}) = 0$
- important to understand advantages and limitations of MHD model.

Advantages of MHD Model

- Retains properly global geometrical effects such as pressure gradient, magnetic field gradient & curvature.
- Covers most long wavelength, low-frequency EM waves and instabilities:
 - -- Fast Magnetosonic branch ($\omega \simeq kV_A$): compressional Alfven waves (CAEs), mirror modes, etc.
 - -- Shear Alfven branch ($\omega = k_{\parallel}V_A$): shear Alfven waves (TAEs, GAEs), ballooning modes, kink modes, tearing modes, etc.
 - -- slow magnetosonic modes ($\omega = k_{\parallel}C_s$)
- Simpler to perform theoretical analysis and simulations than gyrokinetic/Vlasov models.

Limitations of MHD Model

- Ohm's law: for small resistivity, plasma fluid is almost frozen in **B** and moves perpendicularly with **E**×**B** velocity, and parallel electric field is negligible except in resistive boundary layer.
- Pressure law: pressure changes adiabatically via $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ convection and plasma compression.
- Gyroviscosity are ignored.

No particle kinetic physics!

Characteristic Scales in NSTX Plasmas

 Characteristic scales of particle dynamics and low-frequency (ω, k) perturbations:

For B = 1 T, T_{e,i} ~ 1 keV, \mathcal{E}_{h} ~ 100 keV, L_B, L_p ~ 0.5 m, then we have $\rho_{i} \simeq 0.3$ cm, $v_{i} \simeq 10^{8}$ cm/s, $\omega_{ci} \simeq 10^{8}$ sec⁻¹, $\omega_{bi} \simeq 10^{6}$ sec⁻¹, $\omega_{di} \simeq 10^{5}$ sec⁻¹

- -- temporal scale ordering:
 - $\omega_{ci} \sim \omega_{be} \geq \omega_{bh} > \omega_{bi} \sim \omega_{*i,e} \geq \omega_{di,e}$
- -- spatial scale ordering:

 $\Delta_{\rm bh}~>~\Delta_{\rm bi}~>~\rho_{\rm h}~>~\rho_{\rm i}~\sim~c/\omega_{\rm pi}>~\rho_{\rm e}$

• To describe low-frequency (ω, \mathbf{k}) phenomena, MHD model is a good approximation only if (a) $\omega_{ci} >> \omega >> \omega_t, \omega_b, \omega_d$ and (b) $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} \rho_i << 1$ are satisfied for all particle species that have significant contributions in density or momentum or pressure.

Kinetic Effects on Ballooning Modes

- Consider finite δE_{\parallel} due to kinetic effects of finite ion gyroradii and trapped electron dynamics.
- A finite δE_{\parallel} enhances δJ_{\parallel} which provides a strong stabilizing field line bending effect.
- Particle kinetic effects increase (decrease) the first (second) critical β_C for ballooning instability over the MHD prediction.

Kinetic Ballooning Mode Equations

Consider finite dE_{\parallel} due to kinetic effects of finite ion gyroradii and trapped electron dynamics, and the approximate KBM equation is

$$\vec{B} \bullet \nabla \left(\frac{k_{\perp}^2}{B^2} \vec{B} \bullet \nabla S_c \Phi\right) + \omega(\omega - \omega_{*pi}) \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{V_A^2} \Phi + \beta \left(\frac{\vec{B} \times \vec{\kappa} \bullet \vec{k}}{B}\right) \left(\frac{\vec{B} \times \nabla P \bullet \vec{k}}{BP}\right) \Phi \approx 0$$

$$\begin{split} S_{c} &\equiv 1 - \Psi / \Phi \approx 1 + \frac{n_{e}}{n_{eu}} \Bigg[\frac{\beta_{e}}{2} \Bigg(\frac{\omega_{*pi} - \omega_{*pe}}{\omega} \Bigg)^{2} - \frac{q_{i}T_{e}}{q_{e}T_{i}} \Bigg(\frac{\omega - \omega_{*pi}}{\omega - \omega_{*e}} \Bigg) b_{i} \\ &- \frac{3}{2} \Bigg(\frac{\omega - \omega_{*pe}}{\omega - \omega_{*e}} \Bigg) \frac{\langle \hat{\omega}_{de} \rangle}{\omega} + \Bigg(\frac{\omega - \omega_{*pi}}{\omega - \omega_{*e}} \Bigg) \frac{\hat{\omega}_{Be} + \hat{\omega}_{\kappa e}}{2\omega} \Bigg] \end{split}$$

$$\delta E_{\parallel} = -\nabla_{\parallel} \Psi, \quad \delta J_{\parallel} = \frac{i}{\omega} \nabla_{\perp}^2 \nabla_{\parallel} (\Phi - \Psi), \quad b_i = \frac{k_{\perp}^2 T_i}{m_i \omega_{ci}^2}$$

