Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory NSTX Experimental Proposal Title: Investigation of "X-point limiter" plasmas					
					OP-XP-826
	PROPOSAL AP	PROVALS			
Responsible Author:			Date		
ATI – ET Group Leade	er:		Date		
RLM - Run Coordinate	or:		Date		
Responsible Division:	Experimental Research O	perations			
Chit Review Board (designated by Run Coordinator)					
MINOR MODIFICATIONS (Approved by Experimental Research Operations)					

## NSTX EXPERIMENTAL PROPOSAL

TITLE: Investigation of "X-point limiter" plasmas AUTHORS: M. Bell, R. Maingi, K-C. Lee No. **OP-XP-826** DATE: **Apr 18, 2008** 

#### 1. Overview of planned experiment

This experiment will investigate plasma "X-point limiter" plasma configurations where the dominant lower X-point is brought very close to, or possibly beyond, the outer divertor plate. Access to the H-mode, energy confinement and the heat flux onto the divertor plate will be assessed. The initial experiment is planned to be run before lithium coating. If successful, a second investigation will be carried out with lithium evaporated onto the lower divertor.

### 2. Theoretical/ empirical justification

Coping with both steady-state and transient heat loads is a critical issue for ITER and any future even larger tokamak because the ratio of the plasma volume to plasma contact area increases with size. Conventional poloidal divertors are usually incorporated in tokamaks because they are associated with reliable access to the improved confinement of the H-mode. However, divertors in which the X-point is separated from the divertor target tend to exacerbate the power handling problem by increasing the poloidal field where the scrape off contacts the target, so extreme tilting of the plate and/or sweeping of the strike point are required in large tokamaks.

The H-mode can be obtained, however, without an X-point defining the boundary. A good example comes from JET in its early investigation of H-modes in the 1980s. It was discovered, after the fact, that many of its H-mode discharges that had been thought to be diverted were actually limited by armor at the top of the vacuum vessel with the X-point just outside the last closed flux surface. Some of these large-volume discharges had produced the highest energy confinement times achieved in JET. Another example is the H-mode which was regularly produced by ramping down the plasma current in TFTR "supershots" which were limited by a nearly conformal surface on the inboard side. The high poloidal beta and high internal inductance produced by this technique reduced the poloidal field at the inboard midplane producing an X-point just beyond the limiter surface. In addition to triggering an H-mode transition, this configuration spread the heat flowing through the scrape-off layer over a large area on the limiter surface. The critical factor for the H-mode may not be an X-point defining the boundary but simply a region of high magnetic shear near the plasma boundary.

In his Alfvén prize address to the EPS Conference in Rome (2006), P-H. Rébut suggested that the "X-point limiter", similar to the early JET configuration, would a better approach to managing the plasma-material interface in ITER than the present divertor because flux expansion approaching the poloidal field null and the nearly tangential contact of field lines with the surface would spread the heat load. An assessment of this configuration in NSTX would be relevant both to ITER and ST development.

This experiment will also provide data to test the theory [K.C. Lee, *Phys. Plasmas*, **13**, 062505 (2006)] that the radial gradient in the edge neutral density is a critical parameter for triggering the H-mode so that reducing the distance of the X-point to the divertor target should reduce the H-mode power threshold.

## 3. Experimental run plan

- 1. Rerun shot 128645 (1.0MA) with NBI reduced to 2 sources (forego C) and compare H-mode access and overall performance. (*1 shot*)
- 2. If the flattop is too short, decrease the plasma current to 0.9MA (shot 128729) (1)
- 3. Reduce PF1AL, PF1AU/IP current ratio in the flattop progressively to zero, and set the ratio of PF2L to plasma current to 4kA/MA and PF2U to 2.5kA/MA. Run a shot with 2 NB sources. If necessary adjust outer boundary control parameters. Assess need for small programmed PF1A currents to compensate for the time-varying OH leakage field. (*3*)
- 4. Adjust the vertical position (downward) and, if necessary reduce the PF2L current control ratio to 3.5kA/MA, to bring the X-point close to, and if possible, through the lower divertor plate. (3)
- 5. Decide whether to lower PF2L current control ratio further to 3kA/MA depending on equilibrium shape achieved and plasma performance. (*3 additional shots possible*)
- 6. At lowest X-point achieved, assess whether conditioning of the new contact point is occurring (3)
- 7. When conditions stabilize, assess H-mode access (L-H and H-l) either by adding source C at 0.12s (as in reference shot) or by delaying the second NB source (B) by 50ms on successive shots. (2)
- 8. Assess whether to apply PWM to the final source to determine the threshold power more finely. (4)
- 9. Return to the original shape at step 2 and perform the same assessment of H-mode access. (3)

Total shots: 15 – 20

The extremes of the scan may be repeated after lithium conditioning is routinely available.

## 4. Required machine, NBI, RF, CHI and diagnostic capabilities

- 1. The reference shots use rtEFIT and this is the preferred method of control.
- 2. Assessment will be made of whether to apply control of the Z-position or of  $\delta r_{sep}$  to maintain the desired configuration.
- 3. The first phase of this experiment should precede use of lithium conditioning, either by LITER or the proposed lithium powder injection.
- 4. Modulation of NB source B or C may be used to assess the H-mode power threshold.
- 5. Reliable H-mode operation in standard NB-heated fiducial shots is a prerequisite.

