# Neural-network models for pedestal & transport, and their possible inclusion in TRANSP

O. Meneghini $^1$ , T. Luda $^2$ , A. Tema<sup>1</sup>, S.P. Smith<sup>1</sup>, P.B. Snyder<sup>1</sup>, G. Staebler<sup>1</sup>, J. Candy<sup>1</sup>, J.M. Park<sup>4</sup>, B. Grierson<sup>5</sup>, D.L. Green<sup>4</sup>, W. Elwasif<sup>4</sup>, J. Kinsey<sup>3</sup>, F.Poli<sup>5</sup>,  $T.$  Luce<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> General Atomics, San Diego, CA <sup>2</sup>Politecnico di Torino, ITA <sup>3</sup>CompX, CA <sup>4</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN <sup>5</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, NJ

Presented at the 2017 TRANSP Users group Meeting Princeton NJ 4-5 May 2017







#### First principles iterative workflow robustly finds the self-consistent steady-state coupled solution



Iterate to convergence:

- *•* EPED1 provides pedestal boundary condition
	- Find highest pedestal based on PB and KBM stability conditions
- **TGYRO** is a flux-driven transport code
	- Given geometry, sources and sinks efficiently finds stationary profiles solution for density, temperature and momentum

**GENERAL ATOMICS** 

#### Computationally expensive:

*•* Requires access to HPC and takes of order 1 day per simulation!

# Neural network models accelerate the most time consuming aspects of core-pedestal simulation

Iterations nesting:

- **1** tight coupling in TGYRO: flux matching & pedestal
- 2 loose coupling in **OMFIT:** sources & equilibrium

TGYRO simulations with coupled core-pedestal NN models run in few seconds



*GENERAL ATOMICS* 

# NN captures both H-mode and Super H-mode pedestal roots of EPED1 model



The two sets of outputs are set to be equal when there is only one pedestal root



#### EPED1-NN model closely reproduces EPED1 predictions Trained across input parameter range of multiple devices

Pedestal height  $p_{\text{ped}}$  [kPa] 2.0 24  $log_{10}$ Built database of  $EPEDI - NN$  $\begin{array}{r} 10^2 \\ \begin{array}{c} 10 \\ \end{array} \\ 10^1 \end{array}$  $10^2$ 18  $\sim$ 20,000 EPED1 runs  $1.5$ (2 million CPU hours) 12  $1.0$ **DIII-D:** 3,000 runs 6  $R^2 = 0.987$  $0.5$  $10<sup>0</sup>$ 0  $0.5$  $0.00$  $0.25$  $0.25$ Pedestal width  $w_{\text{ped}}$  [ $\Delta\% \psi$ ] **ITER: 15,000 runs**  $log_{10}$  $EPL1 - N$ <br>  $0.6$ <br>  $0.4$ CFETR: 1,200 runs 40  $10^2$  $\begin{bmatrix} 10^2 & \text{m} \\ \text{m} & \text{m} \\ 10^1 & \text{m} \end{bmatrix}$ 30 20 10  $R^2\,$ = 0. 964  $10<sup>0</sup>$  $\frac{0}{-0.25}$  $0.4$  $0.6$  $0.8$  $0.00$  $0.25$ EPED1 **Relative Error IENERAL ATOMICS** 

5 O. Meneghini - 2017 ITPA TC @ PPPL

 $\times 10^9$  speedup

KSTAR: 700 runs

**JET: 200 runs** 

# Leveraged OMFIT framework for experimental data access, spawn of simulations, database handling, and NN training



Infrastructure shared with other projects require handling databases

**GENERAL ATOMICS** 

#### TGLF-NN neural network topology is more complex



23 dimensionless input parameters (for D,C plasma) to predict gyro-Bohm fluxes  $Q_e$ ,  $Q_i$ ,  $\Gamma_e$ ,  $\Pi_i$ 

*r*/a **normalized minor radius**<br>*R*/*a* Normalized major radius *R/a* Normalized major radius  $\kappa$  Elongation @*<sup>r</sup>* Elongation shear Triangularity @*<sup>r</sup>* Shafranov shift  $q^2$   $\partial q$  Safety factor  $\partial q$ Safety factor shear  $\beta_e$  Kinetic to magnetic pressure ratio<br>  $\nu_{ie}/ac_s$  Collision frequency  $v_{ie}/ac_s$  Collision frequency<br>  $T_i/T_e$  lon to electron tem  $T_i/T_e$  Ion to electron temperature ratio  $n_D/n_e$  Deuterium to electron density rational  $n_D/n_e$  Deuterium to electron density ratio<br>  $n_C/n_e$  Carbon to electron density ratio  $n_C/n_e$  Carbon to electron density ratio  $Z_{\text{eff}}$  Effective ion charge *Zeffective ion charge* 