<u>Stabilizing Kinetic Effects on Ballooning Mode</u> $(V_e > \omega / k_{\parallel} > V_i)$

- For a given electric field perturbation electrons move across **B** differently from ions due to finite ion gyroradius effect and charge separation is created.
- Ions move much slower than the wave phase velocity along **B** and is essentially quasi-static.
- Electrons move much faster than the wave phase velocity along **B** and will play the role of keeping charge quasi-neutral.
- Trapped electrons do not contribute much to charge redistribution due to fast bounce motion.
- Untrapped electrons play the dominant role of maintaining charge quasi-neutrality.
- Untrapped electron density is much smaller than trapped electron's and thus an enhanced parallel electric field is created to move the untrapped electrons to maintain charge quasi-neutrality.
- Enhanced parallel electric field produces enhanced parallel current and thus enhanced field line tension, which stabilizes ballooning modes.



Local dispersion relation

$$\frac{\omega(\omega - \omega_{*_{pi}})}{V_A^2} + \beta \left(\frac{\vec{B} \times \vec{\kappa} \bullet \vec{k}_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}B}\right) \left(\frac{\vec{B} \times \nabla P \bullet \vec{k}_{\perp}}{k_{\perp}BP}\right) \approx S_c k_{\parallel}^2$$

At marginal stability $\omega\simeq\omega_{*_{pi}}$ and critical β is given by

$$\beta_c \approx S_c \beta_c^{MHD} - \frac{\omega(\omega - \omega_{*pi}) R_c L_p}{V_A^2}$$

For low aspect ratio toroidal plasmas

$$\frac{n_{eu}}{n_e} \approx \frac{(R-r)}{2(R+r)} \ll 1$$

For $R/r = 1.5$, $\frac{n_{eu}}{n_e} \approx 0.1$, $S_c \gg 1$ and $\beta_c \gg \beta_c^{MHD}$

Trapped Electron Stabilization of KBMs in Large Aspect Ratio Tokamaks



Small Aspect RatioTorus: NSTX



R/a = 1.27, a = 0.68 m, κ = 1.63, δ = 0.417, T_e = T_i = 1 keV, < β > = 9%, q_{min} = 0.93 at r/a = 0.3

KBM Stability in NSTX ($\eta_i = \eta_e = 0$)



Stability of KBMs in NSTX



Prediction of TAEs Based on MHD Model

• TAEs are discrete toroidal Alfven eigenmodes due to nonuniform q-profile and nonuniform magnetic field intensity along **B**.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_A}\right)^2 (1 - 2\epsilon \cos \theta) - \frac{s^2}{\left(1 + s^2 \theta^2\right)^2} \end{bmatrix} \Phi = 0$$

$$\varepsilon = r/R , \quad s = rq'/q , \quad \omega_A = V_A/qR$$

- Coupling between neighboring poloidal harmonics produces Alfven continuous spectrum gap bounded by $\omega_{\pm}^2 \simeq (1 \pm \epsilon) \omega_A^2/4$
- Magnetic shear allows discrete TAEs with frequencies in the gap: $\omega^2 \rightarrow \omega_{-}^2 \text{ as } s \rightarrow 0; \quad \omega^2 \rightarrow \omega_{+}^2 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty$
- TAEs exist because the periodicity in the wave potential is broken by magnetic shear – similar to discrete energy states in a periodic lattice due to periodicity breaking by impurity in solid state physics.

NOVA: Alfvén Continuum (n = 3) and TAEs in NSTX



- Large continuum gaps due to low aspect ratio
- Many TAEs with different n's
- TAEs can be driven unstable by fast ions if $nq(V_h/V_A) \le rL_h/R\rho_h$

Kinetic-MHD Model: Energetic Particle Physics

- Two-component plasma: core and hot components with $n_h \ll n_c$, $n \simeq n_c$, $P_c \sim P_h$
- Core plasmas are treated as MHD-fluid
- Hot particles are governed by kinetic models such as gyrokinetic equations or full Vlasov equations
- Coupling between core plasmas and hot particles is via pressure (or current) term in momentum equation
- No parallel electric field

Kinetic-MHD Model

- Momentum Equation: $\rho \left[\partial/\partial t + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla \right] \mathbf{V} = -\nabla \mathbf{P}_{c} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{h} + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$
- Continuity Equation: $[\partial/\partial t + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla] \rho + \rho \nabla \cdot \mathbf{V} = 0$
- Maxwell's Equations: $\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial \mathbf{t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \ \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$
- Ohm's Law: $\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{B} = 0$, $\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$
- Adiabatic Pressure Law: $[\partial/\partial t + \mathbf{V} \cdot \nabla] (P_c/\rho^{5/3}) = 0$
- Hot Particle Pressure Tensor:

 $\mathbf{P}_{h} = \{m_{h}/2\} \int d^{3}v \ \mathbf{vv} \ f_{h}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{v})$

where f_h is governed by gyrokinetic or Vlasov equation.