### 5. Planned analysis

Data will be obtained for full transport analysis. The data will also be analyzed for the assessing the effect of the edge neutral density on the H-mode transition.

## 6. Planned publication of results

First reports will be made at the APS meeting in November 2008. A journal publication will be prepared after the initial conference presentaitons.

# PHYSICS OPERATIONS REQUEST

TITLE: <b>Inves</b> AUTHORS: <b>N</b>	tigation of "X-po M. Bell, R. Maing	oint limiter" plasmas gi, K-C. Lee		No. <b>OP-XP-826</b> DATE: <b>Mar 18, 2008</b>
Machine condition	ons (specify range	es as appropriate)		
$I_{TF}(kA): -53$	Flattop	start/stop (s): -0.025 /	1.0	
I <sub>P</sub> (MA): <b>1.0 (0.9</b>	) Flattop	start/stop (s): 0.2 / 0.8		
Configuration: <u>L</u>	<u>imiter</u> / DN / <u>LS</u>	<u>N</u> / USN		
Outer gap (m):	0.1 – 0.12	Inner gap (m): <b>0.05</b> -	- 0.08	
Elongation k:	2.3	Upper/lower triangula	rity δ:	~0.7 - ~0
Z position (m):	-0.050.1			
Gas Species:	D	Injector(s):		
NBI Species: D	Sources: ABC	Voltage (kV): 90	Dura	ation (s): <b>1.0</b>
ICRF Power (M	W): <b>0</b>	Phasing:	Dura	ation (s):
<b>CHI</b> : On / <u>Off</u>	Bank capac	itance (mF):		
LITER: Off init	ially; if successfu	ıl, experiment may be	e continu	ued with LITER

Shot numbers for setup: Start with 128645 (1MA) then proceed to lower PF1A and increase PF2 currents according to prescription in Sec. 3.

Alternative shots are 123139 (1MA), 128729 (0.9MA fiducial) or 127050 (0.8MA with IPF2L/I $_{\rm p}$  = 5.6kA/MA)

#### DIAGNOSTIC CHECKLIST

#### TITLE: Investigation of "X-point limiter" plasmas AUTHORS: M. Bell, R. Maingi, K-C. Lee

#### No. **OP-XP-826** DATE: **Mar 18, 2008**

	Note s	pecial	diagr	iostic i	requirem	ents in S	ec. 4
--	--------	--------	-------	----------	----------	-----------	-------

Note special diagnostic requirements in Sec. 4

Diagnostic	Need	Want
Bolometer – tangential array	$\checkmark$	
Bolometer – divertor		$\checkmark$
CHERS – toroidal	$\checkmark$	
CHERS – poloidal		$\checkmark$
Divertor fast camera		$\checkmark$
Dust detector		
EBW radiometers		
Edge deposition monitors		$\checkmark$
Edge neutral density diag.		$\checkmark$
Edge pressure gauges		$\checkmark$
Edge rotation diagnostic		$\checkmark$
Fast ion D_alpha - FIDA		$\checkmark$
Fast lost ion probes - IFLIP		$\checkmark$
Fast lost ion probes - SFLIP		$\checkmark$
Filterscopes	$\checkmark$	
FIReTIP		$\checkmark$
Gas puff imaging		$\checkmark$
Hα camera - 1D		$\checkmark$
High-k scattering		$\checkmark$
Infrared cameras	$\checkmark$	
Interferometer - 1 mm		
Langmuir probes – divertor		$\checkmark$
Langmuir probes – BEaP		$\checkmark$
Langmuir probes – RF ant.		
Magnetics – Diamagnetism	$\checkmark$	
Magnetics – Flux loops	$\checkmark$	
Magnetics – Locked modes	$\checkmark$	
Magnetics – Pickup coils	$\checkmark$	
Magnetics – Rogowski coils	$\checkmark$	
Magnetics – Halo currents		$\checkmark$
Magnetics – RWM sensors		$\checkmark$
Mirnov coils – high f.		$\checkmark$
Mirnov coils – poloidal array		$\checkmark$
Mirnov coils – toroidal array		$\checkmark$
Mirnov coils – 3-axis proto.		

Note special alagnostic requirements in Sec.					
Diagnostic	Need	Want			
MSE	$\checkmark$				
NPA – ExB scanning		$\checkmark$			
NPA – solid state					
Neutron measurements	$\checkmark$				
Plasma TV	$\checkmark$				
Reciprocating probe					
Reflectometer – 65GHz		$\checkmark$			
Reflectometer – correlation		$\checkmark$			
Reflectometer – FM/CW		$\checkmark$			
Reflectometer – fixed f		$\checkmark$			
Reflectometer – SOL		$\checkmark$			
RF edge probes					
Spectrometer – SPRED	$\checkmark$				
Spectrometer – VIPS	$\checkmark$				
SWIFT – 2D flow		$\checkmark$			
Thomson scattering	$\checkmark$				
Ultrasoft X-ray arrays	$\checkmark$				
Ultrasoft X-rays – bicolor		$\checkmark$			
Ultrasoft X-rays – TG spectr.		$\checkmark$			
Visible bremsstrahlung det.	$\checkmark$				
X-ray crystal spectrom H					
X-ray crystal spectrom V					
X-ray fast pinhole camera					
X-ray spectrometer - XEUS					