NSTX

Supported by U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science

Analysis of HHFW coupling During NBI-Induced H-Mode*

College W&M
Colorado Sch Mines
Columbia U
CompX
General Atomics
INL
Johns Hopkins U
LANL
LLNL
Lodestar
MIT
Nova Photonics
New York U
Old Dominion U
ORNL
PPPL
PSI
Princeton U
Purdue U
SNL
Think Tank, Inc.
UC Davis
UC Irvine
UCLA
UCSD
U Colorado
U Maryland
U Rochester
U Washington
U Wisconsin

B.P. LeBlanc[1], R.E. Bell[1], P. Bonoli[2], R. Harvey[3], W.W. Heidbrink[4], J.C. Hosea[1], S.M. Kaye[1], D. Liu[5], R. Maingi[6], S.S. Medley[1], M. Ono[1], M. Podestà[1], C.K. Phillips[1], P.M. Ryan[6], A.L. Roquemore[1], G. Taylor[1], J.R. Wilson[1]

In collaboration with the NSTX Team

1) PPPL, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA
2) PSFC,-MIT, Cambridge, MA,02139, USA
3) CompX, Del Mar, CA 92014, USA
4) University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
5) Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI 53706, USA
6) ORNL, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

NSTX Results Forum
Princeton, 02 December 2010

Culham Sci Ctr
U St. Andrews
York U
Chubu U
Fukui U
Hiroshima U
Hyogo U
Kyoto U
Kyushu U
Kyushu Tokai U
NIFS
Niigata U
U Tokyo
JAEA
Hebrew U
Ioffe Inst
RRC Kurchatov Inst
TRINITI
KBSI
KAIST
POSTECH
ASIPP
ENEA, Frascati
CEA, Cadarache
IPP, Jülich
IPP, Garching
ASCR, Czech Rep
U Quebec

* Work supported by USA DoE contract DE-AC02-09CH11466

Introduction

- NSTX capabilities:
  - Auxiliary heating system includes 7 MW NBI and 6 MW ICRF
- The physics basis of HHFW heating and a review of recent HHFW research are available elsewhere [2], [3]:
  - Typically more than 5 ion-cyclotron resonances present within the plasma in NSTX
- Competition between two dominant absorption mechanisms inside the LCFS:
  - Electron heating via Landau damping and transit-time magnetic pumping,
  - Wave-field acceleration of NBI generated fast ions

[2] M. ONO, Physics of Plasmas, **2**, (1995) 4075

[3] G. TAYLOR, et al., Physics of Plasmas, Vol. 17 (2010) 05611

Compare Two Matched ELM-free H-mode Discharges NBI+HHFW vs. NBI

- I_P: 0.9MA, TF: 0.55T
- NBI: 2MW, 90kV
- HHFW: 2MW, $k_{//}=13m^{-1}$
- Benign MHD activity in both plasmas
- MSE unavailable
- Times of interest (TOI) 0.248s and 0.315s



Broad T_e Profile Increase with HHFW Heating of NBI-induced H-mode Plasma

$k_{//}=13m^{-1}$, $I_p=0.9MA$

Prior to HHFW Heating

During HHFW Heating



Thomson scattering profiles

- Identical T_e and n_e H-mode profiles prior to HHFW power onset
- Broad T_e profile increase during HHFW heating, n_e profile remains unchanged. Plasma stayed in the H mode.

Estimate HHFW Power Fraction Absorbed within LCFS Based on the Electron Stored Energy

- Three TRANSP calculations of the electron stored energy:
  - (1) Analysis based on the experimental data for combined NBI and HHFW heating
  - (2) Analysis based on the NBI-only experimental data
  - (3) A predictive TRANSP/TORIC calculation
    - Electron thermal diffusivity, χ_e, from the NBI-only reference discharge
    - Assume 100% of antenna power absorbed within LCFS
    - Predict T_e for the NBI+HHFW

Evolution of Electron Stored Energy Estimates

- W_{eX} is the electron stored energy obtained from the experimental NBI+HHFW TRANSP analysis

- W_{eR} corresponds to the reference NBI-only analysis

- W_{eP} corresponds to the predictive calculation mentioned in previous slide

$W_{eX} < W_{eP}$ implies absorption within LCFS is lower than 100%



Power Coupling to Enclosed Plasma Based on Electron Stored Energy , $k_{//}=13m^{-1}$, $I_p=0.9MA$

- Power coupled to the enclosed plasma
- $P_{eC} = f_C \times P_{eP}$, where the fraction, f_C, of the captured antenna defined as

$$f_C=(W_{eX}-W_{eR})/(W_{eP}-W_{eR})$$

- $\langle f_c \rangle=0.53\pm0.07$
- 1MW absorbed within LCFS
  - 0.7MW by electrons
  - 0.3MW by fast ions



P_A: launched antenna power

P_{eP}: TORIC calculation of power to electrons assuming 100% capture With LCFS

CQL3D Predicts Significant Fast-ion Losses

Neutron Production (S_n)