PPPL Kinetic-MHD Codes

- Linear Stability Codes
 - -- NOVA-K: global TAE stability code with perturbative treatment of thermal particle and fast ion kinetic physics
 - -- NOVA-2: global kinetic-MHD code with non-perturbative treatment of fast ion kinetic effects
 - -- HINST: high-n kinetic-MHD code with non-perturbative treatment of fast ion kinetic effects
- Nonlinear Simulation Codes
 - -- M3D-K: global kinetic-MHD code including fast ion kinetic physics which is determined by gyrokinetic equation.
 - -- HYM-1: global kinetic-MHD code with fast ion kinetic physics determined by full Vlasov equation of motion.
 - -- HYM-2: global hybrid code with ions treated by full equation of motion and electrons treated as massless fluid.
- Through joint theory-experiment efforts, we have gained understanding of energetic particle physics phenomena in major tokamak experiments.

Fast ions excite large amplitude bursting TAEs, which cause fast ion loss in NSTX

- Fast neutron drops correlated with H-alpha bursts; fast ions hitting wall?
- Small impact on soft x-ray emission.



Bursting TAEs in NSTX NBI Experiments



- NSTX shot with B = 0.434T, R = 87 cm, a = 63 cm, $P_{NB} = 3.2$ MW.
- Single dominant mode being n=2 or 3, mode amplitude modulation represents "beating" of multiple modes.
- Bursting TAEs lead to neutron drop and cause 5 10% fast ion loss.

NOVA-K Study of TAEs in NSTX

NSTX Results

NOVA-K Results



Plasma rotation is significant in determining TAE frequencies.

Plasma Rotation in NSTX



Integrated Modeling of Burning Plasmas

 α interaction with thermal plasmas is a strongly nonlinear process.



Must develop efficient methods to control profiles for burn control! Need nonlinear kinetic-fluid simulation codes!

Limitations of Kinetic-MHD Model

- Negligible fast particle density
- $E_{\parallel} = 0$
- No thermal particle kinetic effects (except in the perturbative version of linear NOVA-K code)

Need a hybrid kinetic-fluid model that treats kinetic physics of both thermal and fast particles and retains single-fluid frame work to model burning plasma dynamics.

Thermal Particle Kinetic Effects

- Finite ion Larmor radius ($k_{\perp} \rho_i \sim O(1)$)
- Finite banana orbit width ($\Delta_b \sim k_{\perp}^{-1}$)
- Trapped particles
- Wave-particle resonances
- →
 - finite parallel electric field $E_{\parallel} \neq 0$
 - wave damping or drive
 - Kinetic Alfven waves, KTAEs
 - Radiation damping of TAEs and KTAEs
 - stochastic ion heating by large amplitude Alfven waves
 - boundary layer physics in kink and tearing modes
 - current layer physics in magnetic reconnection
 - stabilization of ballooning mode, kink modes

Kinetic-Fluid Model

- High- β multi-ion species plasmas
- Ordering: $\omega < \omega_{ci}, k_{\perp}\rho_i \sim O(1)$
- No ordering on n_h , n_c , P_c , P_h
- Single-fluid equations consisting of mass density and momentum equations, and generalized Ohm's law
- Closure of single-fluid equations by determining pressure tensor (including gyroviscosity) from particle distributions
- Particle dynamics governed by kinetic models such as gyrokinetic equations or full Vlasov equations
- Finite parallel electric field

[Cheng & Johnson, JGR, 1999]

Summary

- Kinetic effects are significant for MHD modes, e.g.,
 - -- kinetic stabilization of ballooning modes by trapped electron dynamics and ion FLR
 - -- destabilization of TAEs by fast ions
- Kinetic-MHD codes (linear codes: NOVA-K, NOVA-2, HINST; nonlinear codes: M3D-K, HYM) have been developed to study fast ion physics.
- A low frequency ($\omega < \omega_{ci}$) nonlinear kinetic-fluid model has been developed to include coupling between global modes and kinetic physics of both thermal and fast particles.
- Physics of wave-particle interaction and global geometrical effects are properly included in the kinetic-fluid model.
- Extension of kinetic-MHD codes to include thermal particle kinetic effects will be developed based on kinetic-fluid model.