

5X-960926-CLN-01

TO: M ONO FROM: C NEUMEYER SUBJECT: REVISED ESTIMATE OF OPERATING COSTS AT D-SITE REFERENCE:

(1) 5X-960923-CLN-01, "Implementation of NSTX WBS5 At D-Site"

This memo presents a revised estimate for NSTX WBS5 operating costs at D-site.

The following assumptions were changed compared to the reference memo:

• Assumed MG idle \approx 70 Hz, with excitation off most of the time (since only one set is used and there is no synchronization issue, and since there will be no NBI load on the MG (it can run from the grid if it is used), it is feasible to de-excite between pulses). This reduces the typical loss to 1.75MW from the 4.0MW assumed previously.

- Corrected the application of G&A rates.
- Revised the D-site MG maintenance estimate to 3500 tech hours + \$30K M&S.
- Reduced the number of operating days per month to 15 from 20.

• Added manpower for AC power operations and maintenance (although it is the same for C- and D-sites). This covers the AC power operations for the entire facility (not just the rectifier systems AC supply).

The results are given in the following table.

Although not credited in the table, it is noted that, for non-inductive operation it is likely that the MG power is not required because of the absence of the OH load. The TF load (≈ 17.77 kA*2kV=35.5MVA) plus the outer PF, CHI, and NBI loads will likely be within the grid capability. This would reduce the energy bill and, if the OH operation was eventually dropped, the need for the MG.

Also, a proposal is on the table to modify the D-site MG air circulation in such a way that the windage losses would be substantially reduced.

| | D-site | C-site | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| MG System | | | |
| #months/yr | 9.00 | 9.00 | mo |
| #days/month | 15.00 | 15.00 | day |
| #hrs/day | 8.00 | 8.00 | hrs |
| repetition period | 5.00 | 5.00 | min |
| #pulses/day | 96.00 | 96.00 | pulses |
| Idling Power | 1.75 | 1.00 | MW |
| Pulse Energy | 100.00 | 100.00 | MJ |
| Average Power | 2.08 | 1.33 | MW |
| Energy/Day | 16.67 | 10.67 | MW-hr |
| Energy Cost | 0.08 | 0.08 | \$/kW-hr |
| Peak 15 min Avg Power | 2.08 | 1.33 | MW |
| Demand Cost | 10.00 | 10.00 | \$/kW |
| Energy Cost/month | 20000.00 | 12800.00 | \$ |
| Demand Cost/month | 20833.33 | 13333.33 | \$ |
| Annual Electricity Costs | 367500.00 | 235200.00 | \$ |
| Annual Maintenance Cost | 181155.00 | 126800.00 | \$ |
| Subtotal | 548655.00 | 362000.00 | \$ |
| #Engineers | 1.00 | 0.50 | Engr |
| #Technicians | 1.00 | 2.00 | Tech |
| Engineer Cost/Day | 868.00 | 868.00 | \$ |
| Technician Cost/Day | 414.00 | 414.00 | \$ |
| Annual Operator Cost | 283322.00 | 278902.00 | \$ |
| Total | 831.98 | 640.90 | \$K |
| AC Power System | | | |
| #Engineers | 1.25 | 1.25 | Engr |
| #Technicians | 2.00 | 2.00 | Tech |
| Engineer Cost/Day | 868.00 | 868.00 | \$ |
| Technician Cost/Day | 414.00 | 414.00 | \$ |
| Annual Operator Cost | 422773.00 | 422773.00 | \$ |
| M&S | 50000.00 | 50000.00 | \$ |
| Total | 472.77 | 472.77 | \$K |
| Rectifier System | | | • |
| #Engineers | 2.00 | 1.00 | Engr |
| #Technicians | 2.00 | 1.00 | Tech |
| Engineer Cost/Day | 868.00 | 868.00 | \$ |
| Technician Cost/Day | 414.00 | 414.00 | \$ |
| Annual Operator Cost | 566644.00 | 283322.00 | \$ |
| M&S | 25000.00 | 25000.00 | \$ |
| Total | 591.64 | 308.32 | \$K |
| Grand Total | 1896.39 | 1422.00 | \$K |

With the revised assumptions the annual cost differential is reduced to $\approx \$500 \text{K}.$

It is important to note that the above includes only the electrical energy costs associated with the operation of the "experimental" power systems. Those loads associated with the "house power" (HVAC and lighting, ≈ 2 to 3 MW) and "auxiliary systems" (pumps, motors, etc., TBD depending on NSTX requirements) are not included. In fact the latter energy costs exceed the former.

Also worth noting is that, since the D-site facility is separately metered whereas the C-site experimental facilities are not, as I understand it the D-site energy costs are presently charged directly to TFTR whereas the PBX-M/PLT energy costs are indirectly covered in the overhead.

CC:

C Ancher M Awad T Egebo D O'Neill M Ono M Peng S Ramakrishnan NSTX File