 $a/L_{\eta}$ <sup>o</sup>  $\overline{rB_{\rm{unit}}^2}$ @*p*  $sign(I_p)$ *R* $\omega_{tor}$  $\frac{a}{c_s}$  $-sign(I_D)R$  $\frac{\omega_{\rm tor}}{\partial r}\frac{a}{c_{\rm s}}$  $-\operatorname{sign}(I_{p})\frac{r}{q}$  $\partial$  $V_{E\times B}$ *R*  $\frac{R}{\partial r}$   $\frac{a}{c_{\rm s}}$ 

*a/L<sub>Te</sub>* Electron temperature scale length  $a/L_{Ti}$  electron temperature scale length  $a/L_{Ti}$  Ion temperature scale length<br> $a/L_{Ti}$  Ion temperature scale length<br>Electron density scale length *a/Lne* Electron density scale length<br> *a/L<sub>n</sub> D* Deuterium density scale length **Deuterium density scale length Carbon density scale length** 

Total pressure gradient

Parallel velocity

Parallel velocity gradient

 $E \times B$  velocity shear

**GENERAL ATOMICS** 

 $r \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial r}$ 

 $\frac{\partial R}{\partial r}$ 

*q*2*a*2  $\overline{r^2}$ 

# Trained on 32,000 TGLF runs based on 24 DIII-D discharges probing ion energy transport (power and torque scans)

Raw TGLF fluxes are in qualitative good agreement with experimental power/particle/momentum balance fluxes



### TGLF-NN model closely reproduces TGLF predictions



# TGLF-NN regularization smooths out discontinuities in the original TGLF solution

Smoothness of fluxes affects convergence of transport solvers



#### Effort towards enabling routine/streamlined DIII-D corepedestal simulations capabilities (predict-first initiative)



#### TGYRO simulations with EPED1-NN and TGLF-NN allow routine stationary core-pedestal predictive simulations

Coupled core-pedestal predictions show relatively good agreement with the experiment



# Spot-check with full EPED1 simulations shows that NN reproduces original model with high degree of accuracy

EPED1-NN calculation allows routine (indirect) validation of EPED model with experiment



# We have established a pipeline for the development of a fidelity hierarchy of GA pedestal and transport models



# EPED1-NN with TOQ profiles routine to generate pressure and density profiles consistent with full EPED1 model



TOQ profiles routine

*•* Translates pedestal width/height predictions to full density and pressure profiles like the ones that are used in the full EPED1 model

Both EPED1-NN and TGLF-NN have APIs for Python, FORTRAN, C to support:

- *•* OMFIT
- *•* Transport codes
- *•* Control systems

**GENERAL ATOMICS** 

# TGLF-NN can be easily used in codes that already use TGLF



Being part of TGLF facilitates

- *•* Integration
- *•* Validation & Verification

Start using TGLF-NN is easy:

- **1** Update TGLF to latest version
- 2 Build (with link to FANN library)
- <sup>3</sup> Switch TGLF NN MAX ERROR*>*0



# EPED1-NN and TGLF-NN models enable routine predictive core-pedestal predictive transport simulations

- *•* EPED1-NN and TGLF-NN models have been developed
- Verified that they produce accurate results within training range
	- Models are being extended for wider parameters range
	- Arsene Tema master thesis at GA on these topics
- *•* Demonstrated that within TGYRO routine core-pedestal coupled simulations are possible by leveraging speed of neural network models
- *•* NN models have been designed to be easily included in other transport codes
- *•* Source code and NN models available on GitHub upon request



# In addition to running TRANSP, synergy with OMFIT enables important cross-devices analyses/predictive capabilities

e.g. Multidimensional sensitivity and spectral flux analyses



# In addition to running TRANSP, synergy with OMFIT enables important cross-devices analyses/predictive capabilities

e.g. Time-dependent kinetic equilibrium reconstructions



### Open invitation to TRANSP developer to join the  $3^{\rm rd}$  OMFIT code-camp: Aug 21st to 25st

A focused opportunity for developers to self-organize into small working groups to address outstanding issues and quickly bring new ideas to life

- *•* Serious coding
- *•* Fun environment