- "no loss" (NL) exceeds S_n measurement
- "simple-banana-loss" (SBL) is at lower limit of measurement error range
  - For 1MW captured within LCFS, about 60% of the power to fast ions is lost compared to NL
- A first-order final-orbit width loss model will be implemented for CQL3D



CQL3D scan of HHFW power absorbed within the LCFS

T_e Profile Increase with HHFW Heating of NBI-induced H-mode Plasma

$k_{//}=13\text{m}^{-1}$, $I_p=0.7\text{MA}$

Prior to HHFW Heating

During HHFW Heating



Thomson scattering profiles

- Identical T_e and n_e H-mode profiles prior to HHFW power onset
- T_e profile increase during HHFW heating, n_e profile remains unchanged. Plasma stayed in the H mode.

HHFW Power Coupling to Enclosed Plasma Based on Electron Stored Energy, $k_{//}=13m^{-1}$, $I_p=0.7MA$

- Power coupled to the enclosed plasma
- $P_{eC} = f_C \times P_{eP}$, where the fraction, f_C, of the captured antenna defined as

  $$f_C=(W_{eX}-W_{eR})/(W_{eP}-W_{eR})$$

- $<f_c>=0.28\pm0.06$
- 0.59 MW absorbed within LCFS
  - 0.42 MW by electrons
  - 0.17 MW by fast ions



P_A: launched antenna power

P_{eP}: TORIC calculation of power to electrons assuming 100% capture With LCFS

Conclusion

- HHFW heating of NBI-induced Elm-free H-mode plasma
  - T_e increases over most of the radial profile for I_p=0.9MA
  - 50% of antenna power captured with the LCFS
    - 2/3 of power inside LCFS absorbed by electrons
    - 1/3 of power inside LCFS absorbed by fast-ions
  - Fast-ion diagnostics FIDA and NPA observed no changes during HHFW heating
  - Captured fraction is about 30% with 0.7MA target NBI-induced H-mode plasma
- Edge physics effects
  - Improved core coupling partly attributed to first wall lithium coating, which keeps the $n_e < n_{onset}$ in front of the antenna (in 2009)
  - Infrared radiation measurements show local power flux on divertor plates reaches ~ 1 MW/m^2 per MW of HHFW heating

BACK-UP SLIDES

Divertor Power Flux Increase during HHFW Heating

- Infrared measurements [6] indicate a significant amount of the antenna power redirected to divertor

- Heat flux reaching the divertor for two consecutive discharges, both with 2 MW NBI, but with the second having an additional 2.6 MW HHFW heating. In the vicinity of R = 1m, the heat flux increases fivefold with RF power applied



- Divertor heat flux vs. major radius (Preliminary calibration)
- Antenna set to $k_{//} = -8\ m^{-1}$

[6] D.M. Mastrovito, et al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 74 (2003) 5090

TRANSP Analyses of NBI+HHFW and NBI-only ELM-free H-mode Discharges

NBI+HHFW



- Good match for stored energy, but underestimate neutron production

NBI



- Good match for stored energy and neutron production

FIDA Measurements for NBI+HHFW vs. NBI
No Fast-ion density change observed with HHFW *in this case*



- FIDA density profiles at t=0.315 s for, red, plasma with NBI+HHFW heating and, black, reference NBI-only plasma



- Evolution at R=1.158m of FIDA density for, red, plasma with NBI+HHFW and, black, reference NBI-only plasma

TRANSP S_n Estimate Depends on Equilibrium

- Equilibrium solvers LRDFIT and EFIT predict S_n within experimental bar
- EFIT's current profile is more peaked
  - More current in the core region is conducive to better fast-ion confinement and higher neutron production.
- Measurement of q profile (MSE) needed for future experiments



Moving Onset Density Layer away from Antenna Facilitated by Lithium Coating Pumping

- Onset density, n_{onset}, for perpendicular fast-wave oscillation[5]

$$\longrightarrow n_{onset} \propto B \times k_{//}^{2} / \omega$$

n_e near antenna remains below *n_{onset}* during HHFW pulse

Wave onset occurs where $n_e \approx n_{onset}$, *i.e.* near R=1.52m





[5] J.C. HOSEA, *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas **15** (2008) 056104

CQL3D to Estimate Effects of Wave Interaction with Fast Ions

- Currently TRANSP lacks the software to evolve self-consistently the fast-ion energy distribution under the influence of the wave field
- CQL3D is a relativistic collisional, quasi-linear 3D code which solves a bounce-averaged Fokker-Planck equation
- CQL3D can be used to compute the wave effects on the fast ions and neutron production
- Using input data from TRANSP at a particular time of interest, CQL3D is “run to equilibrium” in order to estimate the neutron rate
- CQL3D offers two calculation options:
  - A "no loss" option (NL), which assumes zero banana width orbits
  - A "simple-banana-loss" calculation (SBL)