Supported by

XP804: Comparison of NTV among tokamaks (n = 2 fields, ν_i scaling)

S.A. Sabbagh[1], R.E. Bell[2], J.W. Berkery[1], J.M. Bialek[1], S. Gerhardt[2], B. LeBlanc[2], J.E. Menard[2], K. Tritz[3]

[1]*Department of Applied Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY*

[2]*Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA*

[3]*Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA*



NSTX Team Review Meeting

March 5th, 2008

Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory

Columbia U
Comp-X
General Atomics
INEL
Johns Hopkins U
LANL
LLNL
Lodestar
MIT
Nova Photonics
NYU
ORNL
PPPL
PSI
SNL
UC Davis
UC Irvine
UCLA
UCSD
U Maryland
U New Mexico
U Rochester
U Washington
U Wisconsin
Culham Sci Ctr
Hiroshima U
HIST
Kyushu Tokai U
Niigata U
Tsukuba U
U Tokyo
JAERI
Ioffe Inst
TRINITI
KBSI
KAIST
ENEA, Frascati
CEA, Cadarache
IPP, Jülich
IPP, Garching
U Quebec

XP804: Comparison of neoclassical toroidal viscosity (NTV) among tokamaks (n = 2 fields, ν_i scaling)

- Goals
  - Compare NTV results/analysis on NSTX to other devices
    - n = 2 data available JET, C-MOD, initial results in MAST (plan to submit 08 XP)
    - Proposal submitted in 2008 to DIII-D, morphed into different XP
  - Test NTV theory for n = 2 applied field configuration
    - n = 2 may be best for comparison to other devices (n = 1 strongest resonant rotation damping, n = 3 weak in some devices, many machines run n = 2)
    - Examine possible RFA effects by varying proximity to no-wall limit
  - Investigate damping over widest possible range of ion collisionality to determine affect on rotation damping and compare to theory
    - Key for ITER, comparison to other devices important
  - Supplement past published NSTX results (XP524) using n = 1, 3 fields
    - Modifications to theory to be examined (e.g. multiple trapping states)
    - Reversed I_p operation may allow ω_ϕ offset term measure (~ few kHz)
- Addresses
  - Joule milestone, leverages ST geometry
  - ITER support (RWM coil design), ITPA joint experiment MDC-12

Observed rotation decrease follows NTV theory

n = 3 applied field configuration



(Zhu, et al., PRL **96** (2006) 225002.)

- Further test NTV theory; compare to other devices
  - Trapped particle effects, 3-D field spectrum important for quantitative agreement
  - Scales as $\delta B^2 (p_i/\nu_i)(1/A)^{1.5}$
  - Low collisionality, ν_i, ITER plasmas expected to have higher rotation damping
  - Saturation of $1/\nu_i$ scaling expected by theory, can it be found?
- Approach
  - Use n = 2 field to slow ω_ϕ at low, high β_N (check RFA)
  - Vary collisionality (as in past XPs) to produce ~ at least a factor of 2 variation in NSTX

Significant differences in |B| between n = 1, 2, 3 applied field configurations



- Field more uniform vs. toroidal angle in higher n configuration
- Smaller n spectrum in higher n configuration

Broader field spectrum in n = 2 config vs. n = 3 config

"n = 3 configuration"

Spectrum at r/a=0.8



"n = 2 configuration"

Spectrum at r/a=0.8



S. Gerhardt

- Broader spectrum and greater radial penetration should lead to larger NTV damping and extended radial profile
- n = 2 configuration has very small n = 1 component – reduces resonant braking and n = 1 NTV due to RFA

XP804: NTV n = 2 and ν_i - Run plan

Task	Number of Shots
1) Create targets (i) below, but near and (ii) above ideal no-wall beta limit (control shots)	
(use 120038 as setup shot, 2 or 3 NBI sources, relatively high $\kappa \sim 2.4$ to avoid rotating modes)	
A) No n = 2 applied field; 3, then 2 NBI sources	2
2) Apply n = 2 field	
A) Step up n = 2 currents during discharge in 75ms steps, 3 NBI sources	2
B) Step up n = 2 currents during discharge in 75ms steps, 1 or 2 NBI sources	2
C) n = 2 DC pulse at steady ω_ϕ, measure spin down, pulse off to measure ω_ϕ spin-up, 3 NBI	3
D) n = 2 DC pulse at steady ω_ϕ, measure spin down, pulse off to measure ω_ϕ spin-up, 1 or 2 NBI	3
E) n = 6 DC pulse at steady ω_ϕ, measure spin down, pulse off to measure ω_ϕ spin-up, 3 NBI	3
3) Ion collisionality scan	
A) Vary ν_i at constant q, apply n = 2 field during period free of strong rotating modes	8
B) Increase n = 2 field at collisionality where damping is weakest	3
4) Reversed I_p scans	
A) Repeat scans 1 and 2 above in reversed I_p	13
Total (standard I_p; reversed I_p):	26 ; 13

XP804: NTV n = 2 and ν_i - Diagnostics

- Required diagnostics / capabilities
  - Ability to operate RWM coils in n = 2 configuration
  - Internal RWM sensors
  - CHERS toroidal rotation measurement
  - Thomson scattering
  - USXR
  - MSE
  - Toroidal Mirnov array / between-shots spectrogram with toroidal mode number analysis
  - Diamagnetic loop
- Desired diagnostics
  - FIReTip
  - Fast camera